

## CSE/EE 461 – Lecture 9

### Distance Vector Routing

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Last Time

---

- Introduction to the Network layer
  - Internetworks
  - Datagram and virtual circuit services
  - Internet Protocol (IP) packet format
- The Network layer
  - Provides end-to-end data delivery between networks
  - Issues of scale and heterogeneity

Application
Presentation
Session
Transport
Network
Data Link
Physical

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.2

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### This Time

---

- Focus
  - How do we calculate routes for packets?
  - Routing is a network layer function
- Routing Algorithms
  - Distance Vector routing (RIP)

Application
Presentation
Session
Transport
Network
Data Link
Physical

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Forwarding and Routing

- Forwarding is the process that each router goes through for every packet to send it on its way
  - Involves local decisions
- Routing is the process that all routers go through to calculate the routing tables
  - Involves global decisions

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.4

---

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

## What's in a Routing Table?

- The routing table at A, for example, lists at a minimum the next hops for the different destinations

Dest	Next Hop
B	B
C	C
D	C
E	E
F	E
G	F



sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.5

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Kinds of Routing Schemes

- Many routing schemes have been proposed / explored!
- Distributed or centralized
- Hop-by-hop or source-based
- Deterministic or stochastic
- Single or multi-path
- Static or dynamic route selection
- Internet is to the left ☺

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.6

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Routing Questions

- How to choose best path?
  - Defining “best” is slippery
- How to scale to millions of users?
  - Minimize control messages and routing table size
- How to adapt to failures or changes?
  - Node and link failures, plus message loss
  - We will use distributed algorithms

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.7

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Some Pitfalls

- Using global knowledge is challenging
  - Hard to collect
  - Can be out-of-date
  - Needs to summarize in a locally-relevant way
- Inconsistencies in local / global knowledge can cause:
  - Loops (black holes)
  - Oscillations, esp. when adapting to load

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.8

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

## Network as a Graph

- Routing is essentially a problem in graph theory



sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.9

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Distance Vector Routing

- Assume:
  - Each router knows only address / cost of neighbors
- Goal:
  - Calculate routing table of next hop information for each destination at each router
- Idea:
  - Tell neighbors about learned distances to all destinations

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.10

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## DV Algorithm

- Each router maintains a vector of costs to all destinations as well as routing table
  - Initialize neighbors with known cost, others with infinity
- Periodically send copy of distance vector to neighbors
  - On reception of a vector, if neighbors path to a destination plus neighbor cost is better, then switch to better path
    - update cost in vector and next hop in routing table
- Assuming no changes, will converge to shortest paths
  - But what happens if there are changes?

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.11

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

## DV Example – Initial Table at A



Dest	Cost	Next
B	1	B
C	1	C
D	$\infty$	-
E	1	E
F	1	F
G	$\infty$	-

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.12

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

## DV Example – Final Table at A

- Reached in a single iteration ... simple example



Dest	Cost	Next
B	1	B
C	1	C
D	2	C
E	1	E
F	1	F
G	2	F

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.13

---

---

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

## What if there are changes?

- One scenario: Suppose link between F and G fails
  - F notices failure, sets its cost to G to infinity and tells A
  - A sets its cost to G to infinity too, since it learned it from F
  - A learns route from C with cost 2 and adopts it



Dest	Cost	Next
B	1	B
C	1	C
D	2	C
E	1	E
F	1	F
G	3	C

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.14

---

---

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

## Count To Infinity Problem

- Simple example
  - Costs in nodes are to reach Internet



- Now link between B and Internet fails ...

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.15

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Count To Infinity Problem

- B hears of a route to the Internet via A with cost 2
- So B switches to the “better” (but wrong!) route



sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.16

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Count To Infinity Problem

- A hears from B and increases its cost



sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.17

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Count To Infinity Problem

- B hears from A and (surprise) increases its cost
- Cycle continues and we “count to infinity”



sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.18

- Packets caught in the crossfire loop between A and B

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Split Horizon

- Solves trivial count-to-infinity problem
- Router never advertises the cost of a destination back to its next hop – that’s where it learned it from!
- Poison reverse: go even further – advertise back infinity
- However, DV protocols still subject to the same problem with more complicated topologies
  - Many enhancements suggested

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.19

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Routing Information Protocol (RIP)

- DV protocol with hop count as metric
  - Infinity value is 16 hops; limits network size
  - Includes split horizon with poison reverse
- Routers send vectors every 30 seconds
  - With triggered updates for link failures
  - Time-out in 180 seconds to detect failures
- RIPv1 specified in RFC1058
  - [www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1058.txt](http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1058.txt)
- RIPv2 (adds authentication etc.) in RFC1388
  - [www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1388.txt](http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1388.txt)

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.20

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## RIP is an “Interior Gateway Protocol”

- Suitable for small- to medium-sized networks
  - such as within a campus, business, or ISP
- Unsuitable for Internet-scale routing
  - hop count metric poor for heterogeneous links
  - 16-hop limit places max diameter on network
- Later, we’ll talk about “Exterior Gateway Protocols”
  - used between organizations to route across Internet

sdg // CSE/EE 461, Winter 2003

L9.21

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Key Concepts

---

- Routing is a global process, forwarding is local one
- The Distance Vector algorithm and RIP
  - Simple and distributed exchange of shortest paths.
  - Weak at adapting to changes (loops, count to infinity)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---