CSE 473

Lecture 15

Markov Decision Processes (MDPs)



Course Overview: Where are we?

- Introduction & Agents
- Search and Heuristics
- Adversarial Search
- Logical Knowledge Representation
- Markov Decision Processes (MDPs)
- Reinforcement Learning
- Uncertainty & Bayesian Networks
- Machine Learning

MDPs

Markov Decision Processes

- Planning Under Uncertainty
- Mathematical Framework
- Bellman Equation
- Value Iteration
- Policy Iteration
- Reinforcement Learning



Andrey Markov (1856-1922)

Planning Agent

Static vs. Dynamic



Review: Expectimax

- What if we don't know what the result of an action will be? E.g.,
 - In Solitaire, next card is unknown
 - In Pacman, the ghosts act randomly
- Can do expectimax search
 - Max nodes as in minimax search
 - Chance nodes, like min nodes, except the outcome is uncertain take average (expectation) of children
 - Calculate expected utilities



- Today, we formalize this as a Markov Decision Process
 - Handles intermediate rewards & infinite search trees
 - More efficient processing

Example: Grid World

- Walls block the agent's path
- Agent's actions are noisy:
 - 80% of the time, North action takes the agent North (assuming no wall)
 - 10% actually go West
 - 10% actually go East
 - If there is a wall in the chosen direction, the agent stays put
- Small "living" penalty (e.g., -0.04) each step
- Big reward/penalty (e.g., +1 or 1) comes at the end
- Goal: maximize sum of rewards



Markov Decision Processes

- An MDP is defined by:
 - A set of states $s \in S$
 - A set of actions $a \in A$
 - A transition function T(s,a,s')
 - Probability that action a in s leads to s'
 - i.e., P(s' | s,a)
 - Also called "the model"
 - A reward function R(s, a, s')
 - Sometimes just R(s) or R(s')
 - A start state
 - Maybe a terminal state





What is Markov about MDPs?

- "Markov" generally means that
 - Given the present state, the future is *independent* of the past



For Markov decision processes, "Markov" means:

Andrey Markov (1856 - 1922)

$$P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t, S_{t-1} = s_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, \dots S_0 = s_0)$$

$$=$$

$$P(S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t)$$
Next state only depends on

current state and action

Solving MDPs

- In deterministic search problems, want an optimal path or plan (sequence of actions) from start to a goal
- MDP: Stochastic actions, don't know what next state will be
- Instead of path/plan, use an optimal policy $\pi^*: S \rightarrow A$
 - Policy π prescribes an action for every state
 - Defines a reflex agent
 - An optimal policy maximizes expected reward if followed

Solving MDPs

Optimal policy?

Assume R(s, a, s') = -0.04

for all non-terminal s



More Example Optimal Policies

Conservative



$$R(s) = -0.01$$

Aggressive



$$R(s) = -0.4$$



$$R(s) = -0.04$$

Suicidal



R(s) = -2.0

Another Example: High-Low Card Game

Example: High-Low

- Suppose three card types: 2, 3, 4
 - Infinite deck, twice as many 2's
- Start with 3 showing
- After each card, say "high" or "low"
- New card is revealed
 - If you're right, you win the points shown on the new card
 - Tie: no reward, choose again
 - If you're wrong, game ends
 - Differences from expectimax problems:
 - #1: get rewards as you go
 - #2: you might play forever!



High-Low as an MDP

- States:
 - 2, 3, 4, done
- Actions:
 - High, Low
- Model: T(s, a, s') = P(s' | s, a):
 - P(s'=4 | 4, Low) = 1/4
 - P(s'=3 | 4, Low) = 1/4
 - P(s'=2 | 4, Low) = 1/2
 - P(s'=done | 4, Low) = 0
 - P(s'=4 | 4, High) = 1/4
 - P(s'=3 | 4, High) = 0
 - P(s'=2 | 4, High) = 0
 - P(s'=done | 4, High) = 3/4



- Rewards: R(s, a, s'):
 - Number shown on s' if s'> s
 \[\lambda = "High" etc.
 - 0 otherwise
- Start: 3

Expectimax-like Search Tree for High-Low



MDP Search Trees

Each MDP state gives an expectimax-like search tree



Utilities of Reward Sequences

- What is an "optimal" policy?
 - Each transition s,a,s' produces a reward (+ve, -ve, or 0)
 - Need to define utility of a *sequence* of rewards
- Idea 1:
 - Additive utility:

 $U([r_0, r_1, r_2, \ldots]) = r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + \cdots$

Defining Utilities

- Problem: Infinite state sequences have infinite total reward
- Solutions:



- Impose a *Finite Horizon* (deadline):
 - Terminate episodes after a fixed T steps (e.g. life)
 - Gives nonstationary policies (π depends on time left)
- Absorbing state: guarantee that a terminal state will eventually be reached (like "done" for High-Low)
- **Discounting:** Make infinite sum finite using γ (0 < γ < 1)

$$U([r_0, r_1, r_2, \ldots]) = r_0 + \gamma r_1 + \gamma^2 r_2 \cdots$$
$$U([r_0, \ldots r_\infty]) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \le R_{\max}/(1-\gamma)$$

Discounting Rewards

$$U([r_0, \dots r_\infty]) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \le R_{\max}/(1-\gamma)$$

- Discount rewards by
 γ < 1 each time step
 - Sooner rewards have higher utility than later rewards
 - Also helps the algorithms converge



Next Time

- Using utility to find the optimal policy
- To do
 - Read chapters 13 and 17