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Objectives

- To explain what is meant by a critical system where system failure can have severe human or economic consequence.
- To explain four dimensions of dependability - availability, reliability, safety and security.
- To explain that, to achieve dependability, you need to avoid mistakes, detect and remove errors and limit damage caused by failure.
Topics covered

- A simple safety-critical system
- System dependability
- Availability and reliability
- Safety
- Security
Critical Systems

- **Safety-critical systems**
  - Failure results in loss of life, injury or damage to the environment;
  - Chemical plant protection system;

- **Mission-critical systems**
  - Failure results in failure of some goal-directed activity;
  - Spacecraft navigation system;

- **Business-critical systems**
  - Failure results in high economic losses;
  - Customer accounting system in a bank;
Reliability regimes

- **Fail-operational systems** continue to operate when they fail. Examples: elevators, the gas thermostats in most home furnaces, and passively safe nuclear reactors.

- **Fail-safe systems** become safe when they cannot operate. Many medical systems fall into this category.

- **Fail-secure systems** maintain maximum security when they can not operate. Example: security doors.

- **Fail-Passive systems** a system failure “does no harm”…Example: aircraft autopilots that stop controlling the plane, but won’t steer aircraft in the wrong direction.

- **Fault-tolerant systems** avoid service failure when faults are introduced to the system.
I. System dependability

- For critical systems, it is usually the case that the most important system property is the dependability of the system.
- The dependability of a system reflects the user’s degree of trust in that system. It reflects the extent of the user’s confidence that it will operate as users expect and that it will not ‘fail’ in normal use.
- Usefulness and trustworthiness are not the same thing. A system does not have to be trusted to be useful.
Importance of dependability

- Systems that are not dependable and are unreliable, unsafe or insecure may be rejected by their users.
- The costs of system failure may be very high.
- Undependable systems may cause information loss with a high consequent recovery cost.
Development methods for critical systems

- The costs of critical system failure are so high that development methods may be used that are not cost-effective for other types of system.

- Examples of development methods
  - Formal methods of software development
  - Static analysis
  - External quality assurance
Socio-technical critical systems

- **Hardware failure**
  - Hardware fails because of design and manufacturing errors or because components have reached the end of their natural life.

- **Software failure**
  - Software fails due to errors in its specification, design or implementation.

- **Operational failure**
  - Human operators make mistakes. Now perhaps the largest single cause of system failures.
A software-controlled insulin pump

- Used by diabetics to simulate the function of the pancreas which manufactures insulin, an essential hormone that metabolises blood glucose.
- Measures blood glucose (sugar) using a micro-sensor and computes the insulin dose required to metabolise the glucose.
Insulin pump organisation
Insulin pump data-flow
Dependability requirements

- The system shall be available to deliver insulin when required to do so.
- The system shall perform reliably and deliver the correct amount of insulin to counteract the current level of blood sugar.
- The essential safety requirement is that excessive doses of insulin should never be delivered as this is potentially life threatening.
Dependability

- The dependability of a system equates to its trustworthiness.
- A dependable system is a system that is trusted by its users.
- Principal dimensions of dependability are:
  - Availability;
  - Reliability;
  - Safety;
  - Security
Dimensions of dependability

- **Availability**: The ability of the system to deliver services when requested.
- **Reliability**: The ability of the system to deliver services as specified.
- **Safety**: The ability of the system to operate without catastrophic failure.
- **Security**: The ability of the system to protect itself against accidental or deliberate intrusion.
Other dependability properties

- **Repairability**
  - Reflects the extent to which the system can be repaired in the event of a failure

- **Maintainability**
  - Reflects the extent to which the system can be adapted to new requirements;

- **Survivability**
  - Reflects the extent to which the system can deliver services whilst under hostile attack;

- **Error tolerance**
  - Reflects the extent to which user input errors can be avoided and tolerated.
Maintainability

- A system attribute that is concerned with the ease of repairing the system after a failure has been discovered or changing the system to include new features.
- Very important for critical systems as faults are often introduced into a system because of maintenance problems.
- Maintainability is distinct from other dimensions of dependability because it is a static and not a dynamic system attribute.

Example:
Using redundant servers (each of which can do the job) results in a maintainable design: Can e.g. upgrade the OS on one server at a time, thereby allowing an OS upgrade without taking entire cluster down.
Survivability

- The ability of a system to continue to deliver its services to users in the face of deliberate or accidental attack
- This is an increasingly important attribute for distributed systems whose security can be compromised
- Survivability subsumes the notion of resilience - the ability of a system to continue in operation in spite of component failures
Dependability vs performance

- Untrustworthy systems may be rejected by their users
- System failure costs may be very high
- It is very difficult to tune systems to make them more dependable
- It may be possible to compensate for poor performance
- Untrustworthy systems may cause loss of valuable information
Dependability costs

- Dependability costs tend to increase exponentially as increasing levels of dependability are required.

- There are two reasons for this:
  - The use of more expensive development techniques and hardware that are required to achieve the higher levels of dependability.
  - The increased testing and system validation that is required to convince the system client that the required levels of dependability have been achieved.
Costs of increasing dependability
Dependability economics

- Because of very high costs of dependability achievement, it may be more cost effective to accept untrustworthy systems and pay for failure costs.
- However, this depends on social and political factors. A reputation for products that can’t be trusted may lose future business.
- Depends on system type - for business systems in particular, modest levels of dependability may be adequate.
II. Availability and reliability

- **Reliability**
  - The probability of failure-free system operation over a specified time in a given environment for a given purpose.

- **Availability**
  - The probability that a system, at a point in time, will be operational and able to deliver the requested services.

- Both of these attributes can be expressed quantitatively.
Availability and reliability

- It is sometimes possible to subsume system availability under system reliability
  - Obviously if a system is unavailable it is not delivering the specified system services
- However, it is possible to have systems with low reliability that must be available. So long as system failures can be repaired quickly and do not damage data, low reliability may not be a problem
- Availability takes repair time into account
## Reliability terminology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System failure</td>
<td>An event that occurs at some point in time when the system does not deliver a service as expected by its users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System error</td>
<td>An erroneous system state that can lead to system behaviour that is unexpected by system users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System fault</td>
<td>A characteristic of a software system that can lead to a system error. For example, failure to initialise a variable could lead to that variable having the wrong value when it is used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human error or mistake</td>
<td>Human behaviour that results in the introduction of faults into a system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Faults and failures

- Failures are usually a result of system errors that are derived from faults in the system.
- However, faults do not necessarily result in system errors:
  - The faulty system state may be transient and ‘corrected’ before an error arises.
- Errors do not necessarily lead to system failures:
  - The error can be corrected by built-in error detection and recovery.
  - The failure can be protected against by built-in protection facilities. These may, for example, protect system resources from system errors.
Perceptions of reliability

- The formal definition of reliability does not always reflect the user’s perception of a system’s reliability
  - The assumptions that are made about the environment where a system will be used may be incorrect
    - Usage of a system in an office environment is likely to be quite different from usage of the same system in a university environment
  - The consequences of system failures affects the perception of reliability
    - Unreliable windscreen wipers in a car may be irrelevant in a dry climate
    - Failures that have serious consequences (such as an engine breakdown in a car) are given greater weight by users than failures that are inconvenient
Reliability achievement

- **Fault avoidance**
  - Development techniques are used that either minimise the possibility of mistakes or trap mistakes before they result in the introduction of system faults

- **Fault detection and removal**
  - Verification and validation techniques that increase the probability of detecting and correcting errors before the system goes into service are used

- **Fault tolerance**
  - Run-time techniques are used to ensure that system faults do not result in system errors and/or that system errors do not lead to system failures
Reliability modelling

- You can model a system as an input-output mapping where some inputs will result in erroneous outputs.
- The reliability of the system is the probability that a particular input will lie in the set of inputs that cause erroneous outputs.
- Different people will use the system in different ways so this probability is not a static system attribute but depends on the system’s environment.
Reliability improvement

- Removing X% of the faults in a system will not necessarily improve the reliability by X%. A study at IBM showed that removing 60% of product defects resulted in a 3% improvement in reliability.
- Program defects may be in rarely executed sections of the code so may never be encountered by users. Removing these does not affect the perceived reliability.
- A program with known faults may therefore still be seen as reliable by its users.
III. Safety

- Safety is a property of a system that reflects the system’s ability to operate, normally or abnormally, without danger of causing human injury or death and without damage to the system’s environment.
- It is increasingly important to consider software safety as more and more devices incorporate software-based control systems.
- Safety requirements are exclusive requirements i.e. they exclude undesirable situations rather than specify required system services.
Safety criticality

- **Primary safety-critical systems**
  - Embedded software systems whose failure can cause the associated hardware to fail and directly threaten people.

- **Secondary safety-critical systems**
  - Systems whose failure results in faults in other systems which can threaten people

- Discussion here focuses on primary safety-critical systems
  - Secondary safety-critical systems can only be considered on a one-off basis
Safety and reliability

- Safety and reliability are related but distinct
  - In general, reliability and availability are necessary but not sufficient conditions for system safety
- Reliability is concerned with conformance to a given specification and delivery of service
- Safety is concerned with ensuring system cannot cause damage irrespective of whether or not it conforms to its specification
Unsafe reliable systems

- Specification errors
  - If the system specification is incorrect then the system can behave as specified but still cause an accident

- Hardware failures generating spurious inputs
  - Hard to anticipate in the specification

- Context-sensitive commands i.e. issuing the right command at the wrong time
  - Often the result of operator error
## Safety terminology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accident (or mishap)</td>
<td>An unplanned event or sequence of events which results in human death or injury, damage to property or to the environment. A computer-controlled machine injuring its operator is an example of an accident.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard</td>
<td>A condition with the potential for causing or contributing to an accident. A failure of the sensor that detects an obstacle in front of a machine is an example of a hazard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage</td>
<td>A measure of the loss resulting from a mishap. Damage can range from many people killed as a result of an accident to minor injury or property damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard severity</td>
<td>An assessment of the worst possible damage that could result from a particular hazard. Hazard severity can range from catastrophic where many people are killed to minor where only minor damage results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard probability</td>
<td>The probability of the events occurring which create a hazard. Probability values tend to be arbitrary but range from probable (say 1/100 chance of a hazard occurring) to implausible (no conceivable situations are likely where the hazard could occur).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>This is a measure of the probability that the system will cause an accident. The risk is assessed by considering the hazard probability, the hazard severity and the probability that a hazard will result in an accident.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Safety achievement

- Hazard avoidance
  - The system is designed so that some classes of hazard simply cannot arise.

- Hazard detection and removal
  - The system is designed so that hazards are detected and removed before they result in an accident

- Damage limitation
  - The system includes protection features that minimise the damage that may result from an accident
Normal accidents

- Accidents in complex systems rarely have a single cause as these systems are designed to be resilient to a single point of failure
  - Designing systems so that a single point of failure does not cause an accident is a fundamental principle of safe systems design
- Almost all accidents are a result of combinations of malfunctions
- It is probably the case that anticipating all problem combinations, especially, in software controlled systems is impossible so achieving complete safety is impossible
IV. Security

- The security of a system is a system property that reflects the system’s ability to protect itself from accidental or deliberate external attack.
- Security is becoming increasingly important as systems are networked so that external access to the system through the Internet is possible.
- Security is an essential pre-requisite for availability, reliability and safety.
Fundamental security

- If a system is a networked system and is insecure then statements about its reliability and its safety are unreliable.
- These statements depend on the executing system and the developed system being the same. However, intrusion can change the executing system and/or its data.
- Therefore, the reliability and safety assurance is no longer valid.
## Security terminology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Possible loss or harm in a computing system. This can be loss or damage to data or can be a loss of time and effort if recovery is necessary after a security breach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerability</td>
<td>A weakness in a computer-based system that may be exploited to cause loss or harm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attack</td>
<td>An exploitation of a system vulnerability. Generally, this is from outside the system and is a deliberate attempt to cause some damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats</td>
<td>Circumstances that have potential to cause loss or harm. You can think of these as a system vulnerability that is subjected to an attack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>A protective measure that reduces a system vulnerability. Encryption would be an example of a control that reduced a vulnerability of a weak access control system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Damage from insecurity

- Denial of service
  - The system is forced into a state where normal services are unavailable or where service provision is significantly degraded

- Corruption of programs or data
  - The programs or data in the system may be modified in an unauthorised way

- Disclosure of confidential information
  - Information that is managed by the system may be exposed to people who are not authorised to read or use that information
Security assurance

- **Vulnerability avoidance**
  - The system is designed so that vulnerabilities do not occur. For example, if there is no external network connection then external attack is impossible

- **Attack detection and elimination**
  - The system is designed so that attacks on vulnerabilities are detected and neutralised before they result in an exposure. For example, virus checkers find and remove viruses before they infect a system

- **Exposure limitation**
  - The system is designed so that the adverse consequences of a successful attack are minimised. For example, a backup policy allows damaged information to be restored
Key points

- A critical system is a system where failure can lead to high economic loss, physical damage or threats to life.
- The dependability in a system reflects the user’s trust in that system.
- The availability of a system is the probability that it will be available to deliver services when requested.
- The reliability of a system is the probability that system services will be delivered as specified.
- Reliability and availability are generally seen as necessary but not sufficient conditions for safety and security.
**Key points**

- Reliability is related to the probability of an error occurring in operational use. A system with known faults may be reliable.
- Safety is a system attribute that reflects the system’s ability to operate without threatening people or the environment.
- Security is a system attribute that reflects the system’s ability to protect itself from external attack.
- Dependability improvement requires a socio-technical approach to design where you consider the humans as well as the hardware and software.
Additional safety design techniques

Independent safety system

- Safety system logic is simple enough that every possible path through system can be tested
Additional critical system design techniques

Redundancy

**Cold standby**

**Hot standby**

**Triple modular redundancy**

**N-fold (or 1:N) modular redundancy**

Compare to R3 code!