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Last Time

• Focus:
  – What to do when one shared LAN isn’t big enough?

• Interconnecting LANs
  – Bridges and LAN switches
  – But there are limits …

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This Time: Internetworks

- Set of interconnected networks, e.g., the Internet
  - Scale and heterogeneity

The Protocol Stack

- Thinking about roles:
  - Transport: Process to Process
    - Example: TCP
      Reliable bytestream
  - Network: Host to Global Host
    - Example: IP
      Unreliable datagram
  - Data Link/Physical: Host to Local Host
    - Example: Ethernet
      Pretty reliable frame delivery
As a picture

- IP is the network layer protocol used in the Internet
- Routers are network level gateways
- Packet is the term for network layer protocol data units (PDUs)

Packet formats: encapsulation

- View of a packet on the (Ethernet) wires
  - Ethernet Header
  - IP Header
  - Higher layer headers and payload
- Routers work with IP header, not higher
  - Higher would be a “layer violation”
- Routers strip and add link layer headers
Network Layer Goals

- Run over heterogeneous Link/Physical layers
  - Motivates minimizing promises about the service
    - End-to-end argument

- Global delivery
  - Must be scalable
  - This requires a new addressing scheme (IP addresses)
    - Want address of remote host to give clue to direction to send packet

- Low overhead switching
  - Minimal processing of IP packet
    - E.g., don’t have to rewrite IP header (much…)
  - “Fast path” processing

- Network control / diagnosis
  - If I’m having trouble communicating, what’s wrong?
    - Routers have IP addresses, just like everyone else
    - Ping / traceroute

Review: Network Service Models

- Datagram delivery: postal service
  - connectionless, best-effort or unreliable service
  - Network can’t guarantee delivery of the packet
  - Each packet from a host is routed independently
    - Example: IP

- Virtual circuit models: telephone
  - connection-oriented service
    - Signaling: connection establishment, data transfer, teardown
  - All packets from a host are routed the same way (router state)
    - Example: ATM, Frame Relay, X.25
Internet Protocol (IP)

- IP (RFC791) defines a datagram “best effort” service
  - May be loss, reordering, duplication, and errors!
  - Currently IPv4 (IP version 4), IPv6 “on the way”

- Routers forward packets using periodically updated routes
  - Routing protocols (RIP, OSPF, BGP) run between routers to maintain routes (routing table, forwarding information base)
  - Over medium term, one path from host A to host B

- Global, hierarchical addresses, not flat addresses
  - 32 bits in IPv4 (128 bits in IPv6)
  - ARP (Address Resolution Protocol) maps IP to MAC addresses for final delivery

The IP Narrow Waist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Protocols</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Link</td>
<td>Many (Ethernet, …)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>IP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>TCP / UDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application</td>
<td>Many (HTTP, SMTP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The "narrow waist"
IPv4 Packet Format

- Version is 4
- Header length is number of 32 bit words
- Limits size of options

IPv4 Header Fields ...

- Type of Service
- Abstract notion, never really worked out
  - Routers ignored
- But now being redefined for Diffserv

Bits 0-2: Precedence:
Bit 3: 0 = Normal Delay, 1 = Low Delay.
Bit 4: 0 = Normal Throughput, 1 = High Throughput.
Bit 5: 0 = Normal Reliability, 1 = High Reliability.
Bit 6-7: Reserved for Future Use.
IPv4 Header Fields ...

- Length of packet
- Min 20 bytes, max 65K bytes (limit to packet size)

Fragment fields

More on this in a minute
IPv4 Header Fields ...

- Time To Live
- Decremented by router and packet discarded if = 0
- Prevents immortal packets
- traceroute

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>HLen</td>
<td>TOS</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifier for Fragments</td>
<td>Flags</td>
<td>Fragment Offset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTL</td>
<td>Protocol</td>
<td>Checksum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options (variable)</td>
<td>Pad (variable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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IPv4 Header Fields ...

- Identifies higher layer protocol – E.g., TCP, UDP
- De-mux’ing key at destination host

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>HLen</td>
<td>TOS</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifier for Fragments</td>
<td>Flags</td>
<td>Fragment Offset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTL</td>
<td>Protocol</td>
<td>Checksum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options (variable)</td>
<td>Pad (variable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data

2/2/2009 | CSE 461 09wi | 16
### IPv4 Header Fields...

**Fields:**
- **Header checksum**
  - Doesn’t cover data
- **Recalculated by routers (TTL drops)**
- **Disappears for IPv6**

**Diagram:**
- 0: Version, HLen, TOS, Length
- 4: Identifier for Fragments, Flags, Fragment Offset
- 8: TTL, Protocol, Checksum
- Source Address
- Destination Address
- Options (variable)
- Pad (variable)
- Data

---

### IPv4 Header Fields...

**Fields:**
- **Source/destination IP addresses**
  - Not Ethernet
- **Unchanged by routers**
- **Not authenticated by default**

**Diagram:**
- 0: Version, HLen, TOS, Length
- 4: Identifier for Fragments, Flags, Fragment Offset
- TTL, Protocol, Checksum
- Source Address
- Destination Address
- Options (variable)
- Pad (variable)
- Data

---
IP Addresses and Datagram Forwarding

- IP addresses have hierarchy
  - MAC addresses are basically random

- How the source gets the packet to the destination:
  - if source is on same network (LAN) as destination, source sends packet directly to destination host, using MAC address
  - else source sends data to a router on the same network as the source (using router's MAC address)
  - router will forward packet to a router on the next network over (by sending out through a different one of its interfaces, and MAC address on that network for next router)
  - and so on...
  - until packet arrives at router on same network as destination; then, router sends packet directly to destination host (MAC address)

- Requirements
  - every host needs to know address of a router on its LAN
  - every router needs a routing table to tell it which neighboring network to forward a given packet on
  - Need some kind of support for mapping IP address → MAC address

IP vs. MAC addresses

- All 128.208 addresses are this way
- ...
IPv4 Header Fields ...

- IP options indicate special handling
  - Timestamps
  - "Source" routes
- Rarely used ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>HLen</th>
<th>TOS</th>
<th>Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifier for Fragments</td>
<td>Flags</td>
<td>Fragment Offset</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTL</td>
<td>Protocol</td>
<td>Checksum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options (variable)</td>
<td>Pad (variable)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data

Problems / Strengths of IPv4

- TOS becomes traffic class / flow
- Length includes just the data
- No fragmentation info
- TTL still there
- Protocol field encoded through NextHdr
- No checksum
- Source / dest still there (but more bits)

The IPv6 header

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Traffic Class</th>
<th>FlowLabel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payload Length</td>
<td>NextHdr</td>
<td>HopLimit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source address

Destination address

Next header / data
Fragmentation: What, Why, and Why Not

- Different networks may have different frame limits (MTUs)
  - Ethernet 1.5KB, FDDI 4.5KB
- Don’t know if packet will be too big for path beforehand
  - Could fragment on demand inside the network
    - IPv4
  - Could return an error to sending host
    - IPv6

Fragmentation and Reassembly

- Strategy
  - fragment only when necessary (MTU < Datagram size)
    - try to avoid fragmentation at source host
  - this implies that refragmentation must be possible
    - fragments are self-contained IP datagrams
  - delay reassembly until destination host
  - do not recover from lost fragments
Fragment Fields

- Fragments of one packet identified by (source, dest, frag id) triple
  - Make unique
- Offset gives start, length changed
- Flags are:
  - More Fragments (MF)
  - Don’t Fragment (DF)
  - Unused

Fragmenting a Packet

Packet Format
Fragment Considerations

- Making fragments be datagrams provides:
  - Tolerance of loss, reordering and duplication
  - Ability to fragment fragments

- Reassembly done at the endpoint
  - Puts pressure on the receiver, not network interior

- Consequences of fragmentation:
  - Loss of any fragments causes loss of entire packet
  - Need to time-out reassembly when any fragments lost

Avoiding Fragmentation

- Always send small datagrams
  - Might be too small
    - Why does that matter?

- “Guess” MTU of path
  - Use DF flag. May have large startup time

- Discover actual MTU of path
  - One RT delay w/help, much more w/o
    - Hosts send packets, routers return error if too large
Why Not?

- Why not implement fragmentation / reassembly in the network service?

- Not often used, but
  - Header overhead in every packet
  - Processing overhead on every packet
    - “Fast path” processing requires additional checks
  - Processing overhead when fragmentation needed
    - Have to create new IP headers, so...
    - Have to compute new checksums

ICMP

- What happens when things go wrong?
  - Need a way to test/debug a large, widely distributed system

- ICMP = Internet Control Message Protocol (RFC792)
  - Companion to IP – required functionality

- Used for error and information reporting:
  - Errors that occur during IP forwarding
  - Queries about the status of the network
ICMP Generation

Common ICMP Messages

- Destination unreachable
  - "Destination" can be host, network, port or protocol
- Packet needs fragmenting but DF (don’t fragment) flag is set
- Redirect
  - To shortcut circuitous routing
- TTL Expired
  - Used by the “traceroute” program
- Echo request/reply
  - Used by the “ping” program
- Cannot Fragment
- Busted Checksum

- ICMP messages include portion of IP packet that triggered the error (if applicable) in their payload
ICMP Restrictions

- The generation of error messages is limited to avoid
cascades … error causes error that causes error!

- Don’t generate ICMP error in response to:
  - An ICMP error
  - Broadcast/multicast messages (link or IP level)
  - IP header that is corrupt or has bogus source address
  - Fragments, except the first

- ICMP messages are often rate-limited too.

---

Key Concepts

- Network layer provides end-to-end data delivery
  across an internetwork, not just a LAN
  
  - Datagram and virtual circuit service models
  - IP/ICMP is the network layer protocol of the Internet

- Next: More detailed look at routing and addressing