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Reading

What is an image?

We can think of an image as a function, $f$, from $\mathbb{R}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}$:

- $f(x, y)$ gives the intensity of a channel at position $(x, y)$
- Realistically, we expect the image only to be defined over a rectangle, with a finite range:
  - $f : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow [0, 1]$

A color image is just three functions pasted together. We can write this as a “vector-valued” function:

$$f(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} r(x, y) \\ g(x, y) \\ b(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$$
Images as functions
What is a digital image?

In computer graphics, we usually operate on **digital (discrete)** images:

- **Sample** the space on a regular grid
- **Quantize** each sample (round to nearest integer)

If our samples are $\Delta$ apart, we can write this as:

$$f[i, j] = \text{Quantize}\{f(i\Delta, j\Delta)\}$$
Image processing

An image processing operation typically defines a new image $g$ in terms of an existing image $f$.

The simplest operations are those that transform each pixel in isolation. These pixel-to-pixel operations can be written:

$$g(x, y) = t(f(x, y))$$

Examples: threshold, RGB $\rightarrow$ grayscale

Note: a typical choice for mapping to grayscale is to apply the YIQ television matrix and keep the Y.

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y \\ I \\ Q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.299 & 0.587 & 0.114 \\ 0.596 & -0.275 & -0.321 \\ 0.212 & -0.523 & 0.311 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R \\ G \\ B \end{bmatrix}$$
Noise

Image processing is also useful for noise reduction and edge enhancement. We will focus on these applications for the remainder of the lecture…

Common types of noise:

- **Salt and pepper noise**: contains random occurrences of black and white pixels
- **Impulse noise**: contains random occurrences of white pixels
- **Gaussian noise**: variations in intensity drawn from a Gaussian normal distribution
Ideal noise reduction
Ideal noise reduction
Practical noise reduction

How can we “smooth” away noise in a single image?

Is there a more abstract way to represent this sort of operation? Of course there is!
Discrete convolution

One of the most common methods for filtering an image is called discrete convolution. (We will just call this “convolution” from here on.)

In 1D, convolution is defined as:

\[ g[i] = f[i] * h[i] \]
\[ = \sum_k f[k] h[i - k] \]
\[ = \sum_k f[k] \tilde{h}[k - i] \]

where \( \tilde{h}[i] = h[-i] \).

“Flipping” the kernel (i.e., working with \( h[-i] \)) is mathematically important. In practice, though, you can assume kernels are pre-flipped unless I say otherwise.
Convolution in 2D

In two dimensions, convolution becomes:

\[ g[i, j] = f[i, j] * h[i, j] \]
\[ = \sum_{\ell} \sum_{k} f[k, \ell] h[i - k, j - \ell] \]
\[ = \sum_{\ell} \sum_{k} f[k, \ell] \tilde{h}[k - i, \ell - j] \]

where \( \tilde{h}[i, j] = h[-i, -j] \).

Again, “flipping” the kernel (i.e., working with \( h[-i, -j] \)) is mathematically important. In practice, though, you can assume kernels are pre-flipped unless I say otherwise.
Convolving in 2D

Since $f$ and $h$ are defined over finite regions, we can write them out as two-dimensional arrays:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image $f[i, j]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>128 54 9 78 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145 98 240 233 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89 177 246 228 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 90 255 148 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106 111 128 84 172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221 154 97 69 94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter $h[i, j]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1 0.1 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 0.2 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 0.1 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- This is **not** matrix multiplication.
- For color images, filter each color channel separately.
- The *filter* is assumed to be zero outside its boundary.

**Q:** What happens at the boundary of the *image*?
Convolving in 2D

Since $f$ and $h$ are defined over finite regions, we can write them out as two-dimensional arrays:

**Image** $f[i, j]$

|    |    |    |    |    |    |
|----|----|----|----|----|
| 128| 54 | 9  | 78 | 100|
| 145| 98 | 240| 233| 86 |
| 89 | 177| 246| 228| 127|
| 67 | 90 | 255| 148| 95 |
| 106| 111| 128| 84 | 172|
| 221| 154| 97 | 69 | 94 |

**Filter** $h[i, j]$

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X 0.1</td>
<td>X 0.1</td>
<td>X 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X 0.1</td>
<td>X 0.2</td>
<td>X 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X 0.1</td>
<td>X 0.1</td>
<td>X 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- This is **not** matrix multiplication.
- For color images, filter each color channel separately.
- The *filter* is assumed to be zero outside its boundary.

**Q:** What happens at the boundary of the *image*?
### Normalization

Suppose $f$ is a flat / constant image, with all pixel values equal to some value $C$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$C$</th>
<th>$C$</th>
<th>$C$</th>
<th>$C$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>$C \times h_{13}$</td>
<td>$C \times h_{23}$</td>
<td>$C \times h_{33}$</td>
<td>$C$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>$C \times h_{12}$</td>
<td>$C \times h_{22}$</td>
<td>$C \times h_{32}$</td>
<td>$C$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>$C \times h_{11}$</td>
<td>$C \times h_{21}$</td>
<td>$C \times h_{31}$</td>
<td>$C$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>$C$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q:** What will be the value of each pixel after filtering?

**Q:** How do we avoid getting a value brighter or darker than the original image?
Mean filters

How can we represent our noise-reducing averaging as a convolution filter (known as a mean filter)?
Effect of mean filters

Gaussian noise

Salt and pepper noise

3x3

5x5

7x7
Gaussian filters

Gaussian filters weigh pixels based on their distance from the center of the convolution filter. In particular:

$$h[i, j] = \frac{e^{-(i^2+j^2)/(2\sigma^2)}}{C}$$

This does a decent job of blurring noise while preserving features of the image.

What parameter controls the width of the Gaussian?

What happens to the image as the Gaussian filter kernel gets wider?

What is the constant $C$? What should we set it to?
Effect of Gaussian filters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gaussian noise</th>
<th>Salt and pepper noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="3x3 Gaussian noise" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="3x3 Salt and pepper noise" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3" alt="5x5 Gaussian noise" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="5x5 Salt and pepper noise" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5" alt="7x7 Gaussian noise" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="7x7 Salt and pepper noise" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Median filters

A **median filter** operates over an $N \times N$ region by selecting the median intensity in the region.

What advantage does a median filter have over a mean filter?

Is a median filter a kind of convolution?
Effect of median filters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gaussian noise</th>
<th>Salt and pepper noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3x3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7x7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison: Gaussian noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Gaussian</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3x3</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x5</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7x7</td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comparison: salt and pepper noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Gaussian</th>
<th>Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3x3</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="3x3 Mean" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="3x3 Gaussian" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="3x3 Median" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x5</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="5x5 Mean" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="5x5 Gaussian" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="5x5 Median" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7x7</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="7x7 Mean" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="7x7 Gaussian" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="7x7 Median" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mean bilateral filtering

Bilateral filtering is a method to average together nearby samples only if they are similar in value.

"range"

This is a “mean bilateral filter” where you take the average of everything that is both within the domain footprint ($w \times w$ in 2D) and range height ($h$). You must sum up all pixels you find in that “box” and then divide by the number of pixels.

Q: What happens as the range size becomes large?

Q: Will bilateral filtering take care of impulse noise?
Now consider filtering an image with a bilateral filter with a 3x3 domain and a total range height of 40 (i.e., range of $[-20, 20]$ from center pixel).

![Image of a 3x3 grid with values ranging from 110 to 205]
Color bilateral filtering

Finally, for color, we simply compute range distance in $R$, $G$, $B$ space as the length of the vector between the two color vectors. Consider colors at different pixels:

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ G_1 \\ B_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} R_2 \\ G_2 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The range distance between them is then:

After selecting pixels that are within the color distance range, you then separately average each color channel of those pixels to compute the final color.
Gaussian bilateral filtering

We can also change the filter to something “nicer” like Gaussians. Let’s go back to 1D:

Where $\sigma_d$ is the width of the domain Gaussian and $\sigma_r$ is the width of the range Gaussian.

Note that we can write a 2D Gaussian as a product of two Gaussians (i.e., it is a separable function):

$$h[i, r] \sim e^{-\frac{(i^2 + r^2)}{2\sigma^2}} = e^{-\frac{i^2}{2\sigma_d^2}} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma_r^2}}$$

where $i$ indexes the spatial domain and $r$ is the range difference. This would make a round Gaussian. We can make it elliptical by having different $\sigma$’s for domain and range:

$$h[i, r] \sim e^{-\frac{i^2}{2\sigma_d^2}} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma_r^2}} \sim h_d(i) h_r(r)$$
The math: 1D bilateral filtering

Recall that convolution looked like this:

\[ g[i] = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{k} f[k] h_d[i - k] \]

with normalization (sum of filter values):

\[ C = \sum_{k} h_d[i - k] \]

This was just domain filtering.

The bilateral filter is similar, but includes both domain and range filtering:

\[ g[i] = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{k} f[k] h_d[i - k] h_r(f[i] - f[k]) \]

with normalization (sum of filter values):

\[ C = \sum_{k} h_d[i - k] h_r(f[i] - f[k]) \]

Note that with regular convolution, we pre-compute \( C \) once, but for bilateral filtering, we must compute it at each pixel location where it’s applied.
The math: 2D bilateral filtering

In 2D, bilateral filtering generalizes to having a 2D domain, but still a 1D range:

\[ g[i, j] = \frac{1}{C_{k, \ell}} \sum_{k, \ell} f[k, \ell] h_d[i - k, j - \ell] h_r(f[i, j] - f[k, \ell]) \]

And the normalization becomes (sum of filter values):

\[ C = \sum_{k, \ell} h_d[i - k, j - \ell] h_r(f[i, j] - f[k, \ell]) \]

For Gaussian filtering, the new form looks like this:

\[ h[i, j, r] \sim e^{-\frac{(i^2 + j^2)}{2\sigma_d^2}} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma_r^2}} \sim h_d(i, j) h_r(r) \]

Note that Gaussian bilateral filtering is slow without some optimization, and some optimizations can be fairly complicated (if worthwhile). Fortunately, simple mean bilateral filtering is fairly fast and works well in practice.
Paris, et al. SIGGRAPH course notes 2007
Edge detection

One of the most important uses of image processing is **edge detection:**

- Really easy for humans
- Really difficult for computers

- Fundamental in computer vision
- Important in many graphics applications
What is an edge?

Q: How might you detect an edge in 1D?

Q: How might you approximate this edge measure with discrete samples?

Q: How could you do it with discrete convolution?
Gradients

The gradient is the 2D equivalent of the derivative:

$$\nabla f(x, y) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$$

Properties of the gradient

- It’s a vector
- Points in the direction of maximum increase of $f$
- Magnitude is rate of increase

Note: use $\text{atan2}(y, x)$ to compute the angle of the gradient (or any 2D vector).

How can we approximate the gradient in a discrete image?
Less than ideal edges
Steps in edge detection

Edge detection algorithms typically proceed in three or four steps:

- **Filtering**: cut down on noise
- **Enhancement**: amplify the difference between edges and non-edges
- **Detection**: use a threshold operation
- **Localization** (optional): estimate geometry of edges as 1D contours that can pass between pixels
Edge enhancement

A popular gradient filter is the Sobel operator:

\[
\tilde{s}_x = \begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 1 \\
-2 & 0 & 2 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\tilde{s}_y = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -2 & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

We can then compute the magnitude of the vector \((\tilde{s}_x, \tilde{s}_y)\).

Note that these operators are conveniently “pre-flipped” for convolution, so you can directly slide these across an image without flipping first.
Results of Sobel edge detection
Second derivative operators

The Sobel operator can produce thick edges. Ideally, we’re looking for infinitely thin boundaries.

An alternative approach is to look for local extrema in the first derivative: places where the change in the gradient is highest.

Q: A peak in the first derivative corresponds to what in the second derivative?
Constructing a second derivative filter

We can construct a second derivative filter from the first derivative.

First, one can show that convolution has some convenient properties. Given functions $a$, $b$, $c$:

- **Commutative**: $a \ast b = b \ast a$
- **Associative**: $(a \ast b) \ast c = a \ast (b \ast c)$
- **Distributive**: $a \ast (b + c) = a \ast b + a \ast c$

The “flipping” of the kernel is needed for associativity. Now let’s use associativity to construct our second derivative filter…
Constructing a second derivative filter

The second derivative filter is then: \( h_x * h_x \)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  h_x & \\
  1 & -1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
  \tilde{h}_x & \\
  0 & -1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]
Localization with the Laplacian

An equivalent measure of the second derivative in 2D is the **Laplacian**:

\[
\nabla^2 f(x, y) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}
\]

Using the same arguments we used to compute the gradient filters, we can derive a Laplacian filter to be:

\[
\Delta = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -4 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(The symbol \(\Delta\) is often used to refer to the *discrete* Laplacian filter.)

Zero crossings in a Laplacian filtered image can be used to localize edges.
Localization with the Laplacian

Original

Smoothed

Laplacian (+128)
Sharpening with the Laplacian

Why does the sign make a difference?

How can you write the filter that makes the sharpened image?
Summary

What you should take away from this lecture:

- The meanings of all the boldfaced terms.
- How noise reduction is done
- How discrete convolution filtering works
- The effect of mean, Gaussian, and median filters
- What an image gradient is and how it can be computed
- How edge detection is done
- What the Laplacian image is and how it is used in either edge detection or image sharpening