## Link Layer

## Link Layer

- Transfer frames over one or more connected links
- Frames are messages of limited size
- Builds on the physical layer which moves stream of bits



## In terms of layers ...



## In terms of layers ...



## Typical Implementation of Layers (2)



## Topics we'll cover

1. Framing

- Delimiting start/end of frames

2. Error detection and correction

- Handling errors

3. Multiple Access

- 802.11, classic Ethernet

4. Switching

- Modern Ethernet

Framing
Delimiting start/end of frames

## Framing: Problem

- How do we interpret a stream of bits as a sequence of frames?



## Ideas?

## Framing Methods

1. Fixed-size frames (motivation)
2. Byte count (motivation)
3. Byte stuffing
4. Bit stuffing

- In practice, the physical layer often helps to identify frame boundaries
- E.g., Ethernet, 802.11


## 1. Fixed-size frames

- Make every frame a fixed number of bits
- Pad smaller frames
- Problems?
- Wasted transmissions for small frames


## 2. Byte Count

- Start each frame with a length field

- Problems?


## 2. Byte Count: Problem

- Difficult to re-synchronize after framing error
- Want a way to scan for a start of frame



## 3. Byte Stuffing

- A special flag byte value for start/end of frame
- Replace ("stuff") the flag with an escape code

| FLAG | Header | Payload field | Trailer | FLAG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- Problems?


## 3. Byte Stuffing: Problem

- Must escape the escape code too! Rules:
- Replace each FLAG in data with ESC FLAG
- Replace each ESC in data with ESC ESC



## Unstuffing

You see:

1. Solitary FLAG?
2. Solitary ESC?
3. ESC FLAG?
4. ESC ESC FLAG?
5. ESC ESC ESC FLAG?
6. ESC FLAG FLAG?

What it means
-> Start or end of packet
-> Bad packet!
-> remove ESC and pass FLAG through
-> removed ESC and then start of end of packet
-> pass ESC FLAG through
-> pass FLAG through then start of end of packet

## 4. Bit Stuffing

- Can stuff at the bit level too
- Call a flag six consecutive 1 s
- On transmit, after five 1 s in the data, insert a 0
- On receive, a 0 after five 1 s is deleted

```
Data bits 011011111111111111110010
```

Transmitted bits with stuffing


## Link Example: PPP over SONET

- PPP is Point-to-Point Protocol
- Widely used for link framing
- E.g., it is used to frame IP packets that are sent over SONET optical links


## Link Example: PPP over SONET (2)

- Think of SONET as a bit stream, and PPP as the framing that carries an IP packet over the link



## Link Example: PPP over SONET (3)

- Framing uses byte stuffing
- FLAG is $0 \times 7 \mathrm{E}$ and ESC is $0 \times 7 \mathrm{D}$



## Link Example: PPP over SONET (4)

- Byte stuffing method:
- To stuff (unstuff) a byte
- add (remove) ESC (0x7D)
- and XOR byte with $0 \times 20$
- Removes FLAG from the contents of the frame


## Link Layer: Error detection and correction

## Problem: Noise may Flip Received Bits

- Link layers provides some protection
- Detect errors with codes
- Correct errors with codes
- Retransmit lost frames $\longleftarrow$ Later
- Reliability concern cuts across the layers
- E.g, TCP in the transport layer, DNS in the app layer

Problem: Noise may Flip Received Bits


Ideas?

## Approach - Add Redundancy

- Error detection codes: Add check bits to the message bits to let some errors be detected
- Error correction codes: Add more check bits to let some errors be corrected
- Key issue: Structure the code such that
- Need few check bits to detect/correct many errors
- Modest computation


## Motivating Example

- A simple code to handle errors:
- Send two copies! Error detected if different.
- How good is this code?
- How many errors can it detect/correct?
- How many errors will make it fail?


## Want to Handle More Errors w/ Fewer Bits

- We'll look at better codes (applied mathematics)
- But, they can't handle all errors
- And they focus on accidental (random) errors


## Using Error Codes

- Codeword consists of D data plus R check bits (=systematic block code)


## Data bits Check bits



- Sender:
- Compute R check bits based on the D data bits; send the codeword of D+R bits


## Using Error Codes (2)

- Receiver:
- Receive D+R bits with unknown errors
- Recompute $R$ check bits based on the $D$ data bits
- Error detected if R doesn't match R'

Data bits Check bits


## Intuition for Error Codes

- For D data bits, R check bits:

All possible D+R bits
Correct codewords


- Randomly chosen $D+R$ bits is unlikely to be correct
- Low, controllable overhead
R.W. Hamming (1915-1998)
- Much early work on codes:
- "Error Detecting and Error Correcting Codes", BSTJ, 1950
- See also:
- "You and Your Research", 1986


Source: IEEE GHN, © 2009 IEEE

## Hamming Distance

- Distance is the number of bit flips needed to change $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{D}_{2}$
- Hamming distance of a coding is the minimum error distance between any pair of codewords (bit-strings) that cannot be detected


## Hamming Distance (2)

- Error detection:
- For a coding of distance d+1, up to d errors will always be detected
- Error correction:
- For a coding of distance $2 \mathrm{~d}+1$, up to d errors can always be corrected by mapping to the closest valid codeword


## Simple Error Detection - Parity Bit

- Take D data bits, add 1 check bit
- Check bit could be sum modulo 2 or XOR


## Parity Bit (2)

- How well does parity work?
- What is the distance of the code?
- How many errors will it detect/correct?
-What about larger errors?


## Checksums

- Idea: sum up data in N -bit words
- Widely used in, e.g., TCP/IP/UDP

| 1500 bytes | 16 bits |
| :---: | :---: |

- Stronger protection than parity


## Internet Checksum

- Sum is defined in 1 s complement arithmetic (must add back carries)
- And it's the negative sum
- "The checksum field is the 16 bit one's complement of the one's complement sum of all 16 bit words ..." - RFC 791


## Internet Checksum (2)

Sending: ..... 00011.Arrange data in 16 -bit words£204£4f5
2.Put zero in checksum position, add ..... f6f73.Add any carryover back to get 16 bits4.Negate (complement) to get sum

## Internet Checksum (3)

| Sending: | $\begin{aligned} & 0001 \\ & \mathrm{f} 204 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.Arrange data in 16-bit words | f4f5 |
| 2.Put zero in checksum position, add | $\begin{array}{r} f 6 f 7 \\ +(0000) \end{array}$ |
| 3.Add any carryover back to get 16 bits | 2ddf1 |
| 4.Negate (complement) to get sum | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ddf1 } \\ +\quad 2 \end{array}$ |
|  | ddf3 <br> 220c |

## Internet Checksum（4）

Receiving： 00011．Arrange data in 16 －bit wordsf204
1．Arrange data in 16－bit words f4f5
2．Checksum will be non－zero，add
3．Add any carryover back to get 16 bits
f6f7
$+220 c$
ーーーーーー
4．Negate the result and check it is 0

## Internet Checksum (5)

Receiving:


## Internet Checksum (6)

- How well does the checksum work?
- What is the distance of the code?
- How many errors will it detect/correct?

