

#### What You Have

#### Smartcard

• Little computer chip in credit card form factor



### Magstripe Writer

医肠管 医无关系 医输出性 经通知 医外侧 医外侧 医子宫 化合金 医子宫



http://www.tyner.com/magnetic/msr206-1.jpg







**RFID** reader





### **Relaying Attack**





# Smartcard Bank Cards [Drimer and Murdoch]



Image from <a href="http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/security/projects/banking/relay/">http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/security/projects/banking/relay/</a>

# Smartcard Bank Cards [Drimer and Murdoch]



Image from <a href="http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/security/projects/banking/relay/">http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/security/projects/banking/relay/</a>

### Some Approaches

- Can control tags with:
  - Sleeves
  - Buttons
  - Multi-factor authentication
  - Distance bounding
- First three change the usage model might be highly inconvenient
- Distance bounding isn't backwardscompatible and requires systemic changes

### Sensing Intent

- What if the tags could sense when you wanted them to talk – without changing the entire system?
- We observe many people perform unique gestures when using their tags
   Usually a wave in front of the antenna
   Hip twist, others, etc.

#### Can we base tag activation on these?

### Our Solution

Detecting all gestures might be too hard
What if we only change the usage model slightly and require **specific** gestures?

We developed a completely passive tag to detect these specific gestures, which we call secret handshakes

Our Prototype

- We use the Intel WISP – a platform for RFID research
- Fully-programmable microcontroller (TI MSP 430)
- Built-in accelerometer
- Completely passive





### "Improving" Passwords

#### Add biometrics

- For example, keystroke dynamics or voiceprint
- Revocation is often a problem with biometrics
- Graphical passwords
  - Goal: increase the size of memorable password space

### **Graphical Passwords**

- Images are easy for humans to process and remember
  - Especially if you invent a memorable story to go along with the images
- Dictionary attacks on graphical passwords are difficult
  - Images are believed to be very "random" (is this true?)
- Still not a perfect solution
  - Need infrastructure for displaying and storing images
  - Shoulder surfing

### Motivation

- Text Passwords are hard to remember
- Reuse & recording passwords is insecure
- People are good at recognizing faces
- Facial Passwords leverage this, but multiple facial passwords have not been studied

Slides from Kate Everitt; CHI 2009, Everitt, Bragin, Fogarty, Kohno

### **Graphical Password Systems**

- Cognometric schemes
  - present a set of images,
  - authentication requires selection of correct images
- Locimetric Schemes
  - presents a single image, with authentication requiring clicking on regions of the image
- Drawmetric Schemes
  - require drawing figures or doodles to authenticate.

Slides from Kate Everitt; CHI 2009, Everitt, Bragin, Fogarty, Kohno

### **Empirical Results**

 Experimental study of 154 computer science students at Johns Hopkins and Carnegie Mellon
 Conclusioned

Conclusions:

- "... faces chosen by users are highly affected by the race of the user... the gender and attractiveness of the faces bias password choice... In the case of male users, we found this bias so severe that we do not believe it possible to make this scheme secure against an online attack..."
- 2 guesses enough for 10% of male users
- 8 guesses enough for 25% of male users

### User Quotes

"I chose the images of the ladies which appealed the most"

"I simply picked the best lookin girl on each page"

In order to remember all the pictures for my login (after forgetting my 'password' 4 times in a row) I needed to pick pictures I could EASILY remember... So I chose beautiful women. The other option I would have chosen was handsome men, but the women are much more pleasing to look at"

### More User Quotes

- "I picked her because she was female and Asian and being female and Asian, I thought I could remember that"
- "I started by deciding to choose faces of people in my own race..."
- "... Plus he is African-American like me"
- Recommendation: system picks passfaces
   But is that still memorable? What issues could arise?

### What about multiple passwords?

- 109 participants in a 5 week study
- Email-based prompts to access the study website and authenticate
- Study emails were sent on Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday
- Participants were allowed a maximum of three login attempts

Slides from Kate Everitt; CHI 2009, Everitt, Bragin, Fogarty, Kohno

### **Study Conditions**



Frequency, interference, and training do play a role in memorability

Slides from Kate Everitt; CHI 2009, Everitt, Bragin, Fogarty, Kohno

#### Variants...

#### <u>http://arima.okoze.net/illusion/</u>

(Plus click-based graphical passwords, drawing-based passwords)

### Uses of graphical passwords?

For what applications might graphical passwords be particularly useful?

#### What About Biometrics?

- Authentication: What you are
- Unique identifying characteristics to authenticate user or create credentials
  - Biological and physiological: Fingerprints, iris scan
  - Behaviors characteristics how perform actions: Handwriting, typing, gait

#### Advantages:

- Nothing to remember
- Passive
- Can't share (generally)
- With perfect accuracy, could be fairly unique

### Overview [Matsumoto]



Tsutomu Matsumoto's image, from <u>http://web.mit.edu/6.857/</u> <u>OldStuff/Fall03/ref/gummy-slides.pdf</u>

Dashed lines for enrollment; solid for verification or identification

#### Biometric Error Rates (Non-Adversarial)

#### "Fraud rate" vs. "insult rate"

- Fraud = system incorrectly accepts (false accept)
- Insult = system rejects valid user (false reject)
- Increasing acceptance threshold increases fraud rate, decreases insult rate
- For biometrics, U.K. banks set target fraud rate of 1%, insult rate of 0.01% [Ross Anderson]

#### **Biometrics**

#### Face recognition (by a computer algorithm)

• Error rates up to 20%, given reasonable variations in lighting, viewpoint and expression

#### Fingerprints

- Traditional method for identification
- 1911: first US conviction on fingerprint evidence
- U.K. traditionally requires 16-point match
  - Probability of false match is 1 in 10 billion
  - No successful challenges until 2000
- Fingerprint damage impairs recognition
  - Ross Anderson's scar crashes FBI scanner

### **Other Biometrics**

#### Iris scanning

- Irises are very random, but stable through life – Different between the two eyes of the same individual
- 256-byte iris code based on concentric rings between the pupil and the outside of the iris
- Equal error rate better than 1 in a million
- Best biometric mechanism currently known

#### Hand geometry

• Used in nuclear premises entry control, INSPASS (discontinued in 2002)

### **Other Biometrics**

Vein

- Pattern on back of hand
- Handwriting
- Typing
  - Timings for character sequences
- Gait



### Any issues with this?

#### **Canon Files For DSLR Iris Registration Patent**

Posted by kdawson on Tuesday February 12, @07:39PM from the biological-metadata dept.

#### An anonymous reader writes



"Canon has filed for a patent for using <u>iris watermarking</u> (as in the iris of your eye) to take photographer's copyright protection to the next level. You set up the camera to capture an image of your eye through the viewfinder. Once captured, this biological reference is embedded as metadata into every photo you take. Canon claims this will help with copyright infringement of photos online."

### **Issues with Biometrics**

#### Private, but not secret

- Maybe encoded on the back of an ID card?
- Maybe encoded on your glass, door handle, ...
- Sharing between multiple systems?
- Revocation is difficult (impossible?)
  - Sorry, your iris has been compromised, please create a new one...
- Physically identifying
  - Soda machine to cross-reference fingerprint with DMV?

#### **Issues with Biometrics**

- Criminal gives an inexperienced policeman fingerprints in the wrong order
  - Record not found; gets off as a first-time offender

#### Can be attacked using recordings

• Ross Anderson: in countries where fingerprints are used to pay pensions, there are persistent tales of "Granny's finger in the pickle jar" being the most valuable property she bequeathed to her family

#### Birthday paradox

• With false accept rate of 1 in a million, probability of false match is above 50% with only 1609 samples

#### **Issues with Biometrics**

- Anecdotally, car jackings went up when it became harder to steal cars without the key
- But what if you need your fingerprint to start your car?
  - Stealing cars becomes harder
  - So what would the car thieves have to do?

#### **Risks of Biometrics**



### **Biometric Error Rates (Adversarial)**

#### Want to minimize "fraud" and "insult" rate

- "Easy" to test probability of accidental misidentification (fraud)
- But what about adversarial fraud
  - Besides stolen fingers
- An adversary might try to steal the biometric information
  - Malicious fingerprint reader
    - Consider when biometric is used to derive a cryptographic key
  - Residual fingerprint on a glass

### Voluntary: Making a Mold

#### [Matsumoto]



http://web.mit.edu/6.857/OldStuff/Fall03/ref/gummy-slides.pdf

### Voluntary: Making a Finger

[Matsumoto]



Pour the liquid into the mold.

Put it into a refrigerator to cool.

It takes around 10 minutes.

The gummy finger

http://web.mit.edu/6.857/OldStuff/Fall03/ref/gummy-slides.pdf

#### Involuntary

#### [Matsumoto]





#### Involuntary

#### **Gelatin Liquid**

a refrigerator to cool, Drip the liquid onto the mold. 40wt.% http://web.mit.edu/6.857/OldStuff/Fall03/ref/gummy-slides.pdf

[Matsumoto]

Put this mold into and then peel carefully.

#### Involuntary

#### [Matsumoto]



http://web.mit.edu/6.857/OldStuff/Fall03/ref/gummy-slides.pdf



[Ballard, Monrose, Lopresti]

Maybe a computer could also forge some biometrics



## Password Managers

- Idea: Software application that will store and manage passwords for you.
  - You remember one password.
  - Each website sees a different password.
- Examples: PwdHash (Usenix Security 2005) and Password Multiplier (WWW 2005).

## Key ideas

- User remembers a single password
- Password managers
  - On input: (1) the user's single password and
    (2) information about the website
  - Compute: Strong, site-specific password
- Goal: Avoid problems with passwords

## The problem

#### Alice needs passwords for all the websites that she visits



### **Possible solutions**

- Easy to remember: Use same password on all websites. Use "weak" password.
  - Poor security (don't share password between bank website and small website)
- More secure: Use different, strong passwords on all websites.
  - Hard to remember, unless write down.

## Alternate solution: Password managers

- Password managers handle creating and "remembering" strong passwords
- Potentially:
  - Easier for users
  - More secure
- Examples:
  - PwdHash (Usenix Security 2005)
  - Password Multiplier (WWW 2005)

#### **PwdHash**



### **Password Multiplier**

Multiply Password			
_ Authorize	d for comp5405@yahoo.com	-	
Master password:			
	Verification code: Remember password for this session		
Site name:	yahoo.com		
	OK Cancel		

@@ in front of passwords to protect; or F2

```
sitePwd = Hash(pwd,domain)
```

Active with Alt-P or doubleclick

sitePwd = Hash(usrname,
 pwd, domain)

```
Prevent phishing attacks
```

Both solutions target simplicity and transparency.

## Usenix 2006: Usabilty testing

- Are these programs usable? If not, what are the problems?
- Two main approaches for evaluating usability:
  - Usability inspection (no users)
    - Cognitive walk throughs
    - Heuristic evaluation
  - User study
     This paper stresses need to observe real users
  - Controlled experiments
    - Real usage

[Chiasson, van Oorschot, Biddle]

## Study details

- 26 participants, across various backgrounds (4 technical)
- Five assigned tasks per plugin
- Data collection
  - Observational data (recording task outcomes, difficulties, misconceptions)
  - Questionnaire data (initial attitudes, opinions after tasks, post questionnaires)

[Chiasson, van Oorschot, Biddle]

## Task completion results

	Success	Potentially Causing Security Exposures			
		Dangerous		Failures	
	Success	Failure	False Completion	Failed due to Previous	
PwdHash					
Log In	48%	44%	8%	0%	N/A
Migrate Pwd	42%	35%	11%	11%	N/A
Remote Login	27%	42%	31%	0%	N/A
Update Pwd	19%	65%	8%	8%	N/A
Second Login	<b>52%</b>	28%	4%	0%	16%
Password Multiplier					
Log In	48%	44%	8%	0%	N/A
Migrate Pwd	16%	32%	28%	20%	N/A
Remote Login	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Update Pwd	16%	4%	44%	28%	N/A
Second Login	16%	4%	16%	0%	16%

http://www.scs.carleton.ca/~schiasso/Chiasson\_UsenixSecurity2006\_PwdManagers.ppt

[Chiasson, van Oorschot, Biddle]

### Questionnaire responses



## Problem: Transparency

- Unclear to users whether actions successful or not.
  - Should be obvious when plugin activated.
  - Should be obvious when password protected.
- Users feel that they should be able to know their own password.

## Problem: Mental model

Users seemed to have misaligned mental models

- Not understand that one needs to put "@@" before each password to be protected.
- Think different passwords generated for each session.
- Think successful when were not.
- Not know to click in field before Alt-P.
- PwdHash: Think passwords unique to them.

## When "nothing works"

- Tendency to try all passwords
  - A poor security choice.
  - May make the use of PwdHash or Password Multiplier worse than not using any password manager.
- Usability problem leads to security vulnerabilities.