# **Ray Tracing**

Zoran Popović CSE 457 Spring 2019

1

### **Geometric optics**

Modern theories of light treat it as both a wave and a particle.

We will take a combined and somewhat simpler view of light – the view of **geometric optics**.

Here are the rules of geometric optics:

- Light is a flow of photons with wavelengths.
   We'll call these flows "light rays."
- Light rays travel in straight lines in free space.
- Light rays do not interfere with each other as they cross.
- Light rays obey the laws of reflection and refraction.
- Light rays travel from the light sources to the eye, but the physics is invariant under path reversal (reciprocity).

3

## Reading

### Required:

- Marschner and Shirley, Ch. 4, Section 13.1-13.2 (online handout)
- Triangle intersection (online handout)

### Further reading:

- Shirley errata on syllabus page, needed if you work from his book instead of the handout, which has already been corrected.
- T. Whitted. An improved illumination model for shaded display. Communications of the ACM 23(6), 343-349, 1980.
- A. Glassner. An Introduction to Ray Tracing. Academic Press, 1989.
- K. Turkowski, "Properties of Surface Normal Transformations," Graphics Gems, 1990, pp. 539-547.

### Eye vs. light ray tracing

Where does light begin?


At the light: light ray tracing (a.k.a., forward ray tracing or photon tracing)





At the eye: eye ray tracing (a.k.a., backward ray tracing)




We will generally follow rays from the eye into the scene.










### **Direct illumination**



A ray is defined by an origin P and a unit direction  $\mathbf{d}$  and is parameterized by t > 0:

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = P + t \,\mathbf{d}$$

Let  $I(P, \mathbf{d})$  be the intensity seen along a ray. Then:

$$I(P, \mathbf{d}) = I_{\text{direct}}$$

where

ullet  $I_{
m direct}$  is computed from the Blinn-Phong model

9

# Shading in "Trace"

The Trace project uses a version of the Blinn-Phong shading equation we derived in class, with three modifications:

• Distance attenuation is clamped to be at most 1:

$$A_j^{dist} = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{a_j + b_j r_j + c_j r_j^2} \right\}$$

- ullet Shadow attenuation  $A^{
  m shadow}$  is included.
- We will use  $k_d$  for the ambient reflection coefficient.

Here's what the shading equation should look like:

$$I = k_e + \sum_{j} k_d I_{La,j} + A_j^{shadow} A_j^{dist} I_{L,j} B_j \left[ k_d \left( \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{L}_j \right) + k_s \left( \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{H}_j \right)_+^{n_s} \right]$$

This is the shading equation to use in the Trace project!

10

### Ray-tracing pseudocode

We build a ray traced image by casting rays through each of the pixels.

function tracelmage (scene):

**for each** pixel (i, j) in image

A = pixelToWorld(i,j)

 $P = \mathbb{C}$ 

 $\mathbf{d} = (A - P)/||A - P||$ 

I(i,j) = traceRay (scene, P, d)

end for

end function

function traceRay (scene, P, d):

 $(t_{\cap}, \mathbf{N}, \mathsf{mtrl}) \leftarrow \mathsf{scene}.intersect(P, \mathbf{d})$  $Q \leftarrow \mathsf{ray}(P, \mathbf{d}) \text{ evaluated at } t$ 

 $Q \leftarrow \text{ray}(P, \mathbf{d}) \text{ evaluated at } t$  I = shade(

return I

end function

Camera

Light1

Light2

Object1

Object2

Object3

Camera

Xform

Xform

Materials1

Geometry1

Cobject3

Cobject3

## **Shading pseudocode**

Next, we need to calculate the color returned by the *shade* function.

function shade (mtrl, scene, Q, N, d):

 $I \leftarrow \mathsf{mtrl}.k_e + \mathsf{mtrl}.k_a * I_{La}$ 

for each light source Light do:

atten = Light -> distanceAttenuation (


 $L = Light \rightarrow getDirection ( )$ 


 $I \leftarrow I + atten*(diffuse term + specular term)$ 


end for return I


end function












# Shading with reflection



Let  $I(P, \mathbf{d})$  be the intensity seen along a ray. Then:

$$I(P, \mathbf{d}) = I_{\text{direct}} + I_{\text{reflected}}$$


where

- $\bullet \ I_{\rm direct}$  is computed from the Blinn-Phong model, plus shadow attenuation
- $I_{\text{reflected}} = k_r I(Q, \mathbf{R})$

Typically, we set  $k_r = k_s$ .  $(k_r \text{ is a color value.})$ 

17

### Reflection



Law of reflection:


$$\theta_i = \theta_r$$

 $\boldsymbol{R}$  is co-planar with  $\boldsymbol{d}$  and  $\boldsymbol{N}.$ 

18

# Ray-tracing pseudocode, revisited

 $\begin{aligned} & \textbf{function } \textit{traceRay}(\mathsf{scene}, P, \mathbf{d}): \\ & \textit{(}t_{< r}, \mathbf{N}, \mathsf{mtrl}) \leftarrow \mathsf{scene}.\textit{intersect}(P, \mathbf{d}) \\ & \textit{Q} \leftarrow \mathsf{ray}(P, \mathbf{d}) \text{ evaluated at } t \\ & \textit{I} = \textit{shade}(\mathsf{scene}, \mathsf{mtrl}, \textit{Q}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{d}) \\ & \mathbf{R} = \textit{reflectDirection}( ) \\ & \textit{I} \leftarrow \textit{I} + \mathsf{mtrl}.k_r * \textit{traceRay}(\mathsf{scene}, \textit{Q}, \mathbf{R}) \\ & \textbf{return } \textit{I} \end{aligned}$ 





19

## **Terminating recursion**

Q: How do you bottom out of recursive ray tracing?

Possibilities:





### Shading with reflection and refraction



Let  $I(P, \mathbf{d})$  be the intensity seen along a ray. Then:

$$I(P, \mathbf{d}) = I_{\text{direct}} + I_{\text{reflected}} + I_{\text{transmitted}}$$

- ullet  $I_{
  m direct}$  is computed from the Blinn-Phong model, plus shadow attenuation
- $I_{\text{reflected}} = k_r I(Q, \mathbf{R})$
- $I_{\text{transmitted}} = k_t I(Q, \mathbf{T})$

Typically, we set  $k_r = k_s$  and  $k_t = 1 - k_s$  (or (0,0,0), if opaque, where  $k_t$  is a color value).

[Generally,  $k_r$  and  $k_l$  are determined by "Fresnel reflection," which depends on angle of incidence and changes the polarization of the light. This is discussed in Marschner's textbook and can be implemented for extra credit.]

### Refraction

Snell's law of refraction:

 $\eta_i \sin \theta_i = \eta_t \sin \theta_t$ 

where  $\eta_{i}$  ,  $\eta_{t}$  are indices of refraction.

In all cases, R and T are co-planar with  ${\bf d}$  and  ${\bf N}$ .

The index of refraction is material dependent.

It can also vary with wavelength, an effect called dispersion that explains the colorful light rainbows from prisms. (We will generally assume no dispersion.)

Medium	Index of refraction
Vaccum	1
Air	1.0003
Water	1.33
Fused quartz	1.46
Glass, crown	1.52
Glass, dense flint	1.66
Diamond	2.42



23


**Total Internal Reflection** 

The equation for the angle of refraction can be computed from Snell's law:

What "bad thing" can happen when  $\eta_i > \eta_t$ ?

When  $\theta_l$  is exactly 90°, we say that  $\theta_l$  has achieved the "critical angle"  $\theta_c$ .

For  $\theta_i > \theta_c$ , no rays are transmitted, and only reflection occurs, a phenomenon known as "total internal reflection" or TIR.



### Marschner's notation

Marschner uses different symbols. Here is the translation between them:

r = R


 $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{T}$   $\phi = \theta_t$   $\theta = \theta_r = \theta_i$   $n = \eta_i$   $n_t = \eta_t$ 


25


# Terminating recursion, incl. refraction


**Q**: *Now* how do you bottom out of recursive ray tracing?


```
Ray-tracing pseudocode, revisited
function traceRay (scene, P, d):
     (t_{\cap}, \mathbf{N}, \mathsf{mtrl}) \leftarrow \mathsf{scene}.intersect(P, \mathbf{d})
     Q \leftarrow \text{ray}(P, \mathbf{d}) \text{ evaluated at } t
     I = shade (scene, mtrl, Q, N, d)
     R = reflectDirection(N, d)
     I \leftarrow I + \text{mtrl.} k_r * traceRay (scene, Q, \mathbf{R})
     if ray is entering object then
           \eta_i = \text{index\_of\_air} (=1.0003)
           \eta_t = \text{mtrl.index}
     else
           \eta_i = \text{mtrl.index}
           \eta_t = \text{index\_of\_air} (=1.0003)
     if (notTIR(
                                                       )) then
          T = refractDirection (
          I \leftarrow I + \text{mtrl.} k_t * traceRay (scene, Q, T)
     end if
     return I
end function
Q: How do we decide if a ray is entering the object?
```











### Intersecting rays with spheres

### Solution by substitution:

$$x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} - 1 = 0$$

$$(P_{x} + td_{x})^{2} + (P_{y} + td_{y})^{2} + (P_{z} + td_{z})^{2} - 1 = 0$$

$$at^{2} + bt + c = 0$$

where

$$a = d_x^2 + d_y^2 + d_z^2$$

$$b = 2(P_x d_x + P_y d_y + P_z d_z)$$

$$c = P_x^2 + P_y^2 + P_z^2 - 1$$

**Q**: What are the solutions of the quadratic equation in *t* and what do they mean?

**Q**: What is the normal to the sphere at a point (x, y, z) on the sphere?

33

### **Ray-plane intersection**



Next, we will considering intersecting a ray with a plane.

To do this, we first need to define the plane equation.


Given a point S on a plane with normal  $\mathbb{N}$ , how would we determine if another point X is on the plane?

(Hint: start by forming the vector X - S.)

This is the plane equation!

2.4

## Ray-plane intersection (cont'd)




Now consider a ray intersecting a plane, where the plane equation is:

$$\mathbf{N} \mathbf{x} X = k$$

We can solve for the intersection parameter (and thus the point) by substituting X with the ray P+t **d**:

Ray-triangle intersection



To intersect with a triangle, we first solve for the equation of its supporting plane.

How might we compute the (un-normalized) normal?

Given this normal, how would we compute k?

Using these coefficients, we can intersect the ray with the triangle to solve for Q.

Now, we need to decide if Q is inside or outside of the triangle...

### 3D inside-outside test

One way to do this "inside-outside test," is to see if Q lies on the left side of each edge as we move counterclockwise around the triangle.



How might we use cross and products to do this?

## **Barycentric coordinates**

As we'll see in a moment, it is often useful to represent Q as an **affine combination** of A, B, and C:

$$Q = \alpha A + \beta B + \gamma C$$

where:



 $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ 

We call  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ , the **barycentric coordinates** of Q with respect to A, B, and C.

38

# **Computing barycentric coordinates**

Given a point Q that is inside of triangle ABC, we can solve for Q's barycentric coordinates in a simple way:

$$\alpha = \frac{\text{Area}(QBC)}{\text{Area}(ABC)} \quad \beta = \frac{\text{Area}(AQC)}{\text{Area}(ABC)} \quad \gamma = \frac{\text{Area}(ABQ)}{\text{Area}(ABC)}$$




How can cross products help here?

In the end, these calculations can be performed in the 2D projection as well!

39

Improvement: project down to 2D first

Without loss of generality, we can make this determination after projecting down a dimension:



If Q' is inside of A'B'C', then Q is inside of ABC.

Why is this projection desirable?

Which axis should you "project away"? (Hint: consider the triangle normal.)

### Interpolating vertex properties

The barycentric coordinates can also be used to interpolate vertex properties such as:

- · material properties
- texture coordinates
- normals

For example:

$$k_d(Q) = \alpha k_d(A) + \beta k_d(B) + \gamma k_d(C)$$

Interpolating normals, known as **Phong** *interpolation*, gives triangle meshes a smooth shading appearance. (Note: don't forget to normalize interpolated normals.)


41

43

### Per-face normals for triangle meshes

We will be shading and calculating reflections and refractions based on surface normals.

For a triangle mesh, we can make the natural assumption that each triangle has a constant normal (the normal of its supporting plane):



Recall the Blinn-Phong shading equation for a single light source (no ambient or emissive):

$$I = I_{L}B \left[ k_{d}(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{L}) + k_{s}(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{H})_{+}^{n_{s}} \right]$$

Typically,  $\boldsymbol{L}$  and  $\boldsymbol{V}$  vary only a small amount over each triangle, if at all.

**Q**: If material properties  $(k_d, k_s, n_s)$  are constant over the mesh, how will shading vary within a triangle?


40

### **Phong interpolated normals**

For better results, we can "hallucinate" smoothly varying within a triangle, a process called **Phong** *interpolation*. (Not the same as "Phong shading.")

Here's how it works:

- 1. Compute normals at the vertices.
- 2. Interpolate normals and normalize.
- 3. Shade, reflect, and refract using the interpolated normals.



Q: How do we compute per vertex normals?

 ${f Q}$ : How do we interpolate  ${f N}_a$ ,  ${f N}_b$ ,  ${f N}_c$  to get  ${f N}$ ?

**Epsilons** 

Due to finite precision arithmetic, we do not always get the exact intersection at a surface.

Q: What kinds of problems might this cause?

**Q**: How might we resolve this?

