### CSE 473: Artificial Intelligence

### Autumn 2015

### **Constraint Satisfaction**

Steve Tanimoto

With slides from : Dieter Fox, Dan Weld, Dan Klein, Stuart Russell, Andrew Moore, Luke Zettlemoyer

# What is Search For? Models of the world: single agent, deterministic actions, fully observed state, discrete state space Planning: sequences of actions The path to the goal is the important thing Paths have various costs, depths Heuristics to guide, fringe to keep backups Identification: assignments to variables The goal itself is important, not the path All paths at the same depth (for some formulations) CSPs are specialized for identification problems















## Varieties of Constraints

### Varieties of Constraints

Unary constraints involve a single variable (equiv. to shrinking domains):

### $SA \neq green$

Binary constraints involve pairs of variables

 $SA \neq WA$ 

 Higher-order constraints involve 3 or more variables: e.g., cryptarithmetic column constraints

### Preferences (soft constraints): E.g., red is better than green

- Often representable by a cost for each variable assignment
- Gives constrained optimization problems
- (We'll ignore these until we get to Bayes' nets)

## Real-World CSPs

- Assignment problems: e.g., who teaches what class
- Timetabling problems: e.g., which class is offered when and where?
- Hardware configuration
- Transportation scheduling
- Factory scheduling
- Floorplanning
- Fault diagnosis
- Iots more!
- Many real-world problems involve real-valued variables...

### Standard Search Formulation

- Standard search formulation of CSPs (incremental)
- Let's start with a straightforward, dumb approach, then fix it
- States are defined by the values assigned so far
   Initial state: the empty assignment, {}
  - Successor function: assign a value to an unassigned variable
  - Goal test: the current assignment is complete and satisfies all constraints



# Backtracking Search

- Idea 1: Only consider a single variable at each point
- Variable assignments are commutative, so fix ordering
- I.e., [WA = red then NT = green] same as [NT = green then WA = red]
- Only need to consider assignments to a single variable at each step
- How many leaves are there?
- Idea 2: Only allow legal assignments at each point
  - I.e. consider only values which do not conflict previous assignments
  - Might have to do some computation to figure out whether a value is ok
     "Incremental goal test"
- Depth-first search for CSPs with these two improvements is called backtracking search
- Backtracking search is the basic uninformed algorithm for CSPs
- Can solve n-queens for  $n \approx 25$

# Backtracking Search function BACKTRACKING-SEARCH(csp) returns solution/failure return RECURSIVE-BACKTRACKING(assignment, csp) returns soln/failure if assignment is complete then return assignment war ← SELECT-UNASSIGNED-VARIABLE(VARIABLES[csp], assignment, csp) for each value in ORDER-DOMAIN-VALUES(car, assignment, csp) of if value is consistent with assignment given CONSTRAINTS[csp] then add {var = value} to assignment result ← RECURSIVE-BACKTRACKING(assignment, csp) if result ≠ failure then return result remove {var = value} from assignment

What are the choice points?



# Improving Backtracking

- General-purpose ideas give huge gains in speed
- Ordering:
  - Which variable should be assigned next?
  - In what order should its values be tried?
- Filtering: Can we detect inevitable failure early?
- Structure: Can we exploit the problem structure?













































Goal test defined by constraints on variable values

- Backtracking = depth-first search with one legal variable assigned per node
- Variable ordering and value selection heuristics help significantly
- Forward checking prevents assignments that guarantee later failure
   Constraint propagation (e.g., arc consistency) does additional work to
- constraint propagation (e.g., are consistency) does additional work to constrain values and detect inconsistencies
- The constraint graph representation allows analysis of problem structure
- Tree-structured CSPs can be solved in linear time
- Iterative min-conflicts is usually effective in practice













### Simulated Annealing

- Theoretical guarantee: • Stationary distribution:  $p(x) \propto e^{\frac{E(x)}{kT}}$ 
  - If T decreased slowly enough, will converge to optimal state!
- Is this an interesting guarantee?
- Sounds like magic, but reality is reality:
  - The more downhill steps you need to escape a local optimum, the less likely you are to ever make them all in a row
  - People think hard about *ridge operators* which let you jump around the space in better ways





GA's for Locomotion

Ever wonder what it would be like to see evolution happening right before your eyes?

Hod Lipson's Creative Machines Lab @ Cornell