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Announcements

- Quiz 2 -> Nov 29
  - 50 min; in-class; bring your laptop; no paper prints; will be released on Gradescope, similar to your hw
  - Released sample questions; also review your homeworks
  - Material, up to and including RL

- HW4, will be released tomorrow morning, due, Dec. 8th

- PS4, will be released tomorrow morning, due, Dec 14th
  - No late day for this one!
Recap: Reasoning Over Time

- **Markov models**

  \[ P(X_1) \quad P(X|X_{-1}) \]

- **Hidden Markov models**

  \[
  \begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
  X & E & P \\
  \hline
  \text{rain} & \text{umbrella} & 0.9 \\
  \text{rain} & \text{no umbrella} & 0.1 \\
  \text{sun} & \text{umbrella} & 0.2 \\
  \text{sun} & \text{no umbrella} & 0.8 \\
  \end{array}
  \]
An HMM is defined by:

- **Initial distribution:** $P(X_1)$
- **Transitions:** $P(X_t | X_{t-1})$
- **Emissions:** $P(E_t | X_t)$
Inference: Find State Given Evidence

- We are given evidence at each time and want to know

\[ B_t(X) = P(X_t|e_1:t) \]

- Idea: start with \( P(X_1) \) and derive \( B_t \) in terms of \( B_{t-1} \)
  - equivalently, derive \( B_{t+1} \) in terms of \( B_t \)
Detour: Inference by Enumeration

- $P(W)$?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inference by Enumeration

- $P(W)$?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inference by Enumeration

- **P(W)?**

\[
P(\text{sun}) = 0.30 + 0.10 + 0.10 + 0.15 = 0.65
\]
Inference by Enumeration

- $P(W)$?

  $P(\text{sun}) = .3 + .1 + .1 + .15 = .65$
  $P(\text{rain}) = 1 - .65 = .35$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inference by Enumeration

- $P(W \mid \text{winter})$?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inference by Enumeration

- \( P(W | \text{winter})? \)

\[
P(\text{sun}|\text{winter}) \approx 0.1 + 0.15 = 0.25
\]
Inference by Enumeration

- $P(W \mid \text{winter})$?

$$P(\text{rain} \mid \text{winter}) = 0.05 + 0.2 = 0.25$$
Inference by Enumeration

- $P(W | \text{winter})$?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$P(\text{sun}|\text{winter}) \sim .25$

$P(\text{rain}|\text{winter}) \sim .25$

$P(\text{sun}|\text{winter}) = .5$

$P(\text{rain}|\text{winter}) = .5$
Inference by Enumeration

- General case:
  - Evidence variables: \( E_1 \ldots E_k = e_1 \ldots e_k \)
  - Query* variable: \( Q \)
  - Hidden variables: \( H_1 \ldots H_r \)

\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 \ldots E_k &= e_1 \ldots e_k \\
Q &
\end{align*}
\]

\[
X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n
\]

\[
All \ variables
\]

- We want:

\[
P(Q|e_1 \ldots e_k)
\]

* Works fine with multiple query variables, too

- Step 1: Select the entries consistent with the evidence

- Step 2: Sum out \( H \) to get joint of Query and evidence

- Step 3: Normalize

\[
P(Q,e_1 \ldots e_k) = \sum_{h_1 \ldots h_r} P(Q,h_1 \ldots h_r,e_1 \ldots e_k)
\]

\[
X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n
\]

\[
Z = \sum_q P(Q,e_1 \ldots e_k)
\]

\[
P(Q|e_1 \ldots e_k) = \frac{1}{Z} P(Q,e_1 \ldots e_k)
\]
Detour: Inference by Enumeration

- $P(W \mid \text{winter, hot})$?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inference by Enumeration

- \( P(W \mid \text{winter, hot}) \)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Inference by Enumeration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- $P(W \mid \text{winter, hot})$?

  - $P(\text{sun}\mid\text{winter,hot}) \approx 0.1$
  - $P(\text{rain}\mid\text{winter,hot}) \approx 0.05$
Inference by Enumeration

- $P(W \mid \text{winter, hot})$?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>hot</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>sun</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>winter</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$P(\text{sun} \mid \text{winter, hot}) \approx 0.1$

$P(\text{rain} \mid \text{winter, hot}) \approx 0.05$

$P(\text{sun} \mid \text{winter, hot}) = \frac{2}{3}$

$P(\text{rain} \mid \text{winter, hot}) = \frac{1}{3}$
Inference by Enumeration

- **Obvious problems:**
  - Worst-case time complexity $O(d^n)$
  - Space complexity $O(d^n)$ to store the joint distribution
Inference: Find State Given Evidence

- We are given evidence at each time and want to know

\[ B_t(X) = P(X_t|e_1:t) \]

- Idea: start with \( P(X_1) \) and derive \( B_t \) in terms of \( B_{t-1} \)
  - equivalently, derive \( B_{t+1} \) in terms of \( B_t \)
Inference: Base Cases

\[ P(X_1 | e_1) \]

\[ P(X_2) \]
Inference: Base Cases

\[ P(X_2) \]

\[
P(x_2) = \sum_{x_1} P(x_1, x_2)
\]

\[
= \sum_{x_1} P(x_1)P(x_2|x_1)
\]
Inference: Base Cases

\[ P(X_1|e_1) \]

\[ P(x_1|e_1) = \frac{P(x_1, e_1)}{P(e_1)} \]

\[ \propto_{X_1} P(x_1, e_1) \]

\[ = P(x_1)P(e_1|x_1) \]
Passage of Time

- Assume we have current belief \( P(X \mid \text{evidence to date}) \)
  \[
  B(X_t) = P(X_t \mid e_{1:t})
  \]

- Then, after one time step passes:
  \[
  P(X_{t+1} \mid e_{1:t}) = \sum_{x_t} P(X_{t+1}, x_t \mid e_{1:t})
  \]
  \[
  = \sum_{x_t} P(X_{t+1} \mid x_t, e_{1:t}) P(x_t \mid e_{1:t})
  \]
  \[
  = \sum_{x_t} P(X_{t+1} \mid x_t) P(x_t \mid e_{1:t})
  \]

- Basic idea: beliefs get “pushed” through the transitions
  - With the “B” notation, we have to be careful about what time step \( t \) the belief is about, and what evidence it includes

- Or compactly:
  \[
  B'(X_{t+1}) = \sum_{x_t} P(X' \mid x_t) B(x_t)
  \]
### Example: Passage of Time

- As time passes, uncertainty “accumulates”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T = 1</th>
<th>T = 2</th>
<th>T = 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.05 0.01 0.05 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 0.06 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.02 0.14 0.11 0.35 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 0.76 0.06 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.07 0.03 0.05 &lt;0.01 0.03 &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 0.06 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.03 0.03 &lt;0.01 &lt;0.01 0.01 &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Transition model: ghosts usually go clockwise)
Inference: Base Cases

\[ P(X_1|e_1) \]

\[
P(x_1|e_1) = P(x_1, e_1)/P(e_1)
\]

\[
\propto_{X_1} P(x_1, e_1)
\]

\[
= P(x_1)P(e_1|x_1)
\]
Assume we have current belief $P(X | \text{previous evidence})$:

$$B'(X_{t+1}) = P(X_{t+1} | e_{1:t})$$

Then, after evidence comes in:

$$P(X_{t+1} | e_{1:t+1}) = \frac{P(X_{t+1}, e_{t+1} | e_{1:t})}{P(e_{t+1} | e_{1:t})}$$

$$\propto \frac{P(X_{t+1}, e_{t+1} | e_{1:t})}{P(e_{t+1} | e_{1:t})}$$

$$= P(e_{t+1} | e_{1:t}, X_{t+1}) P(X_{t+1} | e_{1:t})$$

$$= P(e_{t+1} | X_{t+1}) P(X_{t+1} | e_{1:t})$$

Or, compactly:

$$B(X_{t+1}) \propto P(e_{t+1} | X_{t+1}) B'(X_{t+1})$$

Basic idea: beliefs “rewighted” by likelihood of evidence

Unlike passage of time, we have to renormalize
Example: Observation

- As we get observations, beliefs get reweighted, uncertainty “decreases”

\[ B(X) \propto P(e|X)B'(X) \]
Filtering: $P(X_t \mid \text{evidence}_{1:t})$

**Elapse time:** compute $P(X_t \mid \text{e}_{1:t-1})$

$$P(x_t \mid e_{1:t-1}) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1} \mid e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(x_t \mid x_{t-1})$$

**Observe:** compute $P(X_t \mid \text{e}_{1:t})$

$$P(x_t \mid e_{1:t}) \propto P(x_t \mid e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(e_t \mid x_t)$$

Belief: $\langle P(\text{rain}), P(\text{sun}) \rangle$

- $P(X_1) <0.5, 0.5>$ Prior on $X_1$
- $P(X_1 \mid E_1 = \text{umbrella}) <0.82, 0.18>$ Observe
- $P(X_2 \mid E_1 = \text{umbrella}) <0.63, 0.37>$ Elapse time
- $P(X_2 \mid E_1 = \text{umb}, E_2 = \text{umb}) <0.88, 0.12>$ Observe
Example: Weather HMM

\[ R_t \rightarrow R_{t+1} \quad P(R_{t+1} | R_t) \]

\[ U_t \rightarrow P(U_t | R_t) \]

\[ B(+r) = 0.5 \]
\[ B(-r) = 0.5 \]

\[ B'(r) = 0.5 \]
\[ B'(-r) = 0.5 \]

\[ B(+r) = 0.818 \]
\[ B(-r) = 0.182 \]

\[ B'(r) = 0.627 \]
\[ B'(-r) = 0.373 \]

\[ B(+r) = 0.883 \]
\[ B(-r) = 0.117 \]

\[ R_0 \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \]

\[ U_1 \rightarrow U_2 \]

\[ B(+r) = 0.818 \]
\[ B(-r) = 0.182 \]

\[ B'(r) = 0.627 \]
\[ B'(-r) = 0.373 \]

\[ B(+r) = 0.883 \]
\[ B(-r) = 0.117 \]

\[ B'(r) = 0.883 \]
\[ B'(-r) = 0.117 \]

\[ +r \quad +u \quad 0.9 \]
\[ +r \quad -u \quad 0.1 \]
\[ -r \quad +u \quad 0.2 \]
\[ -r \quad -u \quad 0.8 \]
Pacman – Sonar (P4)
Approximate Inference

- Sometimes $|X|$ is too big for exact inference
  - $|X|$ may be too big to even store $B(X)$
  - E.g. when $X$ is continuous
  - $|X|^2$ may be too big to do updates

- Solution: approximate inference by sampling
- How robot localization works in practice
Approximate Inference: Sampling
Sampling

- Sampling is a lot like repeated simulation
  - Predicting the weather, basketball games, ...

- Basic idea
  - Draw N samples from a sampling distribution S
  - Compute an approximate probability

- Why sample?
  - Learning: get samples from a distribution you don’t know
  - Inference: getting a sample is faster than computing the right answer
Sampling

- Sampling from given distribution
  - Step 1: Get sample $u$ from uniform distribution over $[0, 1)$
    - E.g. `random()` in Python
  - Step 2: Convert this sample $u$ into an outcome for the given distribution by having each target outcome associated with a sub-interval of $[0,1)$ with sub-interval size equal to probability of the outcome

- Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>P(C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>red</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>green</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blue</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- If `random()` returns $u = 0.83$, then our sample is $C = \text{blue}$
- E.g., after sampling 8 times:
Particle Filtering
Particle Filtering

- Filtering: approximate solution
- Sometimes $|X|$ is too big to use exact inference
  - $|X|$ may be too big to even store $B(X)$
  - E.g. $X$ is continuous
- Solution: approximate inference
  - Track samples of $X$, not all values
  - Samples are called particles
  - Time per step is linear in the number of samples
  - But: number needed may be large
  - In memory: list of particles, not states
- This is how robot localization works in practice
- Particle is just new name for sample
Our representation of $P(X)$ is now a list of $N$ particles (samples)
- Generally, $N \ll |X|$
- Storing map from $X$ to counts would defeat the point

$P(x)$ approximated by number of particles with value $x$
- So, many $x$ may have $P(x) = 0!$
- More particles, more accuracy

For now, all particles have a weight of 1
Particle Filtering: Elapse Time

- Each particle is moved by sampling its next position from the transition model

\[ x' = \text{sample}(P(X'|x)) \]

- Samples’ frequencies reflect the transition probabilities
- Here, most samples move clockwise, but some move in another direction or stay in place

- This captures the passage of time
  - If enough samples, close to exact values before and after (consistent)
- Slightly trickier:
  - Don’t sample observation, fix it
  - Downweight samples based on the evidence

\[ w(x) = P(e|x) \]

\[ B(X) \propto P(e|X)B'(X) \]

- As before, the probabilities don’t sum to one, since all have been downweighted (in fact they now sum to (N times) an approximation of \( P(e) \))
Rather than tracking weighted samples, we resample

N times, we choose from our weighted sample distribution (i.e. draw with replacement)

This is equivalent to renormalizing the distribution

Now the update is complete for this time step, continue with the next one
Recap: Particle Filtering

- Particles: track samples of states rather than an explicit distribution

\[
x' = \text{sample}(P(X' | x)) \quad w(x) = P(e | x)
\]
Video of Demo – Moderate Number of Particles
Video of Demo – Huge Number of Particles
Which Algorithm?

Particle filter, uniform initial beliefs, 25 particles
Which Algorithm?

Exact filter, uniform initial beliefs
Which Algorithm?

Particle filter, uniform initial beliefs, 300 particles
In robot localization:

- We know the map, but not the robot’s position
- Observations may be vectors of range finder readings
- State space and readings are typically continuous (works basically like a very fine grid) and so we cannot store $B(X)$
- Particle filtering is a main technique
Particle Filter Localization (Sonar)

Global localization with sonar sensors

[Video: global-sonar-uw-annotated.avi]
Particle Filter Localization (Laser)