


Network Layer (Routing)

Border Gateway Protocol

Structure of the Internet

- Networks (ISPs, CDNs, etc.) group with IP prefixes
- Networks are richly interconnected, often using IXPs



Internet-wide Routing Issues

- Two problems beyond routing within a network

1. Scaling to very large networks

- Techniques of IP prefixes, hierarchy, prefix aggregation

2. Incorporating policy decisions


- Letting different parties choose their routes to suit their own needs



Yikes!


Effects of Independent Parties

- Each party selects routes to suit its own interests
 - e.g, shortest path in ISP
- What path will be chosen for $A2 \rightarrow B1$ and $B1 \rightarrow A2$?
 - What is the best path?



Effects of Independent Parties (2)

- Selected paths are longer than overall shortest path
 - And asymmetric too!
- This is a consequence of independent goals and decisions, not hierarchy




Routing Policies

- Capture the goals of different parties
 - Could be anything
- Common policies we'll look at:
 - ISPs give TRANSIT service to customers
 - ISPs give PEER service to each other


Routing Policies – Transit

- One party (customer) gets TRANSIT service from another party (ISP)
 - ISP accepts traffic for customer from the rest of Internet
 - ISP sends traffic from customer to the rest of Internet
 - Customer pays ISP for the privilege




Routing Policies – Peer

- Both party (ISPs in example) get PEER service from each other
 - Each ISP accepts traffic from the other ISP only for their customers
 - ISPs do not carry traffic to the rest of the Internet for each other
 - ISPs don't pay each other



Routing with BGP (Border Gateway Protocol)

- iBGP is for internal routing
- eBGP is interdomain routing for the Internet
 - Path vector, a kind of distance vector




Routing with BGP

- Parties like ISPs are called AS (Autonomous Systems)
- AS's **MANUALLY** configure their internal BGP routes/advertisements
- External routes go through complicated filters for forwarding/filtering
- AS BGP routers communicate with each other to keep consistent routing rules

Routing with BGP

- Border routers of ASes announce BGP routes
- Route announcements have IP prefix, path vector, next hop
 - Path vector is list of ASes on the way to the prefix
 - List is to find loops
- Route announcements move in the opposite direction to traffic

Routing with BGP




Routing with BGP

Policy is implemented in two ways:

1. Border routers of ISP announce paths only to other parties who may use those paths
 - Filter out paths others can't use
2. Border routers of ISP select the best path of the ones they hear in any, non-shortest way


Routing with BGP

- TRANSIT: AS1 says [B, (AS1, AS3)], [C, (AS1, AS4)] to AS2




Routing with BGP

- CUSTOMER (other side of TRANSIT): AS2 says [A, (AS2)] to AS1




Routing with BGP

- PEER: AS2 says [A, (AS2)] to AS3, AS3 says [B, (AS3)] to AS2



Routing with BGP

- AS2 has two routes to B (AS1, AS3) and chooses AS3 (Free!)



BGP Thoughts

- Much more beyond basics to explore!
- Policy is a substantial factor
 - Can independent decisions be sensible overall?
- Other important factors:
 - Convergence effects
 - How well it scales
 - Integration with intradomain routing
 - And more ...