

| Inference by Enumeration in Bayes' Net |
| :--- |
| " Given unlimited time, inference in BNs is easy |
| " Reminder of inference by enumeration by example: |
| $P(B \mid+j,+m) \propto_{B} P(B,+j,+m)$ |
| $=\sum_{e, a} P(B, e, a,+j,+m)$ |
| $=\sum_{e, a} P(B) P(e) P(a \mid B, e) P(+j \mid a) P(+m \mid a)$ |
| $=P(B) P(+e) P(+a \mid B,+e) P(+j \mid+a) P(+m \mid+a)+P(B) P(+e) P(-a \mid B,+e) P(+j \mid-a) P(+m \mid-a$ |
| $P(B) P(-e) P(+a \mid B,-e) P(+j \mid+a) P(+m \mid+a)+P(B) P(-e) P(-a \mid B,-e) P(+j \mid-a) P(+m \mid-a$ |



| Traffic Domain |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ( ${ }^{\text {a }} \quad P(L)=$ ? |  |
| (T) - Inference by Enumeration | - Variable Elimination |
| $\text { (1) }=\sum \sum \sum_{1}^{P(L t) P(t) P(t)}$ | $=\sum_{c} P\left(L\|t\| \sum^{P} P^{P(t) P(t \mid r)}\right.$ |
| wonbe | Emmater |
| Emmine | ,mme |
| Emimatet | timmer |




| Example: Traffic Domain |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Random Variables <br> - R: Raining <br> - T: Traffic <br> - L: Late for class! | $P(R)$ |  |  |
|  | +r | 0. |  |
|  | -r | 0.9 |  |
|  |  | $\mid R$ |  |
|  | +r | +t | 0.8 |
|  | +r | -t | 0.2 |
|  | -r | +t | 0.1 |
|  | -r | -t | 0.9 |
| $\sum P(r, t, L)$ |  | $L \mid T$ |  |
| $\sum_{r, t} P(r, t, L)$ | +t | +1 | 0.3 |
|  | +t | -1 | 0.7 |
| $\sum P(r) P(t \mid r) P(L \mid t)$ | -t | +1 | 0.1 |
| $\sum_{r, t} P(r) P(t \mid r) P(L \mid t)$ | -t | -1 | 0.9 |







## Another Variable Elimination Example

```
Query: }P(\mp@subsup{X}{3}{}|\mp@subsup{Y}{1}{}=\mp@subsup{y}{1}{},\mp@subsup{Y}{2}{}=\mp@subsup{y}{2}{},\mp@subsup{Y}{3}{}=\mp@subsup{y}{3}{}
Start by inserting evidence, which gives the following initial factors:
    p(Z)p(\mp@subsup{X}{1}{}|Z)p(\mp@subsup{X}{2}{}|Z)p(\mp@subsup{X}{3}{}|Z)p(\mp@subsup{y}{1}{}|\mp@subsup{X}{1}{})p(\mp@subsup{y}{2}{}|\mp@subsup{X}{2}{})pp(\mp@subsup{y}{3}{}|\mp@subsup{X}{3}{})
Eliminate Xi, this introduces the factor }\underline{\mp@subsup{f}{1}{\prime}(Z,\mp@subsup{y}{1}{})}=\mp@subsup{\sum}{\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}}{}p(\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}|Z)p(\mp@subsup{y}{n}{}|\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}),\mathrm{ and
we are left with:
            p(Z)f(Z, Z,\mp@subsup{y}{1}{})p(\mp@subsup{X}{2}{}|Z)p(\mp@subsup{X}{3}{}|Z)p(y\mp@subsup{y}{2}{}|\mp@subsup{X}{2}{})p(\mp@subsup{y}{3}{}|\mp@subsup{X}{3}{})
l}\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ Eliminate }\mp@subsup{X}{2}{}\mathrm{ , this introduces the factor }\underline{\mp@subsup{f}{2}{}(Z,\mp@subsup{y}{2}{})}=\mp@subsup{\sum}{\mp@subsup{r}{2}{}}{}p(\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}|Z)p(\mp@subsup{y}{2}{}|\mp@subsup{x}{2}{})\mathrm{ , and}}\\{\mathrm{ we are left with:}}
            p(Z)\mp@subsup{f}{1}{}(Z,\mp@subsup{y}{1}{})\mp@subsup{f}{2}{}(Z,\mp@subsup{y}{2}{})p(\mp@subsup{X}{3}{}|Z)p(\mp@subsup{y}{3}{}|\mp@subsup{X}{3}{})
Einmate Z, this introduces the factor 
        p(y, |}|\mp@subsup{X}{3}{}),f(\mp@subsup{f}{3}{}(\mp@subsup{y}{1}{},\mp@subsup{y}{2}{},\mp@subsup{X}{3}{}
No hidden variables left. Join the remaining factors to get:
    f4(y,y,y2,y,
Normalizing over }\mp@subsup{X}{3}{}\mathrm{ give }P(\mp@subsup{X}{3}{}|\mp@subsup{y}{1}{},\mp@subsup{y}{2}{},\mp@subsup{y}{3}{})\mathrm{ ,
```

Another Variable Elimination Example

$$
\text { Query: } P\left(X_{3} \mid Y_{1}=y_{1}, Y_{2}=y_{2}, Y_{3}=y_{3}\right)
$$

Start by inserting evidence, which gives the following initial factors:
$p(Z) p\left(X_{1} \mid Z\right) p\left(X_{2} \mid Z\right) p\left(X_{3} \mid Z\right) p\left(y_{1} \mid X_{1}\right) p\left(y_{2} \mid X_{2}\right) p\left(y_{3} \mid X_{3}\right)$
Alternatively, suppose we start by eliminating $Z$ :
$P\left(X_{1} \mid Z\right)$

$P\left(X_{2} \mid Z\right)$
$P\left(X_{3} \mid Z\right)$


$p\left(y_{3} \mid X_{3}\right) \quad$ How many entries? $k^{3}$

| Another Variable Elimination Example |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Query: $P\left(X_{3} \mid Y_{1}=y_{1}, Y_{2}=y_{2}, Y_{3}=y_{3}\right)$ <br> Start by inserting evidence, which gives the following initial factors: $p(Z) p\left(X_{1} \mid Z\right) p\left(X_{2} \mid Z\right) p\left(X_{3} \mid Z\right) p\left(y_{1} \mid X_{1}\right) p\left(y_{2} \mid X_{2}\right) p\left(y_{3} \mid X_{3}\right)$ <br> Eliminate $X_{1}$, this introduces the factor $\underline{f_{1}\left(Z, y_{1}\right)}=\sum_{x_{1}} p\left(x_{1} \mid Z\right) p\left(y_{1} \mid x_{1}\right)$, and we are left with: $p(Z) f_{1}\left(Z_{1}, y_{1}\right) p\left(X_{2} \mid Z\right) p\left(X_{3} \mid Z\right) p\left(y_{2} \mid X_{2}\right) p\left(y_{3} \mid X_{3}\right)$ <br> Eliminate $X_{2}$, this introduces the factor $\underline{f_{2}\left(Z, y_{2}\right)}=\sum_{r_{2}} p\left(x_{2} \mid Z\right) p\left(y_{2} \mid x_{2}\right)$, and we are left with: $p(Z) f_{1}\left(Z, y_{1}\right) \underline{f_{2}\left(Z, y_{2}\right) p\left(X_{3} \mid Z\right) p\left(y_{3} \mid X_{3}\right)}$ <br> Eliminate $Z$, this introduces the factor $\underline{f_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, X_{3}\right)}=\sum_{z} p(z) f_{1}\left(z, y_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(z, y_{2}\right) p\left(X_{3} \mid z\right)$, and we are left: $p\left(y_{3} \mid X_{3}\right), f_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ <br> No hidden variables left. Join the remaining factors to get: $f_{4}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, X_{3}\right)=P\left(y_{3} \mid X_{3}\right) f_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, X_{3}\right) .$ <br> Normalizing over $X_{3}$ gives $P\left(X_{3} \mid y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$. | Computational complexity depends on the largest factor generated by the process. <br> Size of factor $=$ number of entries in table. |


| Variable Elimination Ordering |
| :---: |
| - For the query $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} \mid \mathrm{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ work through the following two different orderings as done in previous slide: $Z, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}, Z$. What is the size of the maximum factor generated for each of the orderings? |
| - Answer: $2^{n+1}$ versus $2^{2}$ (assuming binary) <br> - In general: the ordering can greatly affect efficiency. |


| VE: Computational and Space Complexity |
| :--- | :--- |
| - The computational and space complexity of variable elimination is |
| determined by the largest factor |
| - The elimination ordering can greatly affect the size of the largest factor. |
| - E.g., previous slide's example $2^{n}$ vs. 2 |



| Bayes' Nets |
| :---: |
| Representation |
| Conditional Independences |
| - Probabilistic Inference |
| Enumeration (exact, exponential <br> complexity) <br> Variable elimination (exact, worst-case <br> exponential complexity, often better) <br> Inference is NP-complete <br> - Sampling (approximate) <br> - Learning Bayes' Nets from Data |

