

Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

Planning


Chapter 11

Dieter Fox

Search vs. planning

Consider the task *get milk, bananas, and a cordless drill*

Standard search algorithms seem to fail miserably:



After-the-fact heuristic/goal test inadequate

Outline

- ◇ Search vs. planning
- ◇ STRIPS operators
- ◇ Partial-order planning

Search vs. planning contd.

Planning systems do the following:

- 1) open up action and goal representation to allow selection
- 2) divide-and-conquer by subgoaling
- 3) relax requirement for sequential construction of solutions

States	Search	Planning
Actions	Lisp data structures	Logical sentences
Goal	Lisp code	Preconditions/outcomes
Plan	Lisp code	Logical sentence (conjunction)
	Sequence from S_0	Constraints on actions

Planning in situation calculus

$PlanResult(p, s)$ is the situation resulting from executing p in s
 $PlanResult([], s) = s$
 $PlanResult([a|p], s) = PlanResult(p, Result(a, s))$

Initial state $At(Home, S_0) \wedge \neg Have(Milk, S_0) \wedge \dots$

Actions as Successor State axioms

$Have(Milk, Result(a, s)) \Leftrightarrow [(a = Buy(Milk) \wedge At(Supermarket, s)) \vee (Have(Milk, s) \wedge a \neq \dots)]$

Query

$s = PlanResult(p, S_0) \wedge At(Home, s) \wedge Have(Milk, s) \wedge \dots$

Solution

$p = [Go(Supermarket), Buy(Milk), Buy(Bananas), Go(HWS), \dots]$

Principal difficulty: unconstrained branching, hard to apply heuristics

State space vs. plan space

Standard search: node = concrete world state

Planning search: node = **partial plan**

Defn: **open condition** is a precondition of a step not yet fulfilled

Operators on partial plans:

add a link from an existing action to an open condition

add a step to fulfill an open condition

order one step wrt another

Gradually move from incomplete/vague plans to complete, correct plans

STRIPS operators

Tidily arranged actions descriptions, restricted language

ACTION: $Buy(x)$

PRECONDITION: $At(p), Sells(p, x)$


EFFECT: $Have(x)$

[Note: this abstracts away many important details!]


Restricted language \Rightarrow efficient algorithm

Precondition: conjunction of positive literals

Effect: conjunction of literals



Partially ordered plans



A plan is **complete** iff every precondition is achieved

A precondition is **achieved** iff it is the effect of an earlier step and no **possibly intervening** step undoes it

POP algorithm sketch

<pre> function POP(<i>initial</i>, <i>goal</i>, <i>operators</i>) returns <i>plan</i> <i>plan</i> ← MAKE-MINIMAL-PLAN(<i>initial</i>, <i>goal</i>) loop do if SOLUTION?(<i>plan</i>) then return <i>plan</i> <i>S_{need}</i>, <i>c</i> ← SELECT-SUBGOAL(<i>plan</i>) CHOOSE-OPERATOR(<i>plan</i>, <i>operators</i>, <i>S_{need}</i>, <i>c</i>) RESOLVE-THREATS(<i>plan</i>) end function SELECT-SUBGOAL(<i>plan</i>) returns <i>S_{need}</i>, <i>c</i> pick a plan step <i>S_{need}</i> from STEPS(<i>plan</i>) with a precondition <i>c</i> that has not been achieved return <i>S_{need}</i>, <i>c</i> </pre>	
--	--

POP algorithm contd.

POP is sound, complete, and **systematic** (no repetition)


Extensions for disjunction, universals, negation, conditionals

POP algorithm contd.

<pre> procedure CHOOSE-OPERATOR(<i>plan</i>, <i>operators</i>, <i>S_{need}</i>, <i>c</i>) choose a step <i>S_{add}</i> from <i>operators</i> or STEPS(<i>plan</i>) that has <i>c</i> as an effect if there is no such step then fail add the causal link <i>S_{add}</i> \xrightarrow{c} <i>S_{need}</i> to LINKS(<i>plan</i>) add the ordering constraint <i>S_{add}</i> \prec <i>S_{need}</i> to ORDERINGS(<i>plan</i>) if <i>S_{add}</i> is a newly added step from <i>operators</i> then add <i>S_{add}</i> to STEPS(<i>plan</i>) add <i>Start</i> \prec <i>S_{add}</i> \prec <i>Finish</i> to ORDERINGS(<i>plan</i>) procedure RESOLVE-THREATS(<i>plan</i>) for each <i>S_{threat}</i> that threatens a link <i>S_i</i> \xrightarrow{c} <i>S_j</i> in LINKS(<i>plan</i>) do choose either <i>Demotion</i>: Add <i>S_{threat}</i> \prec <i>S_i</i> to ORDERINGS(<i>plan</i>) <i>Promotion</i>: Add <i>S_j</i> \prec <i>S_{threat}</i> to ORDERINGS(<i>plan</i>) if not CONSISTENT(<i>plan</i>) then fail end </pre>	
--	--


Clobbering and promotion/demotion

A **clobberer** is a potentially intervening step that destroys the condition achieved by a causal link. E.g., $Go(Home)$ clobbers $At(HWS)$:



Example: Blocks world

"Sussman anomaly" problem



$Clear(x) \ On(x,z) \ Clear(y)$

$PutOn(x,y)$


$\sim On(x,z) \ \sim Clear(y)$
 $Clear(z) \ On(x,y)$

$\sim On(x,z) \ Clear(z) \ On(x, Table)$

$Clear(x) \ On(x,z)$
 $PutOnTable(x)$


+ several inequality constraints

Example contd.



Example contd.


$On(C,A) \ On(A, Table) \ C(B) \ On(B, Table) \ C(C)$




START

$On(A,B) \ On(B,C)$

FINISH



Example contd.



Example contd.

