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Announcements

- Will be releasing HW1 solutions and grades next week.
- PS1 is due on Wednesday
- PS2 will be released soon.

- You can use your late days on programming and written assignments (except last written assignment).
Recap: Informed Search

- Uninformed Search
  - DFS
  - BFS
  - UCS

- Informed Search
  - Heuristics
  - Greedy Search
  - A* Search
  - Graph Search
Agents Getting Along with Other Agents or Humans
Games 😊

- **Checkers:** 1950: First computer player. 1994: First computer champion: Chinook ended 40-year-reign of human champion Marion Tinsley using complete 8-piece endgame. 2007: Checkers solved!

- **Chess:** 1997: Deep Blue defeats human champion Gary Kasparov in a six-game match. Deep Blue examined 200M positions per second, used very sophisticated evaluation and undisclosed methods for extending some lines of search up to 40 ply. Current programs are even better, if less historic.

- **Go:** Human champions are now starting to be challenged by machines, though the best humans still beat the best machines. In go, b > 300! Classic programs use pattern knowledge bases, but big recent advances use Monte Carlo (randomized) expansion methods.
Games

- **Checkers**: 1950: First computer player. 1994: First computer champion: Chinook ended 40-year-reign of human champion Marion Tinsley using complete 8-piece endgame. 2007: Checkers solved!

- **Chess**: 1997: Deep Blue defeats human champion Gary Kasparov in a six-game match. Deep Blue examined 200M positions per second, used very sophisticated evaluation and undisclosed methods for extending some lines of search up to 40 ply. Current programs are even better, if less historic.

- **Go**: 2016: Alpha GO defeats human champion. Uses Monte Carlo Tree Search, learned evaluation function.

- **Pacman**
Pacman: Behavior From Computation
Games

- Many different kinds of games!

- Axes:
  - Deterministic or stochastic?
  - One, two, or more players?
  - Zero sum?
  - Perfect information (can you see the state)?

- Want algorithms for calculating a strategy (policy) which recommends a move in each state
Deterministic Games with Terminal Utilities

- Many possible formalizations, one is:
  - States: $S$ (start at $s_0$)
  - Players: $P=\{1\ldots N\}$ (usually take turns)
  - Actions: $A$ (may depend on player / state)
  - Transition Function: $S \times A \rightarrow S$
  - Terminal Test: $S \rightarrow \{t,f\}$
  - Terminal Utilities: $S \times P \rightarrow R$

- Solution for a player is a policy: $S \rightarrow A$
Types of Games

- **Zero-Sum Games**
  - Agents have opposite utilities (values on outcomes)
  - Lets us think of a single value that one maximizes and the other minimizes
  - Adversarial, pure competition

- **General Games**
  - Agents have independent utilities (values on outcomes)
  - Cooperation, indifference, competition, and more are all possible
  - We don’t make AI to act in isolation, it should a) work around people and b) help people
  - That means that every AI agent needs to solve a game
Adversarial Games
Adversarial Search
473 News: Cost -> Utility!

- no longer minimizing cost!
- agent now wants to maximize its score/utility!
Single-Agent Trees
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Value of a State

Value of a state: The best achievable outcome (utility) from that state

Non-Terminal States:

\[ V(s) = \max_{s' \in \text{children}(s)} V(s') \]

Terminal States:

\[ V(s) = \text{known} \]
Adversarial Game Trees
Minimax Values

States Under Agent’s Control:

\[ V(s) = \max_{s' \in \text{successors}(s)} V(s') \]

States Under Opponent’s Control:

\[ V(s') = \min_{s \in \text{successors}(s')} V(s) \]

Terminal States:

\[ V(s) = \text{known} \]
Adversarial Search (Minimax)

- Deterministic, zero-sum games:
  - Tic-tac-toe, chess, checkers
  - One player maximizes result
  - The other minimizes result

- Minimax search:
  - A state-space search tree
  - Players alternate turns
  - Compute each node’s minimax value: the best achievable utility against a rational (optimal) adversary

Diagram:
- Minimax values: computed recursively
- Terminal values: part of the game
Minimax Implementation

**def max-value(state):**
- initialize v = $-\infty$
- for each successor of state:
  - $v = \max(v, \text{min-value(successor)})$
- return v

**def min-value(state):**
- initialize v = $+\infty$
- for each successor of state:
  - $v = \min(v, \text{max-value(successor)})$
- return v

$V(s) = \max_{s' \in \text{successors}(s)} V(s')$

$V(s') = \min_{s \in \text{successors}(s')} V(s)$
def value(state):
    if the state is a terminal state: return the state’s utility
    if the next agent is **MAX**: return max-value(state)
    if the next agent is **MIN**: return min-value(state)

def max-value(state):
    initialize $v = -\infty$
    for each successor of state:
        $v = \max(v, \text{value(successor)})$
    return $v$

def min-value(state):
    initialize $v = +\infty$
    for each successor of state:
        $v = \min(v, \text{value(successor)})$
    return $v$
Minimax Example
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Minimax Properties

Optimal against a perfect player. Otherwise?
Video of Demo Min vs. Exp (Min)
Video of Demo Min vs. Exp (Exp)
Minimax Efficiency

○ How efficient is minimax?
  ○ Just like (exhaustive) DFS
  ○ Time: $O(b^m)$
  ○ Space: $O(bm)$

○ Example: For chess, $b \approx 35$, $m \approx 100$
  ○ Exact solution is completely infeasible
  ○ But, do we need to explore the whole tree?
Resource Limits

1. Depth-limited search & heuristic
Game Tree Pruning
Minimax Example
Minimax Example
**Alpha-Beta Pruning**

- **General configuration (MIN version)**
  - We’re computing the MIN-VALUE at some node $n$
  - We’re looping over $n$’s children
  - $n$’s estimate of the childrens’ min is dropping
  - Who cares about $n$’s value? MAX
  - Let $a$ be the best value that MAX can get at any choice point along the current path from the root
  - If $n$ becomes worse than $a$, MAX will avoid it, so we can stop considering $n$’s other children (it’s already bad enough that it won’t be played)

- MAX version is symmetric
**Alpha-Beta Implementation**

\[ \alpha: \text{MAX's best option on path to root} \]

\[ \beta: \text{MIN's best option on path to root} \]

def max-value(state, \( \alpha \), \( \beta \)):
    initialize \( v = -\infty \)
    for each successor of state:
        \( v = \max(v, \text{value(successor, } \alpha, \beta)) \)
        if \( v \geq \beta \) return \( v \)
        \( \alpha = \max(\alpha, v) \)
    return \( v \)

def min-value(state, \( \alpha \), \( \beta \)):
    initialize \( v = +\infty \)
    for each successor of state:
        \( v = \min(v, \text{value(successor, } \alpha, \beta)) \)
        if \( v \leq \alpha \) return \( v \)
        \( \beta = \min(\beta, v) \)
    return \( v \)
Alpha-Beta Pruning Properties

- Optimality?
  - This pruning has no effect on minimax value computed for the root!

- Values of intermediate nodes might be wrong
  - Important: children of the root may have the wrong value
  - So the most naïve version won’t let you do action selection

- Good child ordering improves effectiveness of pruning

  - With “perfect ordering”:
    - Time complexity drops to $O(b^{m/2})$
    - Doubles solvable depth!
    - Full search of, e.g., chess, is still hopeless!

- This is a simple example of metareasoning (computing about what to compute)
Alpha-Beta Quiz
Alpha-Beta Quiz 2
Resource Limits

- minimax
- DFS
- alpha-beta pruning
Resource Limits

- Problem: In realistic games, cannot search to leaves!
- Solution: Depth-limited search
  - Instead, search only to a limited depth in the tree
  - Replace terminal utilities with an evaluation function for non-terminal positions
- Example:
  - Suppose we have 100 seconds, can explore 10K nodes/sec
  - So can check 1M nodes per move
  - $\alpha$-$\beta$ reaches about depth 8 – decent chess program
- Guarantee of optimal play is gone
- More plies makes a BIG difference
- Use iterative deepening for an anytime algorithm
Video of Demo Thrashing (d=2)
Why Pacman Starves

- A danger of replanning agents!
  - He knows his score will go up by eating the dot now (west, east)
  - He knows his score will go up just as much by eating the dot later (east, west)
  - There are no point-scoring opportunities after eating the dot (within the horizon, two here)
  - Therefore, waiting seems just as good as eating: he may go east, then back west in the next round of replanning!
Video of Demo Thrashing -- Fixed (d=2)
Evaluation Functions

- Evaluation functions score non-terminals in depth-limited search

- Ideal function: returns the actual minimax value of the position
- In practice: typically weighted linear sum of features:

\[ \text{Eval}(s) = w_1 f_1(s) + w_2 f_2(s) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s) \]
- e.g. \( f_1(s) = \text{(num white queens - num black queens)} \), etc.
Evaluation for Pacman
Video of Smart Ghosts (Coordination)
Video of Demo Smart Ghosts (Coordination) – Zoomed In
Depth Matters

- Evaluation functions are always imperfect.
- The deeper in the tree the evaluation function is buried, the less the quality of the evaluation function matters.
- An important example of the tradeoff between complexity of features and complexity of computation.

[Demo: depth limited (L6D4, L6D5)]
Video of Demo Limited Depth (2)
Video of Demo Limited Depth (10)
Synergies between Alpha-Beta and Evaluation Function

- **Alpha-Beta:** amount of pruning depends on expansion ordering
  - Evaluation function can provide guidance to expand most promising nodes first

- **Alpha-beta:**
  - Value at a min-node will only keep going down
  - Once value of min-node lower than better option for max along path to root, can prune
  - Hence, IF evaluation function provides upper-bound on value at min-node, and upper-bound already lower than better option for max along path to root THEN can prune