Autonomous Robotics
Winter 2024

Abhishek Gupta

TAs: Karthikeya Vemuri, Arnav Thareja

Marius Memmel, Yunchu Zhang

Class Outline



Logistics

- HW 2 released today
- Due date pushed back 2 days
- Paper presentation teams emailed. We will read 2 papers:
  - PoseRBPF: A Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filter for 6D Object Pose Tracking, Deng et al 2019
  - KLD-Sampling: Adaptive Particle Filters, Fox et al 2001
- 2 teams will present one paper each, others leave comments on thread on edstem by next Friday 1/26

Lecture Outline

Particle Based Representations in Filtering

Particle Filter

Particle Filter w/ Resampling

Practical Considerations

Recap: Bayes Filters

Key Idea: Apply Markov to get a recursive update!

Step 0. Start with the belief at time step t-1

$$bel(x_{t-1})$$

Step 1: Prediction - push belief through dynamics given action

$$\overline{bel}(x_t) = \sum P(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1})bel(x_{t-1})$$

Step 2: Correction - apply Bayes rule given measurement

$$bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t)\overline{bel}(x_t)$$

When does the Kalman Filter fail?

- Non-linear functions
- Non-Gaussian functions

How can we represent this better?



Multimodality in Probability Distributions



How can we get away from the Gaussian assumption?

Depends what we want to do with the probability distribution!

→ Typically we want to compute averages (expectations)

Downstream Usage of Estimated Probability Distributions

What do we actually intend to do with the belief $bel(x_{t+1})$?

→ Often times we will be evaluating the expected value

$$\mathbb{E}[f] = \int_x f(x) bel(x) dx$$

Mean position: $f(x) \equiv x$

Probability of collision: $f(x) \equiv \mathbb{I}(x \in \mathcal{O})$

Mean value / cost-to-go: $f(x) \equiv V(x)$



Computing Expectations without Closed Form Likelihoods

Monte-Carlo Simulation

$$\mathbb{E}_{x\sim Bel(x_t)}\left[f(x)\right] = \int_x f(x)Bel(x)dx \approx \sum_x f(x)Bel(x)$$

Sample from the belief: $x_1, \cdots, x_N \sim Bel(x_t)$

$$\mathbb{E}_{x\sim Bel(x_t)}\left[f(x)\right] \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_i^N f(x^{(i)})$$

Don’t require closed form distributions (Gaussian/Beta, etc), just samples (particles)!

→ Replace fancy math by brute force simulation!!

Examples of Monte Carlo Estimation

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}(x \in \mathcal{O})] = P(x \in \mathcal{O}) = \frac{\pi}{4} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum \mathbb{I}(x^{(i)} \in \mathcal{O})$$

1. Sample points uniformly from unit square
2. Count number in quarter-circle (i.e. $\|x_i\| \leq 1$)
3. Divide by N, multiply by 4





→ Exercise: What are other practical problems where this is useful?

ADAPTED FROM WIKIPEDIA

Bringing this Back to Estimation – Belief Distribution

Let's consider the Bayesian filtering update

$$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t) \int P(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

Represent the belief with a set of particles! Each is a hypothesis of what the state might be.

Higher likelihood regions have more particles



How do we "propagate" belief across timesteps with particles?

Bayes Filter $$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t) \int P(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

Dynamics Update $$\overline{Bel}(x_t) = \int p(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

Measurement Correction $$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t) \overline{Bel}(x_t)$$

How do we sample from the product of two distributions?

How do we compute conditioning/normalization with particles?

Lecture Outline

Particle Based Representations in Filtering

Particle Filter

Particle Filter w/ Resampling

Practical Considerations

Dynamics Step: Propagating Belief Through Dynamics

Bayes Filter $$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t) \int P(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

Dynamics Update $$\overline{Bel}(x_t) = \int P(x_t|u_{t-1}, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

How do we sample from the product of two distributions?





???

Treat each particle as point estimate of actual state and propagate through the dynamics

Propagating Belief Through Dynamics: Initial



Propagating Belief Through Dynamics: Robot Motion

$$\overline{Bel}(x_t) = \int P(x_t|u_{t-1}, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

Push samples forward according to dynamics

Take every x_{t-1} in previous belief, run motion model forward with x_{t-1} and u_t to get new particles



Dynamics Update:

$$\overline{Bel}(x_t) = \int P(x_t|u_{t-1}, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

Sample forward using the dynamics model:

1. No gaussian requirement
2. No linearity requirement, just push forward distribution



How do we "propagate" belief across timesteps with particles?

Bayes Filter $$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t) \int P(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

Measurement Correction $$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t) \overline{Bel}(x_t)$$

How do we compute conditioning/normalization with particles?

Sensor Information: Measurement Update

Can no longer just push forward with evidence, need to normalize

$$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t)\overline{Bel}(x_t)$$

$$Bel(x_t) = \frac{P(z_t|x_t)\overline{Bel}(x_t)}{\int P(z_t|x_t)\overline{Bel}(x_t)dx_t}$$

Weight each particle - Can compute a per sample weight.
Distribution represented as set of weighted samples

$$w_i = \frac{P(z_t|x_t^i)}{\sum_j P(z_t|x_t^j)}$$

Not ad hoc! → exactly the same as importance sampling

Detour: What is Importance Sampling?

How can we sample from a
“complex” distribution p(x) using a simple distribution q(x)?

Importance Sampling

1. Sample from an (easy) proposal distribution
2. Reweight samples to match the target distribution

Importance Sampling



Don’t know how to sample from target!

Importance Sampling

1. Sample from an (easy) proposal distribution



Can sample from proposal distribution

Importance Sampling

1. Sample from an (easy) proposal distribution
2. Reweight samples to match the target distribution



Importance Sampling

$$\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p(x)}[f(x)] = \sum p(x)f(x)$$

Expected value with p(x)

$$= \sum p(x)f(x)\frac{q(x)}{q(x)}$$

$$= \sum q(x)\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}f(x)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x\sim q(x)}\left[\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}f(x)\right]$$

Expected value with q(x)

IMPORTANCE WEIGHT

$$\approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\frac{p(x^{(i)})}{q(x^{(i)})}f(x^{(i)})\right]$$

Monte Carlo estimate

Measurement Update with Importance Sampling

Target Distribution: Posterior

$$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t) \int P(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

p(x)

Proposal Distribution: After applying motion model

$$\overline{Bel}(x_t) = \int P(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

q(x)

Measurement Update with Importance Sampling

p(x) $$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t) \int P(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

q(x) $$\overline{Bel}(x_t) = \int P(x_t|u_t, x_{t-1}) Bel(x_{t-1}) dx_{t-1}$$

Importance Weight (Ratio)

$$w = \frac{Bel(x_t)}{\overline{Bel}(x_t)} = \eta P(z_t|x_t)$$

Sensor Information: Importance Sampling

Can compute a weighted set of samples by weighting by (normalized) evidence

$$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t)\overline{Bel}(x_t) \qquad w_i = \frac{P(z_t|x_t^i)}{\sum_j P(z_t|x_t^j)}$$



Measurement Update

$$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t)\overline{Bel}(x_t)$$

$$Bel(x_t) = \frac{P(z_t|x_t)\overline{Bel}(x_t)}{\int P(z_t|x_t)\overline{Bel}(x_t)dx_t}$$

$$w_i = \frac{P(z_t|x_t^i)}{\sum_j P(z_t|x_t^j)}$$



Reweight particles according to measurement likelihood

Normalized Importance Sampling



0.50

0.25

0.25

$$Bel(x_{t-1}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} x_{t-1}^{(1)} & x_{t-1}^{(2)} & \cdots & x_{t-1}^{(M)} \\ w_{t-1}^{(1)} & w_{t-1}^{(2)} & \cdots & w_{t-1}^{(M)} \end{array} \right\}$$

Normalized Importance Sampling



0.50

0.25

0.25

$$\bar{x}_t^{(i)} \sim P(x_t | u_t, x_{t-1})$$

Normalized Importance Sampling





0.50 × 0.02 = 0.01

0.25 × 0.20 = 0.05

0.25 × 0.08 = 0.02

$$w_t^{(i)} = P(z_t|\bar{x}_t^{(i)})w_{t-1}^{(i)}$$

Normalized Importance Sampling







0.125

0.625

0.250

$$w_t^{(i)} = \frac{w_t^{(i)}}{\sum_i w_t^{(i)}}$$

Normalized Importance Sampling







0.125

0.625

0.250

$$Bel(x_t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} \bar{x}_t^{(1)} & \bar{x}_t^{(2)} & \cdots & \bar{x}_t^{(M)} \\ w_t^{(1)} & w_t^{(2)} & \cdots & w_t^{(M)} \end{array} \right\}$$

Overall Particle Filter algorithm – v1



Lecture Outline

Particle Based Representations in Filtering

Particle Filter

Particle Filter w/ Resampling

Practical Considerations

What happens across multiple steps?



Importance weights get multiplied at each step

Why might this be bad?

Importance weights get multiplied at each step



1. May blow up and get numerically unstable over many steps
2. Particles stay stuck in unlikely regions



Resampling

- **Given**: Set *S* of weighted samples (from measurement step) with weights w_i

- **Wanted** : unweighted random sample, where the probability of drawing x_i is given by w_i.

- Typically done *n* times with replacement to generate new sample set *S'*.

Resampling



Here are your random numbers:

0.97
0.26
0.72



- Spin a roulette wheel
- Space according to weights
- Pick samples based on where it lands

Resampling in a particle filter

$$Bel(x_t) = \eta P(z_t|x_t)\overline{Bel}(x_t)$$

$$Bel(x_t) = \frac{P(z_t|x_t)\overline{Bel}(x_t)}{\int P(z_t|x_t)\overline{Bel}(x_t)dx_t}$$

$$w_i = \frac{P(z_t|x_t^i)}{\sum_j P(z_t|x_t^j)}$$





Resample particles from weighted distribution to give unweighted set of particles

Original: Normalized Importance Sampling





0.125

0.625

0.250

$$Bel(x_t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} \bar{x}_t^{(1)} & \bar{x}_t^{(2)} & \cdots & \bar{x}_t^{(M)} \\ w_t^{(1)} & w_t^{(2)} & \cdots & w_t^{(M)} \end{array} \right\}$$

New: Normalized Importance Sampling with Resampling







0.125

0.625

0.250



Here are your random numbers:

0.97
0.26
0.72

New: Normalized Importance Sampling with Resampling





$$x_t^{(i)} \sim w_t^{(i)}, \; Bel(x_t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} x_t^{(1)} & \cdots & x_t^{(M)} \\ \frac{1}{M} & \cdots & \frac{1}{M} \end{array} \right\}$$













Overall Particle Filter algorithm – v2



Lecture Outline

Particle Based Representations in Filtering

Particle Filter

Particle Filter w/ Resampling

Practical Considerations

Problem 1: Two Room Challenge

Particles begin equally distributed, no motion or observation

All particles migrate to one room!

Reason: Resampling Increases Variance

50% prob. of resampling particle from Room 1 vs Room 2
31% prob. of preserving 50-50 particle split

All particles migrate to one room!

Idea 1: Judicious Resampling

Key idea: resample less often! (e.g., if the robot is stopped, don't resample). Too often may lose particle diversity, infrequently may waste particles

Common approach: don't resample if weights have low variance

Can be implemented in several ways: don't resample when…

…all weights are equal

…weights have high entropy

…ratio of max to min weights is low

Idea 2: Low-Variance Resampling

Sample one random number $r \sim \left[0, \frac{1}{M}\right]$

Covers space of samples more systematically (and more efficiently)

If all samples have same importance weight, won't lose particle diversity



Other Practical Concerns

How many particles is enough?

Typically need more particles at the beginning (to cover possible states)

KLD Sampling (Fox, 2001) adaptively increases number of particles when state uncertainty is high, reduces when state uncertainty is low

Particle filtering with overconfident sensor models

Squash sensor model prob. with power of 1/m (Lecture 3)

Sample from better proposal distribution than motion model

Manifold Particle Filter (Koval et al., 2017) for contact sensors

Particle starvation: no particles near current state

MuSHR Localization Project

Implement kinematic car motion model

Implement different factors of single-beam sensor model

Combine motion and sensor model with the Particle Filter algorithm

Lecture Outline

Particle Based Representations in Filtering

Particle Filter

Particle Filter w/ Resampling

Practical Considerations

Class Outline