### Introduction to Computer Networks

#### **Network Layer Overview**



#### Where we are in the Course


- Starting the Network Layer!
  - Builds on the link layer. <u>Routers</u> send <u>packets</u> over multiple networks

Application
Transport
Network
Link
Physical

CSE 461 University of Washington

# Why do we need a Network layer?

 We can already build networks with links and switches and send frames between hosts ...




 Question: what are the downsides of using link layer solutions (switches) to connect all of the Internet?

CSE 461 University of Washington

.

# **Shortcomings of Switches**


- 1. Don't scale to large networks
  - Blow up of routing table, broadcast



CSE 461 University of Washington

# **Shortcomings of Switches (2)**

- Don't work across more than one link layer technology
  - Hosts on Ethernet + 3G + 802.11 ...




CSE 461 University of Washington

.

# **Shortcomings of Switches (3)**

- 3. Don't give much traffic control
  - Want to plan routes / bandwidth



CSE 461 University of Washington

# Network Layer Approach


- Scaling:
  - Hierarchy, in the form of prefixes
- Heterogeneity:
  - IP for internetworking
- Bandwidth Control:
  - Lowest-cost routing
  - Later QOS (Quality of Service)

CSE 461 University of Washington

7

### Routing vs. Forwarding


- Routing is the process of deciding in which direction to send traffic
  - Network wide (global) and expensive



CSE 461 University of Washington

# Routing vs. Forwarding (2)

- Forwarding is the process of sending a packet on its way
  - Node process (local) and fast



CSE 461 University of Washington

9

# Introduction to Computer Networks

Network Services (§5.1)



#### Two Network Service Models

- Datagrams, or connectionless service
  - Like postal letters
  - (This one is IP)



- Virtual circuits, or connectionoriented service
  - Like a telephone call

CSE 461 University of Washington

11


# Store-and-Forward Packet Switching

- Both models are implemented with store-and-forward packet switching
  - Routers receive a complete packet, storing it temporarily if necessary before forwarding it onwards
  - We use statistical multiplexing to share link bandwidth over time

CSE 461 University of Washington

# **Datagram Model**

 Packets contain a destination address; each router uses it to forward each packet, possibly on different paths




CSE 461 University of Washington

13

# Datagram Model (2)


- Each router has a forwarding table keyed by address
  - Gives next hop for each destination address; may change



CSE 461 University of Washington

# **IP (Internet Protocol)**

- Network layer of the Internet, uses datagrams (next)
  - IPv4 carries 32 bit addresses on each packet (often 1.5 KB)



CSE 461 University of Washington

15


#### Virtual Circuit Model

- Three phases:
  - 1. Connection establishment, circuit is set up
    - Path is chosen, circuit information stored in routers
  - 2. Data transfer, circuit is used
    - · Packets are forwarded along the path
  - 3. Connection teardown, circuit is deleted
    - Circuit information is removed from routers
- Just like a telephone circuit, but virtual in the sense that no bandwidth need be reserved; statistical sharing of links

CSE 461 University of Washington

# Virtual Circuits (2)

- · Packets only contain a short label to identify the circuit
  - Labels don't have any global meaning, only unique for a link




CSE 461 University of Washington

17

# Virtual Circuits (3)


- · Each router has a forwarding table keyed by circuit
  - Gives output line and next label to place on packet



CSE 461 University of Washington

### Virtual Circuits (4)

- Each router has a forwarding table keyed by circuit
  - Gives output line and next label to place on packet




CSE 461 University of Washington

19

#### MPLS (Multi-Protocol Label Switching, §5.6.5)

- A virtual-circuit like technology widely used by ISPs
  - ISP sets up circuits inside their backbone ahead of time
  - ISP adds MPLS label to IP packet at ingress, undoes at egress



CSE 461 University of Washington

# **Datagrams vs Virtual Circuits**

#### Complementary strengths

Issue	Datagrams	Virtual Circuits	
Setup phase	Not needed	Required	
Router state	Per destination	Per connection address Packet carries short label	
Addresses	Packet carries full address		
Routing	Per packet	Per circuit	
Failures	Easier to mask	Difficult to mask	
Quality of service	Difficult to add	Easier to add	

CSE 461 University of Washington

21


# Introduction to Computer Networks

Internetworking (§5.5, 5.6.1)



### **Topic**

- How do we connect different networks together?
  - This is called internetworking
  - We'll look at how IP does it



CSE 461 University of Washington

23


# **How Networks May Differ**

- Basically, in a lot of ways:
  - Service model (datagrams, VCs)
  - Addressing (what kind)
  - QOS (priorities, no priorities)
  - Packet sizes
  - Security (whether encrypted)
- Internetworking hides the differences with a common protocol. (Uh oh.)

CSE 461 University of Washington

#### Connecting Datagram and VC networks

- An example to show that it's not so easy
  - Need to map destination address to a VC and vice-versa
  - A bit of a "road bump", e.g., might have to set up a VC




CSE 461 University of Washington

25

#### Internet Reference Model

- IP is the "narrow waist" of the Internet
  - Supports many different links below and apps above



CSE 461 University of Washington

#### IP as a Lowest Common Denominator


- Suppose only some networks support QOS or security etc.
  - Difficult for internetwork to support
- Pushes IP to be a "lowest common denominator" protocol
  - Asks little of lower-layer networks
  - Gives little as a higher layer service

CSE 461 University of Washington

27

# **IPv4** (Internet Protocol)


- Various fields to meet straightforward needs
  - Version, Header (IHL) and Total length, Protocol, and Header Checksum



CSE 461 University of Washington

# IPv4 (2)

- Network layer of the Internet, uses datagrams
  - Provides a layer of addressing above link addresses (next)




CSE 461 University of Washington

29

# IPv4 (3)

- Some fields to handle packet size differences (later)
  - Identification, Fragment offset, Fragment control bits




CSE 461 University of Washington

31

# IPv4 (4)

- Other fields to meet other needs (later, later)
  - Differentiated Services, Time to live (TTL)



Introduction to Computer Networks

IP Forwarding (§5.6.1-5.6.2)



#### **IP Addresses**


- IPv4 uses 32-bit addresses
  - Later we'll see IPv6, which uses 128-bit addresses
- Written in "dotted quad" notation
  - Four 8-bit numbers separated by dots

CSE 461 University of Washington

3

#### **IP Prefixes**

- Addresses are allocated in blocks called <u>prefixes</u>
  - Addresses in an L-bit prefix have the same top L bits
  - There are 2<sup>32-L</sup> addresses aligned on 2<sup>32-L</sup> boundary



CSE 461 University of Washington

### IP Prefixes (2)

- Written in "address/length" notation
  - Address is lowest address in the prefix, length is prefix bits
  - E.g., 128.13.0.0/16 is 128.13.0.0 to 128.13.255.255
  - So a /24 ("slash 24") is 256 addresses, and a /32 is one address

00010010 00011111 00000000 xxxxxxxx ↔


↔ 128.13.0.0/16

CSE 461 University of Washington

35

# **Classful IP Addressing**

- Originally, IP addresses came in fixed size blocks with the class/size encoded in the high-order bits
  - They still do, but the classes are now ignored



CSE 461 University of Washington

### **IP Forwarding**

- All addresses on one network belong to the same prefix
- Node uses a table that lists the next hop for prefixes

Prefix	Next Hop	
192.24.0.0/19	D	
192.24.12.0/22	В	
A	C	D


CSE 461 University of Washington

37

# **Longest Matching Prefix**

- Prefixes in the table might overlap!
  - Combines hierarchy with flexibility
- Longest matching prefix forwarding rule:
  - For each packet, find the longest prefix that contains the destination address, i.e., the most specific entry
  - Forward the packet to the next hop router for that prefix

CSE 461 University of Washington



# Flexibility of Longest Matching Prefix

- Can provide default behavior, with less specifics
  - To send traffic going outside an organization to a border router
- Can special case behavior, with more specifics
  - For performance, economics, security, ...

CSE 461 University of Washington

#### Performance of Longest Matching Prefix

- Uses hierarchy for a compact table
  - Relies on use of large prefixes
- Lookup more complex than table
  - Used to be a concern for fast routers
  - Not an issue in practice these days

CSE 461 University of Washington

41


### Introduction to Computer Networks

Helping IP with ARP, DHCP (§5.6.4)



### **Topic**

- Filling in the gaps we need to make for IP forwarding work in practice
  - Getting IP addresses (DHCP) »
  - Mapping IP to link addresses (ARP) »



CSE 461 University of Washington

43

# **Getting IP Addresses**


- Problem:
  - A node wakes up for the first time ...
  - What is its IP address? What's the IP address of its router? Etc.
  - At least Ethernet address is on NIC



CSE 461 University of Washington

# Getting IP Addresses (2)

- 1. Manual configuration (old days)
  - Can't be factory set, depends on use
- 2. A protocol for automatically configuring addresses (DHCP)
  - Shifts burden from users to IT folk



CSE 461 University of Washington

45

#### **DHCP**

- DHCP (Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol), from 1993, widely used
- It leases IP address to nodes
- Provides other parameters too
  - Network prefix
  - Address of local router
  - DNS server, time server, etc.

CSE 461 University of Washington

#### **DHCP Protocol Stack**

- DHCP is a client-server application
  - Uses UDP ports 67, 68

DHCP
UDP
IP
Ethernet


CSE 461 University of Washington


47

# **DHCP Addressing**

- Bootstrap issue:
  - How does node send a message to DHCP server before it is configured?
- Answer:
  - Node sends <u>broadcast</u> messages that delivered to all nodes on the network
  - Broadcast address is all 1s
  - IP (32 bit): 255.255.255.255
  - Ethernet (48 bit): ff:ff:ff:ff:ff

CSE 461 University of Washington





# **DHCP Messages (3)**


- To renew an existing lease, an abbreviated sequence is used:
  - REQUEST, followed by ACK
- Protocol also supports replicated servers for reliability

CSE 461 University of Washington

5

# Sending an IP Packet


- Problem:
  - A node needs Link layer addresses to send a frame over the local link
  - How does it get the destination link address from a destination IP address?



CSE 461 University of Washington

# **ARP (Address Resolution Protocol)**

 Node uses to map a local IP address to its Link layer addresses




CSE 461 University of Washington


53


#### **ARP Protocol Stack**

- ARP sits right on top of link layer
  - No servers, just asks node with target
     IP to identify itself
  - Uses broadcast to reach all nodes



CSE 461 University of Washington






# Introduction to Computer Networks

Packet Fragmentation(§5.5.5)



# **Topic**

- How do we connect networks with different maximum packet sizes?
  - Need to split up packets, or discover the largest size to use



CSE 461 University of Washington

#### **Packet Size Problem**

- Different networks have different maximum packet sizes
  - Or MTU (Maximum Transmission Unit)
  - E.g., Ethernet 1.5K, WiFi 2.3K
- Prefer large packets for efficiency
  - But what size is too large?
  - Difficult because node does not know complete network path

CSE 461 University of Washington

59


#### **Packet Size Solutions**

- Fragmentation (now)
  - Split up large packets in the network if they are too big to send
  - Classic method, dated
- Discovery (next)
  - Find the largest packet that fits on the network path and use it
  - IP uses today instead of fragmentation

CSE 461 University of Washington

### **IPv4 Fragmentation**

- Routers fragment packets that are too large to forward
- Receiving host reassembles to reduce load on routers




CSE 461 University of Washington

61

# **IPv4** Fragmentation Fields

- Header fields used to handle packet size differences
  - Identification, Fragment offset, MF/DF control bits




CSE 461 University of Washington

# **IPv4** Fragmentation Procedure

- Routers split a packet that is too large:
  - Typically break into large pieces
  - Copy IP header to pieces
  - Adjust length on pieces
  - Set offset to indicate position
  - Set MF (More Fragments) on all pieces except last
- Receiving hosts reassembles the pieces:
  - Identification field links pieces together,
     MF tells receiver when it has all pieces

CSE 461 University of Washington



### IPv4 Fragmentation (4)

- It works!
  - Allows repeated fragmentation
- But fragmentation is undesirable
  - More work for routers, hosts
  - Tends to magnify loss rate
  - Security vulnerabilities too


CSE 461 University of Washington


65

# Path MTU Discovery

- Discover the MTU that will fit
  - So we can avoid fragmentation
  - The method in use today
- Host tests path with large packet
  - Routers provide feedback if too large;
     they tell host what size would have fit

CSE 461 University of Washington





### Introduction to Computer Networks

# Error Handling with ICMP (§5.6.4)




# **Internet Control Message Protocol**

- ICMP is a companion protocol to IP
  - They are implemented together
  - Sits on top of IP (IP Protocol=1)
- Provides error report and testing
  - Error is at router while forwarding
  - Also testing that hosts can use

CSE 461 University of Washington

#### **ICMP Errors**

- When router encounters an error while forwarding:
  - It sends an ICMP error report back to the IP source address
  - It discards the problematic packet; host needs to rectify



CSE 461 University of Washington

71

# **ICMP Message Format**


- Each ICMP message has a Type, Code, and Checksum
- Often carry the start of the offending packet as payload
- Each message is carried in an IP packet

CSE 461 University of Washington

### **ICMP Message Format (2)**

- Each ICMP message has a Type, Code, and Checksum
- Often carry the start of the offending packet as payload
- Each message is carried in an IP packet

Portion of offending packet, starting with its IP header



CSE 461 University of Washington

73

## **Example ICMP Messages**

Name	Type / Code	Usage
Dest. Unreachable (Net or Host)	3 / 0 or 1	Lack of connectivity
Dest. Unreachable (Fragment)	3 / 4	Path MTU Discovery
Time Exceeded (Transit)	11 / 0	Traceroute
Echo Request or Reply	8 or 0 / 0	Ping

Testing, not a forwarding error: Host sends Echo Request, and destination responds with an Echo Reply

CSE 461 University of Washington

## Introduction to Computer Networks


IP Version 6 (§5.6.3)



#### Internet Growth Internet Domain Survey Host Count At least a billion 1,000,000,000 900,000,000 Internet hosts and 800,000,000 700,000,000 growing ... 600.000.000 500,000,000 400,000,000 And we're using 300,000,000 32-bit addresses! 200,000,000 100,000,000 Jul-02 CSE 461 University of Washington 76

#### The End of New IPv4 Addresses

 Now running on leftover blocks held by the regional registries; much tighter allocation policies




CSE 461 University of Washington

77

#### IP Version 6 to the Rescue


- Effort started by the IETF in 1994
  - Much larger addresses (128 bits)
  - Many sundry improvements
- Became an IETF standard in 1998
  - Nothing much happened for a decade
  - Hampered by deployment issues, and a lack of adoption incentives
  - Big push ~2011 as exhaustion looms

CSE 461 University of Washington



#### IPv6

- Features large addresses
  - 128 bits, most of header
- New notation
  - 8 groups of 4 hex digits (16 bits)
  - Omit leading zeros, groups of zeros



CSE 461 University of Washington

## IPv6 (2)

- Lots of other, smaller changes
  - Streamlined header processing
  - Flow label to group of packets
  - Better fit with "advanced" features (mobility, multicasting, security)



CSE 461 University of Washington

81


#### **IPv6 Transition**

- The Big Problem:
  - How to deploy IPv6?
  - Fundamentally incompatible with IPv4
- Dozens of approaches proposed
  - Dual stack (speak IPv4 and IPv6)
  - Translators (convert packets)
  - Tunnels (carry IPv6 over IPv4) »

CSE 461 University of Washington

# **Tunneling**

- Native IPv6 islands connected via IPv4
  - Tunnel carries IPv6 packets across IPv4 network




CSE 461 University of Washington

83

# Tunneling (2)


Tunnel acts as a single link across IPv4 network



CSE 461 University of Washington

# Tunneling (3)

- Tunnel acts as a single link across IPv4 network
  - Difficulty is to set up tunnel endpoints and routing



CSE 461 University of Washington

85


## Introduction to Computer Networks

Network Address Translation (§5.6.2)



#### **Topic**

- What is NAT (Network Address Translation)? How does it work?
  - NAT is widely used at the edges of the network, e.g., homes




CSE 461 University of Washington

87

#### **Middleboxes**

- Sit "inside the network" but perform "more than IP" processing on packets to add new functionality
  - NAT box, Firewall / Intrusion Detection System



CSE 461 University of Washington

### Middleboxes (2)

- Advantages
  - A possible rapid deployment path when there is no other option
  - Control over many hosts (IT)
- Disadvantages
  - Breaking layering interferes with connectivity; strange side effects
  - Poor vantage point for many tasks

CSE 461 University of Washington

89


#### NAT (Network Address Translation) Box

- NAT box connects an internal network to an external network
  - Many internal hosts are connected using few external addresses
  - Middlebox that "translates addresses"
- Motivated by IP address scarcity
  - Controversial at first, now accepted

CSE 461 University of Washington

## **NAT (2)**

- Common scenario:
  - Home computers use "private" IP addresses
  - NAT (in AP/firewall) connects home to ISP using a single external IP address



CSE 461 University of Washington

91

#### **How NAT Works**


- Keeps an internal/external table
  - Typically uses IP address + TCP port
  - This is address and port translation


#### What host thinks What ISP thinks

Internal IP:port	External IP : port
192.168.1.12 : 5523	44.25.80.3 : 1500
192.168.1.13 : 1234	44.25.80.3 : 1501
192.168.2.20 : 1234	44.25.80.3 : 1502

 Need ports to make mapping 1-1 since there are fewer external IPs


CSE 461 University of Washington





## How NAT Works (4)

- Need to enter translations in the table for it to work
  - Create external name when host makes a TCP connection



CSE 461 University of Washington

95

#### **NAT Downsides**

- Connectivity has been broken!
  - Can only send incoming packets after an outgoing connection is set up
  - Difficult to run servers or peer-to-peer apps (Skype) at home
- Doesn't work so well when there are no connections (UDP apps)
- Breaks apps that unwisely expose their IP addresses (FTP)

CSE 461 University of Washington