CSE 484 / CSE M 584: Computer Security and Privacy

Mobile Platform Security

Spring 2016

Franziska (Franzi) Roesner
franzi@cs.washington.edu

Thanks to Dan Boneh, Dieter Gollmann, Dan Halperin, Yoshi Kohno, John Manferdelli, John Mitchell, Vitaly Shmatikov, Bennet Yee, and many others for sample slides and materials ...

Admin

- **Today:** finish biometrics, start mobile security
- **Friday:**
  - Lab #2 due (8pm)
  - Guest lecture: Charlie Reis, Google Chrome Security (and UW PhD grad)

- Interested in policy?
  - Thursdays @ 12:30pm, Tech Policy Lunch in the Tech Policy Lab (Law School, room 222)
  - emcr@u.washington.edu

What About Biometrics?

- Authentication: **What you are**
- Unique identifying characteristics to authenticate user or create credentials
  - Biological and physiological: Fingerprints, iris scan
  - Behaviors characteristics - how perform actions: Handwriting, typing, gait
- Advantages:
  - Nothing to remember
  - Passive
  - Can't share (generally)
  - With perfect accuracy, could be fairly unique

Issues with Biometrics

- Private, but not secret
  - Maybe encoded on the back of an ID card?
  - Maybe encoded on your glass, door handle, …
  - Sharing between multiple systems?
- Revocation is difficult (impossible?)
  - Sorry, your iris has been compromised, please create a new one…
- Physically identifying
  - Soda machine to cross-reference fingerprint with DMV?
- Birthday paradox
  - With false accept rate of 1 in a million, probability of false match is above 50% with only 1609 samples

Attacking Biometrics

- An adversary might try to steal biometric info
  - Malicious fingerprint reader
    - Consider when biometric is used to derive a cryptographic key
  - Residual fingerprint on a glass
- Ex: Apple's TouchID



[Starbug -- http://istouchidhackedyet.com/]

Attacking Biometrics

[Starbug -- http://istouchidhackedyet.com/]

Attacking Biometrics



[Starbug -- http://istouchidhackedyet.com/]

Attacking Biometrics

[Starbug -- http://istouchidhackedyet.com/]

Attacking Biometrics

MOBILE PLATFORM SECURITY

Roadmap

- Mobile malware
- Mobile platforms vs. traditional platforms
- Deep dive into Android
  - Continued next Monday
  - Background for Lab #3

Questions: Mobile Malware

Q1: How might malware authors get malware onto phones?

Q2: What are some goals that mobile device malware authors might have?

Q3: What technical things might malware authors do?

Smartphone (In)Security

Users accidentally install malicious applications.

Over 60% of Android malware steals your money via premium SMS, hides in fake forms of popular apps

By Emil Protalinski, Friday, 5 Oct '12 , 05:50pm



Smartphone (In)Security

Even legitimate applications exhibit questionable behavior.

Top Mobile Apps Overwhelmingly Leak Private Data: Study

By Robert Lemos | Posted 2013-07-31 Email Print

Hornyack et al.: 43 of 110 Android applications sent location or phone ID to third-party advertising/analytics servers.

Android flashlight app tracks users via GPS, FTC says hold on

By Michael Kassner in IT Security, December 11, 2013, 9:49 PM PST

Malware in the Wild

Android malware is growing.
Today (2016): millions of samples.



Mobile Malware Attack Vectors

- Unique to phones:
  - Premium SMS messages
  - Identify location
  - Record phone calls
  - Log SMS
- Similar to desktop/PCs:
  - Connects to botmasters
  - Steal data
  - Phishing
  - Malvertising

Mobile Malware Examples

- **DroidDream** (Android)
  - Over 58 apps uploaded to Google app market
  - Conducts data theft; send credentials to attackers
- **Zitmo** (Symbian,BlackBerry,Windows,Android)
  - Poses as mobile banking application
  - Captures info from SMS – steal banking 2nd factors
  - Works with Zeus botnet
- **Ikee** (iOS)
  - Worm capabilities (targeted default ssh password)
  - Worked only on jailbroken phones with ssh installed

Mobile Malware Examples

"ikee is never going to give you up"

[Zhou et al.]

(Android) Malware in the Wild

What does it do?

	Root Exploit	Remote Control		Financial Charges			Information Stealing		
		Net	SMS	Phone Call	SMS	Block SMS	SMS	Phone #	User Account
# Families	20	27	1	4	28	17	13	15	3
# Samples	1204	1171	1	256	571	315	138	563	43

Why all these problems with mobile malware?

Background: Before Mobile Platforms

Assumptions in traditional OS (e.g., Linux) design:

1. There may be multiple users who don't trust each other.
2. Once an application is installed, it's (more or less) trusted.

Background: Before Mobile Platforms

Assumptions in traditional OS (e.g., Linux) design:

1. **There may be multiple users who don't trust each other.**
2. Once an application is installed, it's (more or less) trusted.

```
FranziBook:Desktop franzi$ whoami
franzi

FranziBook:Desktop franzi$ id
uid=501(franzi) gid=20(staff) groups=20(staff),401(com.apple.sharepoint.group.1),5
02(access_bpf),12(everyone),61(localaccounts),79(_appserverusr),80(admin),81(_apps
erveradm),98(_lpadmin),33(_appstore),100(_lpoperator),204(_developer),395(com.appl
e.access_ftp),398(com.apple.access_screensharing),399(com.apple.access_ssh)

FranziBook:Desktop franzi$ ls -l hello.txt
-rw-r--r--  1 franzi  staff  0 Nov 29 10:08 hello.txt

FranziBook:Desktop franzi$ chmod 700 hello.txt
FranziBook:Desktop franzi$ ls -l hello.txt
-rwx------  1 franzi  staff  0 Nov 29 10:08 hello.txt
```

Background: Before Mobile Platforms

Assumptions in traditional OS (e.g., Linux) design:

1. There may be multiple users who don't trust each other.
2. **Once an application is installed, it's (more or less) trusted.**

Apps can do anything the UID they're running under can do.

What's Different about Mobile Platforms?

- Applications are isolated
  - Each runs in a separate execution context
  - No default access to file system, devices, etc.
  - **Different than traditional OSes** where multiple applications run with the same user permissions!

- **App Store:** approval process for applications
  - Market: Vendor controlled/Open
  - App signing: Vendor-issued/self-signed
  - User approval of permissions

More Details: Android

[Enck et al.]



- Based on Linux
- Application sandboxes
  - Applications run as separate UIDs, in separate processes.
  - Memory corruption errors only lead to arbitrary code execution in the context of the **particular** application, not complete system compromise!
  - (Can still escape sandbox – but must compromise Linux kernel to do so.) ← allows rooting

Android Applications

- Activities provide user interfaces.
- Services run in the background.
- BroadcastReceivers receive messages sent to multiple applications (e.g., BOOT_COMPLETED).
- ContentProviders are databases addressable by their application-defined URIs.

- AndroidManifest.xml
  - Specifies application components
  - Specifies required permissions

Rooting and Jailbreaking

- Allows user to run applications with root privileges
  - e.g., modify/delete system files, app management, CPU management, network management, etc.
- Done by exploiting vulnerability in firmware to install `su` binary.
- Double-edged sword…

- Note: iOS is more restrictive than Android
  - Doesn't allow "side-loading" apps, etc.

Challenges with Isolated Apps

So mobile platforms isolate applications for security, but…

1. Permissions: How can applications access sensitive resources?
2. Communication: How can applications communicate with each other?

(1) Permission Granting Problem

Smartphones (and other modern OSes) try to prevent such attacks by limiting applications' access to:

- System Resources (clipboard, file system).
- Devices (camera, GPS, phone, …).

How should operating system grant permissions to applications?

Standard approach: Ask the user.

State of the Art

Prompts (time-of-use)



State of the Art

Prompts (time-of-use)





Manifests (install-time)



State of the Art

Prompts (time-of-use)



Disruptive, which leads to prompt-fatigue.

Manifests (install-time)



Out of context; not understood by users.

In practice, both are **overly permissive**:
Once granted permissions, apps can misuse them.

[Felt et al.]

Are Manifests Usable?

Do users pay attention to permissions?



… but 88% of users looked at reviews.

[Felt et al.]

Are Manifests Usable?

Do users understand the warnings?

	Permission	n	Correct Answers	
1 Choice	READ_CALENDAR	101	46	45.5%
	CHANGE_NETWORK_STATE	66	26	39.4%
	READ_SMS$_1$	77	24	31.2%
	CALL_PHONE	83	16	19.3%
2 Choices	WAKE_LOCK	81	27	33.3%
	WRITE_EXTERNAL_STORAGE	92	14	15.2%
	READ_CONTACTS	86	11	12.8%
	INTERNET	109	12	11.0%
	READ_PHONE_STATE	85	4	4.7%
	READ_SMS$_2$	54	12	22.2%
4	CAMERA	72	7	9.7%

Table 4: The number of people who correctly answered a question. Questions are grouped by the number of correct choices. n is the number of respondents. (Internet Survey, $n = 302$)

[Felt et al.]

Are Manifests Usable?

Do users act on permission information?

"Have you ever not installed an app because of permissions?"



[Felt et al.]

Over-Permissioning

- Android permissions are badly documented.
- Researchers have mapped APIs → permissions.

www.android-permissions.org (Felt et al.), http://pscout.csl.toronto.edu (Au et al.)