# Heuristic Search

#### Instructor: Chris Mavrogiannis

TAs: Kay Ke, Gilwoo Lee, Matt Schmittle

\*Slides based on or adapted from Sanjiban Choudhury, Steve Lavalle, Max Likhachev

## General framework for motion planning



#### Create a graph

Search the graph



Interleave

## General framework for motion planning



What's the best we can do? What's the best What's the best we can do? we can do?

#### For this lecture....

We will focus on the search assuming everything we need is given



Optimal Path = SHORTESTPATH(V, E, start, goal)

#### If you are serious about heuristic search



This lecture: Skewed view of search that will be helpful for robot motion planning

# Today's objective

- 1. Best-First search as a meta-algorithm
- 2. Dijkstra's Algorithm as a Best-First Search
- 3. A\* as another instance of Best-First Search
  - 4. Heuristics for guiding Search

# High-order bit

Expansion of a search wavefront from start to goal



7

## What do we want?

1. Search to systematically reason over the space of paths

2. Find a (near)-optimal path quickly

(minimize planning effort)

#### Best-First search

This is a meta-algorithm



BFS maintains a priority queue of promising nodes

Each node s ranked by a function f(s)

Populate queue initially with start node

Element (Node)	Priority Value (f-value)
Node A	f(A)
Node B	f(B)
	•••••

#### Best-First search



Search explores graph by expanding to most promising node min f(s)

Terminate when you find the goal

Element (Node)	Priority Value (f-value)
Node A	f(A)
Node D	f(D)
Node B	f(B)
Node C	f(C)



#### Best-First search



Key Idea: Choose f(s) wisely!
when goal found, it has (near) optimal path
minimize the number of expansions

# Notation

#### Given:

Start  $s_{start}$  Goal  $s_{goal}$ Cost c(s, s')Graph G(V,E)Objects created:

OPEN: priority queue of nodes that have not been visited

CLOSED: list of visited nodes

g(s): estimate of the least cost from start to a given node

### Best-First Search

Set  $g(s_{start}) = 0$ ; all other g-values to inf; Set OPEN/CLOSED to empty

Insert  $s_{start}$  into OPEN

While  $s_{goal}$  not expanded do

# Dijkstra's Algorithm

**Best-First** 

with

f(s) = g(s)



estimate of the least cost from start to a given node

# Dijkstra's Algorithm

- optimal values satisfy:  $g(s) = \min_{s'' \in pred(s)} g(s'') + c(s'',s)$ the cost  $c(s_l, s_{goal})$  of an edge from  $s_1$  to  $s_{goal}$ 2  $S_2$  $S_1$ Sstar Sgoal 1 3  $S_4$  $S_3$ 

## Dijkstra's Algorithm

optimal values satisfy:  $g(s) = \min_{s'' \in pred(s)} g(s'') + c(s'',s)$ the cost  $c(s_l, s_{goal})$  of an edge from  $s_1$  to  $s_{goal}$ *g*=3 g=I2  $S_2$  $S_1$ g=0g=5S<sub>star</sub> 1 S goa 3  $S_{4}$ 

 $g=\mathfrak{I}$ 

#### Nice property:

g=2

Only process nodes ONCE. Only process cheaper nodes than goal.

## Can we have a better f(s)?

#### Yes!

# Wouldn't it be better if f(s) "knew" the goal?

#### Heuristics

What if we had a heuristic h(s) that estimated the cost to goal?



Set the evaluation function f(s) = g(s) + h(s)

# Example of heuristics?

1. Minimum number of nodes to go to goal

2. Euclidean distance to goal

- 3. Solution to a relaxed problem
- 4. Domain knowledge / Learning ....



# A\* [Hart, Nillson, Raphael, '68]

Let L be the length of the shortest path

Dijkstra



 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm Expand\ every\ state}\\ g(s) < L \end{array}$ 



**A**\*

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm Expand\ every\ state} \\ {\rm f(s)} = {\rm g(s)} + {\rm h(s)} < {\rm L} \end{array}$ 

Both find the optimal path ...

but A\* only expands relevant states, i.e., does much less work!

Set f-values, g-values to inf; Set OPEN/CLOSED to empty; h given Insert  $s_{start}$  into OPEN

While  $s_{goal}$  not expanded do Remove s with the smallest f(s) = g(s)+h(s) from OPEN Insert s to CLOSED For every successor s', not in CLOSED do If g(s') > g(s) + c(s,s') g(s') = g(s) + c(s,s')Insert s' to OPEN

Computes **optimal** g-values for **relevant** states!

Set f-values, g-values to inf; Set OPEN/CLOSED to empty; h given Insert  $s_{start}$  into OPEN

While  $\underline{s}_{aoal}$  not expanded do

Remove s with the smallest f(s) = g(s)+h(s) from OPEN Insert s to CLOSED For every successor s', not in CLOSED do If g(s') > g(s) + c(s,s')g(s') = g(s) + c(s,s')Insert s' to OPEN

 $CLOSED = \{\}$   $OPEN = \{s_{start}\}$ next state to expand:  $s_{start}$ 



Set f-values, g-values to inf; Set OPEN/CLOSED to empty; h given Insert  $s_{start}$  into OPEN

While  $\underline{s}_{aoal}$  not expanded do Remove s with the smallest f(s) = g(s) + h(s) from OPEN Insert s to CLOSEDFor every successor s', not in CLOSED do If g(s') > g(s) + c(s,s')q(s') = q(s) + c(s,s') $g(s_2) > g(s_{start}) + c(s_{start}, s_2)$ Insert s' to *OPEN*  $g=\infty$  $g = \infty$ h=2h=12 g=0 $S_1$  $S_2$  $g = \infty$ h=32 h=0 $CLOSED = \{\}$ (S<sub>goal</sub> S 1  $OPEN = \{s_{start}\}$ start *next state to expand: s*<sub>start</sub> 3  $S_4$  $S_3$  $g = \infty$  $g = \infty$ 

h=2

h=1

Set f-values, g-values to inf; Set OPEN/CLOSED to empty; h given Insert  $s_{start}$  into OPEN

While  $\underline{s}_{qoal}$  not expanded do

$$CLOSED = \{s_{start}\}$$
$$OPEN = \{s_2\}$$
next state to expand:  $s_2$ 



Set f-values, g-values to inf; Set OPEN/CLOSED to empty; h given Insert  $s_{start}$  into OPEN

While  $\underline{s}_{aoal}$  not expanded do

Remove s with the smallest f(s) = g(s)+h(s) from OPEN Insert s to CLOSED For every successor s', not in CLOSED do If g(s') > g(s) + c(s,s')g(s') = g(s) + c(s,s')Insert s' to OPEN

 $CLOSED = \{s_{start}, s_2\}$  $OPEN = \{s_1, s_4\}$ next state to expand:  $s_1$ 



Set f-values, g-values to inf; Set OPEN/CLOSED to empty; h given Insert  $s_{start}$  into OPEN

While  $\underline{s}_{aoal}$  not expanded do

Remove s with the smallest f(s) = g(s)+h(s) from OPEN Insert s to CLOSED For every successor s', not in CLOSED do If g(s') > g(s) + c(s,s')g(s') = g(s) + c(s,s')Insert s' to OPEN

 $CLOSED = \{s_{start}, s_2, s_1\}$  $OPEN = \{s_4, s_{goal}\}$ next state to expand:  $s_4$ 



Set f-values, g-values to inf; Set OPEN/CLOSED to empty; h given Insert  $s_{start}$  into OPEN

While  $\underline{s}_{aoal}$  not expanded do

Remove s with the smallest f(s) = g(s)+h(s) from OPEN Insert s to CLOSED For every successor s', not in CLOSED do If g(s') > g(s) + c(s,s')g(s') = g(s) + c(s,s')Insert s' to OPEN

 $CLOSED = \{s_{start}, s_2, s_1, s_4\}$  $OPEN = \{s_3, s_{goal}\}$  $next state to expand: s_{goal}$ 



Set f-values, g-values to inf; Set OPEN/CLOSED to empty; h given Insert  $s_{start}$  into OPEN

While  $\underline{s}_{aoal}$  not expanded do



Set f-values, g-values to inf; Set OPEN/CLOSED to empty; h given Insert  $s_{start}$  into OPEN

While  $s_{qoal}$  not expanded do





Set f-values, g-values to inf; Set OPEN/CLOSED to empty; h given Insert  $s_{start}$  into OPEN

While  $\underline{s}_{qoal}$  not expanded do



$$PATH = \{s_{start}, s_2, s_1, s_{goal}\}$$

## Properties of heuristics

What properties should h(s) satisfy? How does it affect search?

Admissible: 
$$h(s) \le h^*(s)$$
  $h(goal) = 0$ 

If this true, the path returned by A\* is optimal  
goal  
$$h(s) | h(s')$$
 (triangle inequality)  
 $s \in c(s,s')$  (consistency:  $h(s) <= c(s,s') + h(s')$  (goal) = 0

If this true, A\* is optimal AND efficient (will not re-expand a node)



Theorem: ALL consistent heuristics are admissible, not vice versa!



# Heuristics are great because they focus search on relevant states

#### AND

#### still give us optimal solution

#### Design of Informative Heuristics

- For grid-based navigation:
  - Euclidean distance



- Manhattan distance:  $h(x,y) = abs(x-x_{goal}) + abs(y-y_{goal})$
- Diagonal distance:  $h(x,y) = max(abs(x-x_{goal}), abs(y-y_{goal}))$

## Is admissibility always what we want?



Admissible

Inadmissible

# Can inadmissible heuristics help us with this tradeoff?



- A\* Search: expands states in the order of f = g + h values
- Dijkstra's: expands states in the order of f = g values
- Weighted A\*: expands states in the order of  $f = g + \varepsilon h$ values,  $\varepsilon > 1 =$  bias towards states that are closer to goal





A\* Search: expands states in the order of f = g+h values



A\* Search: expands states in the order of f = g+h values

for large problems this results in A\* quickly running out of memory (memory: O(n))



Weighted A\* Search: expands states in the order of  $f = g + \varepsilon h$ values,  $\varepsilon > 1 =$  bias towards states that are closer to goal







 $\epsilon = 1.5$ 

Weighted A\* Search: expands states in the order of  $f = g + \varepsilon h$ values,  $\varepsilon > 1 =$  bias towards states that are closer to goal

20DOF simulated robotic arm state-space size: over 10<sup>26</sup> states



Courtesy Max Likhachev

- planning in 8D (<x,y> for each foothold)
- heuristic is Euclidean distance from the center of the body to the goal location
- cost of edges based on kinematic stability of the robot and quality of footholds



Uses R\* - A randomized version of weighted A\* Joint work between Max Likhachev, Subhrajit Bhattacharya, Joh Bohren, Sachin Chitta, Daniel D. Lee, Aleksandr Kushleyev, and Paul Vernaza