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Announcements

• **Things Due:**
  – **Ethics Form:** Due today
    • Note: sign in with your CSE Google accounts, not UW
  – **Homework #1:** Due next Friday (1/20)
    • Use the Ed discussion board to find groups
    • Join a group on Canvas
    • Deadline same as Part 1 of Lab 1, so start early
  – **Research Readings (CSE M 584):** Due Thursday (and every Thursday thereafter)
Ethics

• To learn to defend systems, you will learn to attack them. You must use this knowledge ethically.

• In order to get a non-zero grade in this course, you must electronically sign the “Security and Privacy Code of Ethics” form by 4:30pm.

  (Linked from the course schedule)

We will also repeatedly consider ethics (more generally) as part of our curriculum throughout course (see HW1, for example).
Office Hours

• TA Office Hours:
  – Mondays 4:00-5:00pm, CSE1 (Allen) 220 - John and William
  – Tuesdays 1:00-2:00pm, CSE2 (Gates) 153 - Kentrell and Noah
  – Wednesdays 1:30-2:30pm, CSE2 (Gates) 150 - Aroosh and Kelvin
  – Fridays 2:30-3:30pm, Zoom (see Canvas for link) - Shaoqi and Wenqing

• Yoshi:
  – Wednesday 11:30-12:50, CSE2 (Gates) 307
THREAT MODELING
Threat Modeling (Security Reviews)

- **Assets**: What are we trying to protect? How valuable are those assets?
- **Adversaries**: Who might try to attack, and why?
- **Vulnerabilities**: How might the system be weak?
- **Threats**: What actions might an adversary take to exploit vulnerabilities?
- **Risk**: How important are assets? How likely is exploit?
- **Possible Defenses**
- Not “traditional” threat modeling, but important:
  - **Benefits**: Who might the system benefit, and how?
  - **Harms**: Who might the system harm, and how?
What’s Security, Anyway?

• Common general security goals: “CIA”
  – Confidentiality
  – Integrity
  – Availability

• Or the extension: CPIAAU (Parkerian Hexad)
  – Confidentiality
  – Possession or Control
  – Integrity
  – Authenticity
  – Availability
  – Utility
Confidentiality (Privacy)

- Confidentiality is **concealment of information**.

Diagram:
- Eavesdropping, packet sniffing, illegal copying
- Network connection between two nodes
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Integrity

- Integrity is prevention of unauthorized changes.

Intercept messages, tamper, release again
Availability

- Availability is ability to use information or resources.

Overwhelm or crash servers, disrupt infrastructure
Authenticity

• Authenticity is *knowing who you’re talking to.*
Threat Modeling

• There’s no such thing as perfect security  
  – But, attackers have limited resources  
  – Make them pay unacceptable costs / take on unacceptable risks to succeed!

• Defining security per context: identify assets, adversaries, motivations, threats, vulnerabilities, risk, possible defenses
Threat Modeling Example: Electronic Voting

- Popular replacement to traditional paper ballots
Pre-election: Poll workers load “ballot definition files” on voting machine.
Active voting: Voters obtain single-use tokens from poll workers. Voters use tokens to activate machines and vote.
Active voting: Votes encrypted and stored. Voter token canceled.
Post-Election: Stored votes transported to tabulation center.
Aside: In-Class Participation

• Trying to combine the best of online and in-person
  – In-class discussions, polls, and other online tools
  – More use of the online discussion board

• Main component: Lightly graded in-class activities
  – Canvas “quiz” submission (intended for use during class, but can be submitted up until start of next lecture)
  – Not a “quiz” in the traditional sense → really just participation, okay to write “I don’t know, but …” and so on

• Up to and including today: Not count as part of grade
In-Class “Worksheet”

• Go to Canvas -> Quizzes -> “In-Class Activity – January 9”
• Fill out the questions while discussing with your neighbor(s)
  – Everyone should submit their own
  – No need for polish or complete sentences – jot things down as you would on a piece of paper while chatting in class
• Q1: What do you think are the security goals of the electronic voting system described in class and shown above? What would be some of the assets that must be protected?
• Q2: Who are the adversaries who might try to attack this electronic voting system? What might be the attacker’s goals? What potential threats or vulnerabilities do you see?
Security and E-Voting (Simplified)

• Functionality goals:
  – Easy to use, reduce mistakes/confusion, make voting more accessible

• Security goals:
Can You Spot Any Potential Issues?

Q1: Security goals? Assets?
Q2: Adversaries? Attack goals?
What Software is Running?

Problem: An adversary (e.g., a poll worker, software developer, or company representative) able to control the software or the underlying hardware could do whatever they wanted.
KEYS TO THE KINGDOM
Photo taken from Diebold's online store. The keys that open every Diebold touch-screen voting machine. Working copies have been made from the photo.
Problem: Ballot definition files are not authenticated.

Example attack: A malicious poll worker could modify ballot definition files so that votes cast for “Mickey Mouse” are recorded for “Donald Duck.”
Problem: Smartcards can perform cryptographic operations. But there is no authentication from voter token to terminal.

Example attack: A regular voter could make their own voter token and vote multiple times.
**Problem:** Encryption key ("F2654hD4") hard-coded into the software since (at least) 1998. Votes stored in the order cast.

**Example attack:** A poll worker could determine how voters vote.
Problem: When votes transmitted to tabulator over the Internet or a dialup connection, they are decrypted first; the cleartext results are sent the the tabulator.

Example attack: A sophisticated outsider could determine how voters vote.
TOWARDS DEFENSES
Approaches to Security

• Prevention
  – Stop an attack

• Detection
  – Detect an ongoing or past attack

• Response and Resilience
  – Respond to / recover from attacks

• The threat of a response may be enough to deter some attackers
Whole System is Critical

• Securing a system involves a whole-system view
  – Cryptography
  – Implementation
  – People
  – Physical security
  – Everything in between

• This is because “security is only as strong as the weakest link,” and security can fail in many places
  – No reason to attack the strongest part of a system if you can walk right around it.
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- Securing a system involves a whole-system view
  - Cryptography
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  - Physical security
  - Everything in between

This is because "security is only as strong as the weakest link," and security can fail in many places - no reason to attack the strongest part of a system if you can walk right around it.
Attacker’s Asymmetric Advantage
Attacker’s Asymmetric Advantage

- Attacker only needs to win in one place
- Defender’s response: Defense in depth
After you’ve figured out what security means to your application, there are still challenges:

- **Requirements bugs and oversights**
  - Incorrect or problematic goals

- **Design bugs and oversights**
  - Poor use of cryptography
  - Poor sources of randomness
  - ...

- **Implementation bugs and oversights**
  - Buffer overflow attacks
  - ...

- Is the system **usable**?
Many Participants

• Many parties involved
  – System developers
  – Companies deploying the system
  – The end users
  – The adversaries (possibly one of the above)

• Different parties have different goals
  – System developers and companies may wish to optimize cost
  – End users may desire security, privacy, and usability
    • Related question: Do system developers / companies really understand the needs and values of all their users? Or all stakeholders who might be impacted by the system?
  – But the relationship between these goals is quite complex (e.g., will customers choose features or security?)
Better News

• There are a lot of defense mechanisms
  – We’ll study some, but by no means all, in this course

• It’s important to understand their limitations
  – “If you think cryptography will solve your problem, then you don’t understand cryptography... and you don’t understand your problem” -- Bruce Schneier