

Inference from joint distributions

Typically, we are interested in the posterior joint distribution of the **query variables** \mathbf{Y} given specific values \mathbf{e} for the **evidence variables** \mathbf{E}

Let the **hidden variables** be $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{E}$

Then the required summation of joint entries is done by summing out the hidden variables:

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{e}) = \alpha \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{E}=\mathbf{e}) = \alpha \sum_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{E}=\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{H}=\mathbf{h})$$

The terms in the summation are joint entries because \mathbf{Y} , \mathbf{E} , and \mathbf{H} together exhaust the set of random variables

Obvious problems:

- 1) Worst-case time complexity $O(d^n)$ where d is the largest arity
- 2) Space complexity $O(d^n)$ to store the joint distribution
- 3) How to find the numbers for $O(d^n)$ entries???

Conditional independence

Consider the dentist problem with three random variables:

Toothache, *Cavity*, *Catch* (steel probe catches in my tooth)

The full joint distribution has $2^3 - 1 = 7$ independent entries

If I have a cavity, the probability that the probe catches in it doesn't depend on whether I have a toothache:

$$(1) P(\textit{Catch}|\textit{Toothache}, \textit{Cavity}) = P(\textit{Catch}|\textit{Cavity})$$

i.e., *Catch* is **conditionally independent** of *Toothache* given *Cavity*

The same independence holds if I haven't got a cavity:

$$(2) P(\textit{Catch}|\textit{Toothache}, \neg \textit{Cavity}) = P(\textit{Catch}|\neg \textit{Cavity})$$

Independence

Two random variables A B are (absolutely) **independent** iff

$$P(A|B) = P(A)$$

$$\text{or } P(A, B) = P(A|B)P(B) = P(A)P(B)$$

e.g., A and B are two coin tosses

If n Boolean variables are independent, the full joint is

$$\mathbf{P}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_i \mathbf{P}(X_i)$$

hence can be specified by just n numbers

Absolute independence is a very strong requirement, seldom met

Conditional independence contd.

Equivalent statements to (1)

$$(1a) P(\textit{Toothache}|\textit{Catch}, \textit{Cavity}) = P(\textit{Toothache}|\textit{Cavity}) \textbf{Why??}$$

$$(1b) P(\textit{Toothache}, \textit{Catch}|\textit{Cavity}) = P(\textit{Toothache}|\textit{Cavity})P(\textit{Catch}|\textit{Cavity}) \textbf{Why}$$

Full joint distribution can now be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(\textit{Toothache}, \textit{Catch}, \textit{Cavity}) &= \mathbf{P}(\textit{Toothache}, \textit{Catch}|\textit{Cavity})\mathbf{P}(\textit{Cavity}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(\textit{Toothache}|\textit{Cavity})\mathbf{P}(\textit{Catch}|\textit{Cavity})\mathbf{P}(\textit{Cavity}) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $2 + 2 + 1 = 5$ independent numbers