## Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

Belief networks

## Chapter 15.1-2

Dieter Fox

## Outline

$\diamond$ Bayesian networks: syntax and semantics
$\diamond$ Inference tasks

## Belief networks

A simple, graphical notation for conditional independence assertions and hence for compact specification of full joint distributions

Syntax:
a set of nodes, one per variable a directed, acyclic graph (link $\approx$ "directly influences") a conditional distribution for each node given its parents:

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid \operatorname{Parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

In the simplest case, conditional distribution represented as a conditional probability table (CPT)

## Example

I'm at work, neighbor John calls to say my alarm is ringing, but neighbor Mary doesn't call. Sometimes it's set off by minor earthquakes. Is there a burglar?

Variables: Burglar, Earthquake, Alarm, JohnCalls, MaryCalls Network topology reflects "causal" knowledge:


## Example

I'm at work, neighbor John calls to say my alarm is ringing, but neighbor Mary doesn't call. Sometimes it's set off by minor earthquakes. Is there a burglar?

Variables: Burglar, Earthquake, Alarm, JohnCalls, MaryCalls Network topology reflects "causal" knowledge:


Note: $\leq k$ parents $\Rightarrow O\left(d^{k} n\right)$ numbers vs. $O\left(d^{n}\right)$

## Semantics

"Global" semantics defines the full joint distribution as the product of the local conditional distributions:

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid \operatorname{Parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

e.g., $P(J \wedge M \wedge A \wedge \neg B \wedge \neg E)$ is given by??
$=$

## Semantics

"Global" semantics defines the full joint distribution as the product of the local conditional distributions:

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid \operatorname{Parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)
$$

e.g., $P(J \wedge M \wedge A \wedge \neg B \wedge \neg E)$ is given by??

$$
=P(\neg B) P(\neg E) P(A \mid \neg B \wedge \neg E) P(J \mid A) P(M \mid A)
$$

"Local" semantics: each node is conditionally independent of its nondescendants given its parents

Theorem: Local semantics $\Leftrightarrow$ global semantics

## Markov blanket

Each node is conditionally independent of all others given its Markov blanket: parents + children + children's parents


## Constructing belief networks

Need a method such that a series of locally testable assertions of conditional independence guarantees the required global semantics

1. Choose an ordering of variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$
2. For $i=1$ to $n$

$$
\text { add } X_{i} \text { to the network }
$$

select parents from $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}$ such that

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid \operatorname{Parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)=\mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}\right)
$$

This choice of parents guarantees the global semantics:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{P}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i-1}\right) \text { (chain rule) } \\
=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid \operatorname{Parents}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \text { by construction }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example

Suppose we choose the ordering $M, J, A, B, E$

JohnCalls
$P(J \mid M)=P(J) ?$

## Example

Suppose we choose the ordering $M, J, A, B, E$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(J \mid M)=P(J) ? \quad \text { No } \\
& P(A \mid J, M)=P(A \mid J) ? P(A \mid J, M)=P(A) ?
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

Suppose we choose the ordering $M, J, A, B, E$


## Burglary

```
\(P(J \mid M)=P(J)\) ? No
\(P(A \mid J, M)=P(A \mid J) ? P(A \mid J, M)=P(A) ? \quad\) No
\(P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B \mid A) ?\)
\(P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B)\) ?
```


## Example

Suppose we choose the ordering $M, J, A, B, E$


Earthquake
$P(J \mid M)=P(J)$ ? No
$P(A \mid J, M)=P(A \mid J) ? P(A \mid J, M)=P(A) ? \quad$ No
$P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B \mid A)$ ? Yes
$P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B)$ ? No
$P(E \mid B, A, J, M)=P(E \mid A) ?$
$P(E \mid B, A, J, M)=P(E \mid A, B) ?$

## Example

Suppose we choose the ordering $M, J, A, B, E$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(J \mid M)=P(J) \text { ? No } \\
& P(A \mid J, M)=P(A \mid J) ? P(A \mid J, M)=P(A) \text { ? No } \\
& P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B \mid A) \text { ? Yes } \\
& P(B \mid A, J, M)=P(B) \text { ? No } \\
& P(E \mid B, A, J, M)=P(E \mid A) \text { ? No } \\
& P(E \mid B, A, J, M)=P(E \mid A, B) \text { ? Yes }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Car diagnosis

Initial evidence: engine won't start
Testable variables (thin ovals), diagnosis variables (thick ovals)
Hidden variables (shaded) ensure sparse structure, reduce parameters


## Example: Car insurance

Predict claim costs (medical, liability, property) given data on application form (other unshaded nodes)


## Inference in Bayesian networks

Instantiate some nodes (evidence nodes) and query other nodes.

$P$ (Burglary $\mid$ JohnCalls)??

## Inference in Bayesian networks

Instantiate some nodes (evidence nodes) and query other nodes.


## $P$ (Burglary | JohnCalls)??

- Burglary only every 1000 days, but John calls 50 times in 1000 days, i.e. for each burglary we receive 50 false alarms.
$\leadsto P($ Burglary $\mid$ JohnCalls $)=0.016$ !
- $P($ Burglary $\mid$ JohnCalls, MaryCalls $)=0.29$.


## Types of inference




Causal
 Intercausal



1. Diagnostic: From effects to causes $P($ Burglary $\mid$ JohnCalls $)=0.016$
2. Causal: From causes to effects $P($ JohnCalls $\mid$ Burglary $)=0.86$
3. Intercausal: between causes of common effect $P($ Burglary $\mid$ Alarm $)=0.376$, but $P($ Burglary $\mid$ Alarm, Earthquake $)=$ 0.003 .
4. Mixed: Combinations of 1.-3.
$P($ Alarm $\mid$ JohnCalls, $\neg$ Earthquake $)=0.03$

## Inference tasks

Queries: compute posterior marginal $\mathbf{P}\left(X_{i} \mid \mathbf{E}=\mathbf{e}\right)$
e.g., $P($ NoGas $\mid$ Gauge $=$ empty, Lights $=o n$, Starts $=$ false $)$

Optimal decisions: decision networks include utility information; probabilistic inference required for $P$ (outcome|action, evidence)

Value of information: which evidence to seek next?

Sensitivity analysis: which probability values are most critical?

Explanation: why do I need a new starter motor?

## Compact conditional distributions

CPT grows exponentially with no. of parents
CPT becomes infinite with continuous-valued parent or child

Solution: canonical distributions that are defined compactly

Deterministic nodes are the simplest case:
$X=f(\operatorname{Parents}(X))$ for some function $f$
E.g., Boolean functions

$$
\text { NorthAmerican } \Leftrightarrow \text { Canadian } \vee U S \vee \text { Mexican }
$$

E.g., numerical relationships among continuous variables

$$
\frac{\partial L e v e l}{\partial t}=\text { inflow }+ \text { precipation }- \text { outflow }- \text { evaporation }
$$

## Compact conditional distributions contd.

Noisy-OR distributions model multiple noninteracting causes

1) Parents $U_{1} \ldots U_{k}$ include all causes (can add leak node)
2) Independent failure probability $q_{i}$ for each cause alone

$$
\Rightarrow P\left(X \mid U_{1} \ldots U_{j}, \neg U_{j+1} \ldots \neg U_{k}\right)=1-\prod_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}
$$

| Cold | $F l u$ | Malaria | $P($ Fever $)$ | $P(\neg$ Fever $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| F | F | F | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | 1.0 |
| F | F | T | 0.9 | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |
| F | T | F | 0.8 | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| F | T | T | 0.98 | $0.02=0.2 \times 0.1$ |
| T | F | F | 0.4 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
| T | F | T | 0.94 | $0.06=0.6 \times 0.1$ |
| T | T | F | 0.88 | $0.12=0.6 \times 0.2$ |
| T | T | T | 0.988 | $0.012=0.6 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$ |

Number of parameters linear in number of parents

