## CSE 473: Artificial Intelligence

## Probability



Instructors: Luke Zettlemoyer --- University of Washington

## Today

- Probability
- Random Variables
- Joint and Marginal Distributions
- Conditional Distribution
- Product Rule, Chain Rule, Bayes' Rule
- Inference
- Independence
- You'll need all this stuff A LOT for the next few weeks, so make sure you go
 over it now!


## Inference in Ghostbusters

- A ghost is in the grid somewhere
- Sensor readings tell how close a square is to the ghost
- On the ghost: red
- 1 or 2 away: orange
- 3 or 4 away: yellow
- 5+ away: green

- Sensors are noisy, but we know P(Color | Distance)

| $P($ red \| 3) | $P$ (orange \| 3) | $P($ yellow \| 3) | $P($ green \| 3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.5 | 0.3 |

## Uncertainty

- General situation:
- Observed variables (evidence): Agent knows certain things about the state of the world (e.g., sensor readings or symptoms)
- Unobserved variables: Agent needs to reason about other aspects (e.g. where an object is or what disease is present)
- Model: Agent knows something about how the known variables relate to the unknown variables

- Probabilistic reasoning gives us a framework for managing our beliefs and knowledge



## Random Variables

- A random variable is some aspect of the world about which we (may) have uncertainty
- $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Is}$ it raining?
- $\mathrm{T}=$ Is it hot or cold?
- $D=$ How long will it take to drive to work?
- L = Where is the ghost?
- We denote random variables with capital letters
- Random variables have domains
- $R$ in $\{$ true, false $\}$ (often write as $\{+r,-r\}$ )

- T in \{hot, cold\}
- D in $[0, \infty)$
- $L$ in possible locations, maybe $\{(0,0),(0,1), \ldots\}$


## Probability Distributions

- Associate a probability with each value
- Temperature:
- Weather:



## Probability Distributions

- Unobserved random variables have distributions

| $P(T)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| T | P |
| hot | 0.5 |
| cold | 0.5 |

Shorthand notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\text { hot }) & =P(T=\text { hot }) \\
P(\text { cold }) & =P(T=\text { cold }) \\
P(\text { rain }) & =P(W=\text { rain }),
\end{aligned}
$$

...

OK if all domain entries are unique

- A distribution is a TABLE of probabilities of values
- A probability (lower case value) is a single number

$$
P(W=\text { rain })=0.1
$$

- Must have: $\forall x P(X=x) \geq 0 \quad$ and $\quad \sum_{x} P(X=x)=1$


## Joint Distributions

- A joint distribution over a set of random variables: $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{n}$ specifies a real number for each assignment (or outcome):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}, \ldots X_{n}=x_{n}\right) \\
& P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Must obey:

$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right) \geq 0
$$

$$
\sum_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)} P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=1
$$

| $P(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

- Size of distribution if n variables with domain sizes d ?
- For all but the smallest distributions, impractical to write out!


## Probabilistic Models

- A probabilistic model is a joint distribution over a set of random variables
- Probabilistic models:
- (Random) variables with domains

Distribution over T,W

| $T$ | $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

- Assignments are called outcomes
- Joint distributions: say whether assignments (outcomes) are likely
- Normalized: sum to 1.0
- Ideally: only certain variables directly interact


## Events

- An event is a set E of outcomes

$$
P(E)=\sum_{\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right) \in E} P\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right)
$$

- From a joint distribution, we can calculate the probability of any event
- Probability that it's hot AND sunny?
- Probability that it's hot?
- Probability that it's hot OR sunny?

| $P(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

- Typically, the events we care about are partial assignments, like $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}=$ hot $)$


## Quiz: Events

- $P(+x,+y)$ ?

$$
=0.2
$$

- $P(+x)$ ?

$$
0.2+0.3=0.5
$$

- $P(-y O R+x)$ ?

$$
0.2+0.3+0.1=0.6
$$

## Marginal Distributions

- Marginal distributions are sub-tables which eliminate variables
- Marginalization (summing out): Combine collapsed rows by adding


$$
P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}\right)=\sum_{x_{2}} P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}\right)
$$

## Quiz: Marginal Distributions



## Conditional Probabilities

- A simple relation between joint and conditional probabilities
- In fact, this is taken as the definition of a conditional probability

$$
P(a \mid b)=\frac{P(a, b)}{P(b)}
$$



| $P(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(W=s \mid T=c)=\frac{P(W=s, T=c)}{P(T=c)}=\frac{0.2}{0.5}=0.4 \\
& \\
& =P(W=s, T=c)+P(W=r, T=c) \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quiz: Conditional Probabilities

- $P(+x \mid+y)$ ?
$P(X, Y)$

| $X$ | $Y$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+x$ | $+y$ | 0.2 |
| $+x$ | $-y$ | 0.3 |
| $-x$ | $+y$ | 0.4 |
| $-x$ | $-y$ | 0.1 |

$$
0.2 /(0.2+0.4)=1 / 3
$$

- $P(-x \mid+y)$ ?

$$
0.4 /(0.2+0.4)=2 / 3
$$

- $P(-y \mid+x)$ ?

$$
0.3 /(0.2+0.3)=3 / 5
$$

## Conditional Distributions

- Conditional distributions are probability distributions over some variables given fixed values of others

Conditional Distributions
Joint Distribution

| $P(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

## Probabilistic Inference

- Probabilistic inference: compute a desired probability from other known probabilities (e.g. conditional from joint)
- We generally compute conditional probabilities
- P(on time \| no reported accidents) $=0.90$
- These represent the agent's beliefs given the evidence
- Probabilities change with new evidence:
- $P$ (on time | no accidents, 5 a.m. $)=0.95$
- P(on time \| no accidents, 5 a.m., raining) $=0.80$
- Observing new evidence causes beliefs to be updated



## Inference by Enumeration

- General case:
- Evidence variables:
- Query* variable:
- Hidden variables:
- Step 1: Select the entries consistent with the evidence

- Step 2: Sum out H to get joint of Query and evidence


$$
P\left(Q, e_{1} \ldots e_{k}\right)=\sum_{h_{1} \ldots h_{r}} P(\underbrace{Q, h_{1} \ldots h_{r}, e_{1} \ldots e_{k}}_{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{n}})
$$

- We want:

$$
P\left(Q \mid e_{1} \ldots e_{k}\right)
$$

* Works fine with multiple query
variables, too

Step 3: Normalize


$$
P\left(Q \mid e_{1} \cdots e_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} P\left(Q, e_{1} \cdots e_{k}\right)
$$

## Inference by Enumeration

- P(W)?

| $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| sun | 0.65 |
| rain | 0.35 |

- P(W | winter)?

| $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| sun | 0.25 |
| rain | 0.25 |


| Normalize | $W$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| sun | 0.5 |
| $Z=0.5$ | rain |
|  | 0.5 |

- P(W | winter, hot)?

| $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| sun | 0.1 |
| rain | 0.05 |


| Normalize | $W$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $P$ |
| sun | 0.66 |
|  | rain |
|  | 0.33 |


| S | T | W | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| summer | hot | sun | 0.30 |
| summer | hot | rain | 0.05 |
| summer | cold | sun | 0.10 |
| summer | cold | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | hot | sun | 0.10 |
| winter | hot | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | cold | sun | 0.15 |
| winter | cold | rain | 0.20 |

## Inference by Enumeration

- Obvious problems:
- Worst-case time complexity O(dn)
- Space complexity $O\left(d^{n}\right)$ to store the joint distribution


## The Product Rule

- Sometimes have conditional distributions but want the joint

$$
P(y) P(x \mid y)=P(x, y) \quad \Longleftrightarrow P(x \mid y)=\frac{P(x, y)}{P(y)}
$$

## The Product Rule

$$
P(y) P(x \mid y)=P(x, y)
$$

- Example:

| $P(W)$ |  | $P(D \mid W)$ |  |  |  | $P(D, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | D | W | P |  | D | W | P |
| R | P | wet | sun | 0.1 |  | wet | sun |  |
| sun | 0.8 | dry | sun | 0.9 |  | dry | sun |  |
| rain | 0.2 | wet | rain | 0.7 |  | wet | rain |  |
|  |  | dry | rain | 0.3 |  | dry | rain |  |

## The Chain Rule

- More generally, can always write any joint distribution as an incremental product of conditional distributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=P\left(x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{3} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i} P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1} \ldots x_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Why is this always true?


## Bayes' Rule

- Two ways to factor a joint distribution over two variables:

$$
P(x, y)=P(x \mid y) P(y)=P(y \mid x) P(x)
$$

- Dividing, we get:

$$
P(x \mid y)=\frac{P(y \mid x)}{P(y)} P(x)
$$

- Why is this at all helpful?
- Lets us build one conditional from its reverse
- Often one conditional is tricky but the other one is simple
- Foundation of many systems we'll see later (e.g. ASR, MT)

- In the running for most important Al equation!


## Inference with Bayes' Rule

- Example: Diagnostic probability from causal probability:

$$
P(\text { cause } \mid \text { effect })=\frac{P(\text { effect } \mid \text { cause }) P(\text { cause })}{P(\text { effect })}
$$

- Example:
- M: meningitis, S: stiff neck

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P(+m)=0.0001 \\
P(+s \mid+m)=0.8 \\
P(+s \mid-m)=0.01
\end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Example } \\
& \text { givens }
\end{aligned}
$$

$P(+m \mid+s)=\frac{P(+s \mid+m) P(+m)}{P(+s)}=\frac{P(+s \mid+m) P(+m)}{P(+s \mid+m) P(+m)+P(+s \mid-m) P(-m)}=\frac{0.8 \times 0.0001}{0.8 \times 0.0001+0.01 \times 0.999}$

- Note: posterior probability of meningitis still very small
- Note: you should still get stiff necks checked out! Why?


## Quiz: Bayes' Rule

- Given:
$P(D \mid W)$
$P(W)$

| R | P |
| :---: | :---: |
| sun | 0.8 |
| rain | 0.2 |


| $D$ | W | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wet | sun | 0.1 |
| dry | sun | 0.9 |
| wet | rain | 0.7 |
| dry | rain | 0.3 |

- What is P(W | dry) ?


## Ghostbusters, Revisited

- Let's say we have two distributions:
- Prior distribution over ghost location: P(G)
- Let's say this is uniform
- Sensor reading model: $P(R \mid G)$
- Given: we know what our sensors do
- $R=$ reading color measured at $(1,1)$
- E.g. $P(R=$ yellow $\mid G=(1,1))=0.1$
- We can calculate the posterior distribution $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{G} \mid \mathrm{r})$ over ghost locations given a reading using Bayes' rule:

$$
P(g \mid r) \propto P(r \mid g) P(g)
$$

| 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |


| 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.10 |
| $<0.01$ | 0.09 | 0.17 |

## Independence

- Two variables are independent in a joint distribution if:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
P(X, Y)=P(X) P(Y) & X \Perp Y \\
\forall x, y P(x, y)=P(x) P(y) &
\end{array}
$$

- Says the joint distribution factors into a product of two simple ones
- Usually variables aren’t independent!
- Can use independence as a modeling assumption
- Independence can be a simplifying assumption
- Empirical joint distributions: at best "close" to independent

- What could we assume for \{Weather, Traffic, Cavity\}?


## Example: Independence?

| $P_{1}(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

$P(T)$

| T | P |
| :---: | :---: |
| hot | 0.5 |
| cold | 0.5 |

$$
P_{2}(T, W)=P(T) P(W)
$$

$P(W)$

| $W$ | P |
| :---: | :---: |
| sun | 0.6 |
| rain | 0.4 |


| $T$ | $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hot | sun | 0.3 |
| hot | rain | 0.2 |
| cold | sun | 0.3 |
| cold | rain | 0.2 |

## Example: Independence

- N fair, independent coin flips:

| $P\left(X_{1}\right)$ |  | $P\left(X_{2}\right)$ |  | $P\left(X_{n}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 0.5 | H | 0.5 | н | 0.5 |
| T | 0.5 | T | 0.5 | T | 0.5 |



## Conditional Independence



## Conditional Independence

- P(Toothache, Cavity, Catch)
- If I have a cavity, the probability that the probe catches in it doesn't depend on whether I have a toothache:
- $\mathrm{P}(+$ catch | +toothache, +cavity) $=\mathrm{P}(+$ catch | +cavity)
- The same independence holds if I don' t have a cavity:
- $\mathrm{P}(+$ catch | +toothache, -cavity $)=\mathrm{P}(+$ catch | -cavity $)$
- Catch is conditionally independent of Toothache given Cavity:
- P(Catch | Toothache, Cavity) = P(Catch | Cavity)

- Equivalent statements:
- P (Toothache | Catch , Cavity) $=\mathrm{P}($ Toothache | Cavity $)$
- $P($ Toothache, Catch | Cavity) $=P($ Toothache | Cavity) P(Catch | Cavity)
- One can be derived from the other easily


## Conditional Independence

- Unconditional (absolute) independence very rare (why?)
- Conditional independence is our most basic and robust form of knowledge about uncertain environments.
- X is conditionally independent of Y given Z

```
X\PerpY|Z
```

if and only if:

$$
\forall x, y, z: P(x, y \mid z)=P(x \mid z) P(y \mid z)
$$

or, equivalently, if and only if

$$
\forall x, y, z: P(x \mid z, y)=P(x \mid z)
$$

## Conditional Independence

- What about this domain:
- Traffic
- Umbrella
- Raining



## Conditional Independence

- What about this domain:
- Fire
- Smoke
- Alarm



## Probability Recap

- Conditional probability $\quad P(x \mid y)=\frac{P(x, y)}{P(y)}$
- Product rule

$$
P(x, y)=P(x \mid y) P(y)
$$

- Chain rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{n}\right) & =P\left(X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{2} \mid X_{1}\right) P\left(X_{3} \mid X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \ldots \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(X_{i} \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- X, Y independent if and only if: $\quad \forall x, y: P(x, y)=P(x) P(y)$
- X and Y are conditionally independent given Z if and only if:

$$
\forall x, y, z: P(x, y \mid z)=P(x \mid z) P(y \mid z)
$$

Next Time: Markov Models

