CSE 484 : Computer Security and Privacy

Finish Cryptography; Start Web Security

Winter 2021

David Kohlbrenner

dkohlbre@cs.washington.edu

Thanks to Franzi Roesner, Dan Boneh, Dieter Gollmann, Dan Halperin, Yoshi Kohno, Ada Lerner, John Manferdelli, John Mitchell, Vitaly Shmatikov, Bennet Yee, and many others for sample slides and materials

Admin

- Homework 2 due in a week (2/10)
- Final Project checkpoint #1 due in 2 weeks (2/17)

Final Project

- Start making groups on Canvas
 - "Final Project Groups"
- Use the edstem forum to gather group members
- Goal is to have you find an interesting topic area and learn more!

https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse484/21wi/assignments/final_project.html

Want More Crypto?

- Some suggestions:
 - CSE 490C (Rachel Lin): https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse490c/20au/
 - Stanford Coursera (Dan Boneh): <u>https://www.coursera.org/learn/crypto</u>

Authenticity of Public Keys



<u>Problem</u>: How does Alice know that the public key she received is really Bob's public key?

Threat: Person-in-the Middle



Distribution of Public Keys

- Public announcement or public directory
 - Risks: forgery and tampering
- Public-key certificate
 - Signed statement specifying the key and identity
 - sig_{CA}("Bob", PK_B)
- Common approach: certificate authority (CA)
 - Single agency responsible for certifying public keys
 - After generating a private/public key pair, user proves his identity and knowledge of the private key to obtain CA's certificate for the public key (offline)
 - Every computer is <u>pre-configured</u> with CA's public key

You encounter this every day...



SSL/TLS: Encryption & authentication for connections

SSL/TLS High Level

- SSL/TLS consists of two protocols
 - Familiar pattern for key exchange protocols
- Handshake protocol
 - Use public-key cryptography to establish a shared secret key between the client and the server
- Record protocol
 - Use the secret symmetric key established in the handshake protocol to protect communication between the client and the server

Example of a Certificate

GeoTrust Global CA → 🛅 Google Internet	t Authority G2			
⊢ 🛅 *.google.co	om			
	0			
Certificate Issued by Expires: This co Details	e.com y: Google Internet Authority G2 Monday, July 6, 2015 at 5:00:00 Pl ertificate is valid	M Pacific Daylight Time		
Subject Name				
Country	US	1		
State/Province	California	Signature Algorithm	SHA-1 with RSA Encryption (1.2.840.113549.1.1.5)	
Locality	Mountain View	Parameters	none	
Organization	Google Inc	Not Valid Before	Wednesday, April 8, 2015 at 6:40:10 AM Pacific Daylight Time	
Common Name	*.google.com	Not Valid After	Monday, July 6, 2015 at 5:00:00 PM Pacific Daylight Time	
Issuer Name		Public Key Info		
Country US		Algorithm	Elliptic Curve Public Key (1.2.840.10045.2.1)	
Organization	Google Inc	Parameters	Elliptic Curve secp256r1 (1.2.840.10045.3.1.7)	
Common Name	Google Internet Authority G2	Public Key Key Size	65 bytes : 04 CB DD C1 CE AC D6 20 256 bits	
Serial Number	6082711391012222858	Key Usage	Encrypt, Verify, Derive	
Version	3	Signature	256 bytes : 34 8B 7D 64 5A 64 08 5B	

				Certificate	
Certificate	×]		General Details Certification Path	
General Details Certification Path				Certificate Information	
Certificate Information				This certificate is intended for the following purpose(s):
 This certificate is intended for the following purple Proves your identity to a remote computer Ensures the identity of a remote computer 1.3.6.1.4.1.5923.1.4.3.1.1 	pose(s):			Proves your identity to a remote computer Ensures the identity of a remote computer 1.3.6.1.4.1.5923.1.4.3.1.1 2.23.140.1.2.2	
• 2.23.140.1.2.2 * Refer to the certification authority's statement for de	tails.			* Refer to the certification authority's statement for details. Issued to: *.cs.washington.edu	
Issued to: *.cs.washington.edu				Issued by: InCommon RSA Server CA	
Issued by: InCommon RSA Server CA				Valid from 3/19/2020 to 3/20/2022	
Valid from 3/19/2020 to 3/20/2022					
Tss	uer Statement			Issuer Sta	atement
133	der Statement				

Hierarchical Approach

- Single CA certifying every public key is impractical
- Instead, use a trusted root authority (e.g., Verisign)
 - Owner's name Everybody must know Owner's public key the root's public key reference Issuer's (CA's) name • Instead of single cert, Intermediate Certificate Issuer's signature Owner's (CA's) name use a certificate chain sign Owner's public key reference Issuer's (root CA's) • sig_{Verisign}("AnotherCA", PK_{AnotherCA}), name sig_{AnotherCA}("Alice", PK_∧) Issuer's signature Root CA's name sign Root CA's public key Root CA's signature **Root Certificate**
 - What happens if root authority is ever compromised?

Trusted(?) Certificate Authorities



Turtles All The Way Down...



The saying holds that the world is supported by a chain of increasingly large turtles. Beneath each turtle is yet another: it is "turtles all the way down".

[Image from Wikipedia]

CSE 484 - Winter 2021

Many Challenges...

- Hash collisions
- Weak security at CAs
 - Allows attackers to issue rogue certificates
- Users don't notice when attacks happen
 - We'll talk more about this later in the course
- How do you revoke certificates?

[Sotirov et al. "Rogue Certificates"]

Colliding Certificates



CSE 484 - Winter 2021

DigiNotar is a Dutch Certificate Authority. They sell SSL certificates.



Attacking CAs

Security of DigiNotar servers:

- All core certificate servers controlled by a single admin password (Prod@dm1n)
- Software on publicfacing servers out of date, unpatched
- No anti-virus (could have detected attack)

Somehow, somebody managed to get a rogue SSL certificate from them on July 10th, 2011. This certificate was issued for domain name .google.com.

What can you do with such a certificate? Well, you can impersonate Google — assuming you can first reroute Internet traffic for google.com to you. This is something that can be done by a government or by a rogue ISP. Such a reroute would only affect users within that country or under that ISP.

Consequences

- Attacker needs to first divert users to an attacker-controlled site instead of Google, Yahoo, Skype, but then...
 - For example, use DNS to poison the mapping of mail.yahoo.com to an IP address
- ... "authenticate" as the real site
- ... decrypt all data sent by users
 - Email, phone conversations, Web browsing

More Rogue Certs



- In Jan 2013, a rogue *.google.com certificate was issued by an intermediate CA that gained its authority from the Turkish root CA TurkTrust
 - TurkTrust accidentally issued intermediate CA certs to customers who requested regular certificates
 - Ankara transit authority used its certificate to issue a fake *.google.com certificate in order to filter SSL traffic from its network
- This rogue *.google.com certificate was trusted by every browser in the world

Bad CAs

- DarkMatter (<u>https://groups.google.com/g/mozilla.dev.security.policy/c/nnLVNfqgz7g/m/TseYqDzaDAAJ</u> and <u>https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=1427262</u>)
 - Security company wanted to get CA status
 - Questionable practices
- Symantec! (<u>https://wiki.mozilla.org/CA:Symantec_Issues</u>)
 - Major company, regular participant in standards
 - Poor practices, mismanagement 2013-2017
 - CA distrusted in Oct 2018

Certificate Revocation

- Revocation is <u>very</u> important
- Many valid reasons to revoke a certificate
 - Private key corresponding to the certified public key has been compromised
 - User stopped paying his certification fee to this CA and CA no longer wishes to certify him
 - CA's private key has been compromised!
- Expiration is a form of revocation, too
 - Many deployed systems don't bother with revocation
 - Re-issuance of certificates is a big revenue source for certificate authorities

Certificate Revocation Mechanisms

- Certificate revocation list (CRL)
 - CA periodically issues a signed list of revoked certificates
 - Credit card companies used to issue thick books of canceled credit card numbers
 - Can issue a "delta CRL" containing only updates
- Online revocation service
 - When a certificate is presented, recipient goes to a special online service to verify whether it is still valid
 - Like a merchant dialing up the credit card processor

Attempt to Fix CA Problems: Certificate Transparency

- **Problem:** browsers will think nothing is wrong with a rogue certificate until revoked
- **Goal:** make it impossible for a CA to issue a bad certificate for a domain *without the owner of that domain knowing*
 - (Then what?)
- Approach: auditable certificate logs

www.certificate-transparency.org

Attempt to Fix CA Problems: Certificate Pinning

- Trust on first access: tells browser how to act on subsequent connections
- HPKP HTTP Public Key Pinning
 - Use these keys!
 - HTTP response header field "Public-Key-Pins"
- HSTS HTTP Strict Transport Security
 - Only access server via HTTPS
 - HTTP response header field "Strict-Transport-Security"

Next Major Topic! Web+Browser Security

Big Picture: Browser and Network



Where Does the Attacker Live?



CSE 484 - Winter 2021