CSE 484 / CSE M 584: Computer Security and Privacy

Autumn 2019

Tadayoshi (Yoshi) Kohno yoshi@cs.Washington.edu

Thanks to Dan Boneh, Dieter Gollmann, Dan Halperin, John Manferdelli, John Mitchell, Franzi Roesner, Vitaly Shmatikov, Bennet Yee, and many others for sample slides and materials ...

Announcements

- My office hours
 - 11/13 (Wed), 11:30am, CSE1 403
 - 11/20 (Wed), 2:30pm, CSE1 403
 - 11/27 (Wed), None
 - 12/4 (Wed), 12:30pm, CSE1 403
- HW 2 available (due 11/15); extra late day if submitted by Saturday 5pm (11/9)
- Final Project checkpoint on Friday (11/8) (group members, brief description)
 - https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse484/19au/ assignments/final_project.html
- No class on Monday (Veterans Day)

Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF/XSRF)

Cross-Site Request Forgery

- Users logs into bank.com, forgets to sign off
 Session cookie remains in browser state
- User then visits a malicious website containing
 <form name=BillPayForm
 action=http://bank.com/BillPay.php>
 <input name=recipient value=badperson> ...

<script> document.BillPayForm.submit(); </script>

- Browser sends cookie, payment request fulfilled!
- <u>Lesson</u>: cookie authentication is not sufficient when side effects can happen

Cookies in Forged Requests



XSRF True Story [Alex Stamos]

CyberVillians.com



Impact

- Hijack any ongoing session (if no protection)

 Netflix: change account settings, Gmail: steal contacts, Amazon: one-click purchase
- Reprogram the user's home router
 - Change DNS settings (attacker can see/control all DNS responses)
- Login to the *attacker's* account

Login XSRF: Attacker logs you in as them!



XSRF (aka CSRF): Summary

Server victim



Q: how long do you stay logged on to Gmail? Financial sites?

CSE 484 / CSE M 584

Broader View of CSRF

- Abuse of cross-site data export
 - SOP does not control data export (we've seen this before!)
 - Malicious webpage can initiates requests from the user's browser to an honest server
 - Server thinks requests are part of the established session between the browser and the server (automatically sends cookies)

XSRF Defenses

Secret validation token



<input type=hidden value=23a3af01b>

Referer validation



Referer: http://www.facebook.com/home.php

Add Secret Token to Forms

<input type=hidden value=23a3af01b>

- "Synchronizer Token Pattern"
- Include a secret challenge token as a hidden input in forms
 - Token often based on user's session ID
 - Server must verify correctness of token before executing sensitive operations
- Why does this work?
 - Same-origin policy: attacker can't read token out of legitimate forms loaded in user's browser, so can't create fake forms with correct token

Referer Validation



- Lenient referer checking header is optional
- Strict referer checking header is required

Why Not Always Strict Checking?

- Why might the referer header be suppressed?
 - Stripped by the organization's network filter
 - Stripped by the local machine
 - Stripped by the browser for HTTPS \rightarrow HTTP transitions
 - User preference in browser
 - Buggy browser
- Web applications can't afford to block these users
- Many web application frameworks include CSRF defenses today

Injection

Injection Attacks

- http://victim.com/copy.php?name=username
- copy.php includes system("cp temp.dat \$name.dat")
- User calls

http://victim.com/copy.php?name="a; rm *"

copy.php executes
 system("cp temp.dat a; rm *.dat");

Basic Issues

- User-supplied data is not validated, filtered, or sanitized by application
- User input directly used or concatenated to a string that is used by an interpreter
- Common Injections: SQL, NoSQL, Object Relational Mapping (ORM), LDAP, Object Graph Navigation Library, ...

SQL Injection

Typical Login Prompt

🕘 User Login - Microsoft Internet Explorer
File Edit View Favorites Tools Help
🌀 Back 👻 💽 🖌 💽 🚺 🔎 Search
Enter User Name: smith Enter Password: ••••• Login

Typical Query Generation Code

\$selecteduser = \$_GET['user']; \$sql = "SELECT Username, Key FROM Users " . "WHERE Username='\$selecteduser'"; \$rs = \$db->executeQuery(\$sql);

What if **'user'** is a malicious string that changes the meaning of the query?

User Input Becomes Part of Query



Normal Login



Malicious User Input

🕘 User Login - Microsoft Internet Explorer					
File Edit View Favorites Tools Help					
🌀 Back 🝷 🕥 - 💽 😰 🏠 🔎 Search 🤸 Favorites 🧭					
Address 🙋 C:\LearnSecurity\hidden parameter example\authuser.html					
Enter User Name: '; DROP TABLE USERS; Enter Password: Login					

SQL Injection Attack



Security Instruction via XKCD



http://xkcd.com/327/

SQL Injection: Basic Idea

Victim server



- Unsanitized user input in SQL query to back-end database changes the meaning of query
- Special case of command injection



Authentication with Backend DB

Username	
Password	
Sign in	Stay signed in

User supplies username and password, this SQL query checks if user/password combination is in the database (note: here we're not thinking about how to actually securely store a password)



Using SQL Injection to Log In

- User gives username ' OR 1=1 --
- Web server executes query
 set UserFound=execute(
 SELECT * FROM UserTable WHERE
 username= '' OR 1=1 -- ...);
 Always true!
- Now <u>all</u> records match the query, so the result is not empty ⇒ correct "authentication"!

Preventing SQL Injection

- Validate all inputs
 - Filter out any character that has special meaning
 - Apostrophes, semicolons, percent, hyphens, underscores, ...
 - Use escape characters to prevent special characters form becoming part of the query code
 - E.g.: escape(O'Connor) = O\'Connor

- Check the data type (e.g., input must be an integer)

Prepared Statements

PreparedStatement ps =

Bind variable (data placeholder)

- Bind variables: placeholders guaranteed to be data (not code)
- Query is parsed without data parameters
- Bind variables are typed (int, string, ...)

http://java.sun.com/docs/books/tutorial/jdbc/basics/prepared.html

Defenses

- Use safe APIs, e.g., prepared statements in SQL with parameterized queries
 - Define all the SQL code, then pass in each parameter
 - Separates code from data
- Whitelist-based server-side input validation
- Escape special characters
- Use LIMIT (and other) SQL controls within queries to prevent mass disclosure of records
- Remember Defense in Depth, Least Privilege, etc.
- Remember OWASP https://www.owasp.org/index.php/SQL_Injection_Prevention_Cheat_S heet
 - (though resources now moved elsewhere, this link is to OWASP given value of OWASP in general)

Back to Secure Communications

Authenticity of Public Keys



<u>Problem</u>: How does Alice know that the public key she received is really Bob's public key?

Threat: Man-In-The-Middle (MITM)



Distribution of Public Keys

- Public announcement or public directory
 - Risks: forgery and tampering
- Public-key certificate
 - Signed statement specifying the key and identity
 - sig_{CA}("Bob", PK_B)
- Common approach: certificate authority (CA)
 - Single agency responsible for certifying public keys
 - After generating a private/public key pair, user proves his identity and knowledge of the private key to obtain CA's certificate for the public key (offline)
 - Every computer is <u>pre-configured</u> with CA's public key

Trusted(?) Certificate Authorities



Hierarchical Approach

- Single CA certifying every public key is impractical
- Instead, use a trusted root authority (e.g., Verisign)
 - Everybody must know the root's public key
 - Instead of single cert, use a certificate chain





– What happens if root authority is ever compromised?

You encounter this every day...



SSL/TLS: Encryption & authentication for connections

Example of a Certificate

🛅 GeoTrust Global CA						
→ 🛅 Google Internet Authority G2						
→ 😇 *.google.com						
Certificate *.google.com Issued by: Google Internet Authority G2 Expires: Monday, July 6, 2015 at 5:00:00 PM Pacific Daylight Time This certificate is valid • Details						
	US	1				
State/Province	California	Signature Algorithm	SHA-1 with RSA Encryption (1.2.840.113549.1.1.5)			
Locality	Mountain View	Parameters	none			
Organization	Google Inc	Not Valid Before	Wednesday, April 8, 2015 at 6:40:10 AM Pacific Daylight Time			
Common Name	*.google.com	Not Valid After	Monday, July 6, 2015 at 5:00:00 PM Pacific Daylight Time			
Issuer Name		Public Key Info Algorithm	Elliptic Curve Public Key (1.2.840.10045.2.1)			
Organization	Google Inc	Parameters	Elliptic Curve secp256r1 (1.2.840.10045.3.1.7)			
Common Name	Google Internet Authority G2	Public Key Key Size	65 bytes : 04 CB DD C1 CE AC D6 20 256 bits			
Serial Number	6082711391012222858	Key Usage	Encrypt, Verify, Derive			
Version	3	Signature	256 bytes : 34 8B 7D 64 5A 64 08 5B			

X.509 Certificate



Many Challenges...

- Hash collisions
- Weak security at CAs

 Allows attackers to issue rogue certificates
- Users don't notice when attacks happen
 We'll talk more about this later in the course
- Etc...

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#inbox

[Sotirov et al. "Rogue Certificates"]

Colliding Certificates



DigiNotar is a Dutch Certificate Authority. They sell SSL certificates.



Attacking CAs

Security of DigiNotar servers:

- All core certificate servers controlled by a single admin password (Prod@dm1n)
- Software on publicfacing servers out of date, unpatched
- No anti-virus (could have detected attack)

Somehow, somebody managed to get a rogue SSL certificate from them on July 10th, 2011. This certificate was issued for domain name .google.com.

What can you do with such a certificate? Well, you can impersonate Google — assuming you can first reroute Internet traffic for google.com to you. This is something that can be done by a government or by a rogue ISP. Such a reroute would only affect users within that country or under that ISP.

Consequences

- Attacker needs to first divert users to an attackercontrolled site instead of the real Google, Yahoo, Skype, but then...
 - For example, use DNS to poison the mapping of mail.yahoo.com to an IP address
- ... "authenticate" as the real site
- ... decrypt all data sent by users
 - Email, phone conversations, Web browsing

Certificate Revocation

- Revocation is <u>very</u> important
- Many valid reasons to revoke a certificate
 - Private key corresponding to the certified public key has been compromised
 - User stopped paying his certification fee to this CA and CA no longer wishes to certify him
 - CA's private key has been compromised!
- Expiration is a form of revocation, too
 - Many deployed systems don't bother with revocation
 - Re-issuance of certificates is a big revenue source for certificate authorities

Certificate Revocation Mechanisms

- Certificate revocation list (CRL)
 - CA periodically issues a signed list of revoked certificates
 - Credit card companies used to issue thick books of canceled credit card numbers
 - Can issue a "delta CRL" containing only updates
- Online revocation service
 - When a certificate is presented, recipient goes to a special online service to verify whether it is still valid
 - Like a merchant dialing up the credit card processor

Attempt to Fix CA Problems: Certificate Transparency

- **Problem:** browsers will think nothing is wrong with a rogue certificate until revoked
- **Goal:** make it impossible for a CA to issue a bad certificate for a domain without the owner of that domain knowing

- (Then what?)

• Approach: auditable certificate logs

www.certificate-transparency.org

Attempt to Fix CA Problems: Certificate Pinning

- Trust on first access: tells browser how to act on subsequent connections
- HPKP HTTP Public Key Pinning
 - Use these keys!
 - HTTP response header field "Public-Key-Pins"
- HSTS HTTP Strict Transport Security
 - Only access server via HTTPS
 - HTTP response header field "Strict-Transport-Security"