













Thinking about Efficiency Search Engine Architecture Crawler (Spider) Clock cycle: 2 GHz Searches the web to find pages. Follows hyperlinks. Typically completes 2 instructions / cycle ~10 cycles / instruction, but pipelining & parallel execution - Thus: 4 billion instructions / sec Disk access: 1-10ms Produces data structures for fast searching of all - Depends on seek distance, published average is 5ms words in the pages Thus perform 200 seeks / sec - (And we are ignoring rotation and transfer times) Database lookup to find hits Disk is 20 Million times slower !!! · 300 million documents · 300 GB RAM, terabytes of disk Store index in Oracle database? - Ranking, summaries Store index using files and unix filesystem? 10/12/2010 6:07 PM 10

Spiders = Crawlers

- 1000s of spiders
- Various purposes:
 - Search engines

Never stops

- Query interface

Indexer

Retriever

Front End

- Digital rights management
- Advertising
- Spam
- Link checking site validation

Spiders (Crawlers, Bots)

Queue := initial page URL₀

- Do forever
- Dequeue URL
- Fetch P
- Parse P for more URLs; add them to queue - Pass P to (specialized?) indexing program

Issues...

- Which page to look at next?
- keywords, recency, focus, ???
- Avoid overloading a site
- How deep within a site to go?
- How frequently to visit pages?
- Traps!

Crawling Issues

- Storage efficiency
 Search strategy

 Where to start
- Link ordering
- Circularities
- Duplicates
- Checking for changes
- Politene
 - Forbidden zones: robots.txt - CGI & scripts
 - Load on remote servers
 - Bandwidth (download what need)
- · Parsing pages for links
- Scalability
- Malicious servers: SEOs

Robot Exclusion

- Person may not want certain pages indexed.
- Crawlers should obey Robot Exclusion Protocol. But some don't
- Look for file robots.txt at highest directory level - If domain is www.ecom.cmu.edu, robots.txt goes in www.ecom.cmu.edu/robots.txt
- · Specific document can be shielded from a crawler by adding the line:

<META NAME="ROBOTS" CONTENT="NOINDEX">

Robots Exclusion Protocol

- Format of robots.txt - Two fields. User-agent to specify a robot - Disallow to tell the agent what to ignore
- To exclude all robots from a server: User-agent: *
 - Disallow:
- To exclude one robot from two directories: User-agent: WebCrawler Disallow: /news/ Disallow: /tmp/
- View the robots.txt specification at http://info.webcrawler.com/mak/projects/robots/norobots.html

Danger, Danger

- Ensure that your crawler obeys robots.txt
- Don't make any of these typical mistakes:
- Provide contact info in user-agent field.
- Monitor the email address
- Notify the CS Lab Staff
- Honor all Do Not Scan reguests
- Post any "stop-scanning" requests
- "The scanee is *always* right."
- Max 6 hits/server/minute

Outgoing Links?

Parse HTML...

Looking for...what?



Which tags / attributes hold URLs? Anchor tag: ... Option tag: <option value="URL"...> ... </option>

Map: <area href="URL" ...>

Frame: <frame src="URL" ...>

Link to an image:

Bonus problem: Javascript

In our favor: Search Engine Optimization

Web Crawling Strategy

- Starting location(s)
- Traversal order
 - Depth first (LIFO)
 - Breadth first (FIFO)
 - Or ???
- Politeness
- Cycles?
- Coverage?

<text>

URL Frontier (priority queue)

- Most crawlers do breadth-first search from seeds.
- Politeness constraint: don't hammer servers!
 - Obvious implementation: "live host table"
 - Will it fit in memory?
 - Is this efficient?
- Mercator's politeness:
 - One FIFO subqueue per thread.
 - Choose subqueue by hashing host's name.
 - Dequeue first URL whose host has NO outstanding requests.

Fetching Pages

- Need to support http, ftp, gopher, - Extensible!
- Need to fetch multiple pages at once.
- Need to cache as much as possible
 - DNS
- robots.txt
 Documents themselves (for later processing)
- Need to be defensive!
- Need to be detensive:
 Need to time out http connections.
- Watch for "crawler traps" (e.g., infinite URL names.)
- See section 5 of Mercator paper.
- Use URL filter module
- Checkpointing!

Duplicate Detection

- URL-seen test: has URL been seen before?
 - To save space, store a hash
- Content-seen test: different URL, same doc. – Supress link extraction from mirrored pages.
- What to save for each doc?
 - 64 bit "document fingerprint"
 - Minimize number of disk reads upon retrieval.

Nutch: A simple architecture

- Seed set
- Crawl
- Remove duplicates
- Extract URLs (minus those we've been to) – new frontier
- Crawl again
- · Can do this with Map/Reduce architecture





Focused Crawling

• Priority queue instead of FIFO.

- How to determine priority?
 Similarity of page to driving query
 Use traditional IR measures
 Exploration / exploitation problem
 Backlink

 - DacKIITIK
 How many links point to this page?
 PageRank (Google)
 Some links to this page count more than others
 Forward link of a page
 Loopting Houristicage

 - Location Heuristics
 E.g., Is site in .edu?
 E.g., Does URL contain 'home' in it?
 - Linear combination of above

Outline

- Search Engine Overview
- HTTP
- Crawlers
- Server Architecture









Trade-offs in Client/Server Arch.

• Compute on clients?

- Complexity: Many different browsers
 {Firefox, IE, Safari, ...} × Version × OS
- Compute on servers?
 - Peak load, reliability, capital investment.
 - + Access anywhere, anytime, any device
 - + Groupware support (shared calendar, ...)
 - + Lower overall cost (utilization & debugging)
 - + Simpler to update service

Dynamic Content

- We want to do more via an http request
 E.g. we'd like to invoke code to run on the server.
- Initial solution: Common Gateway Interface (CGI) programs.
- Example: web page contains form that needs to be processed on server.

CGI Code

- CGI scripts can be in any language.
- A new process is started (and terminated) with each script invocation (overhead!).
- Improvement I:
 - Run some code on the client's machine
 - E.g., catch missing fields in the form.
- Improvement II:
 - Server APIs (but these are server-specific).

Java Servlets

- Servlets : applets that run on the server.
 Java VM stays, servlets run as threads.
- Accept data from client + perform computation
- Platform-independent alternative to CGI.
- Can handle multiple requests concurrently
- Synchronize requests use for online conferencing
- Can forward requests to other servers
 - Use for load balancing

Java Server Pages (JSP) Active Server Pages (ASP)

Allows mixing static HTML w/ dynamically generated content JSP is more convenient than servlets for the above purpose More recently PHP & Ruby on Rails

<html>

<head> <title>Example #3</title> </head> <? print(Date("m/j/y")); ?>

<body> </body> </html>

AJAX

- Getting the browser to behave like your applications (caveat: Asynchronous)
- Client → Rendering library (Javascript)
 Widgets
- Talks to Server (XML)
- How do we keep state?
- Over the wire protocol: SOAP/XML-RPC/etc.

Interlude: HTML 5

Why HTML 5? 'The websites of today are built with languages largely conceived during the mid to late1990's, when the web was still in its infancy.'* * Work on HTML 4 started in early 1997 CSS 2 was published in 1998

Slide from David Penny, EMCDDA 11





HTML 5 & CSS 3

HTML 5

- Specifically designed for web applications
- Nice to search engines and screen readers
- HTML 5 will update HTML 4.01, DOM Level 2

CSS level 3

- Will make it easier to do complex designs
- Will look the same across all browsers
- C55 3 will update C55 level 2 (C55 2,1)



<text><list-item>



Slide from David Penny, EMCDDA 11

Slide from David Penny, EMCDDA 11/

HTML 5: Web applications 1.0

• Web applications a huge part of HTML 5.

Slide from David Penny, EMCDDA 11/0

• Some APIs include:

- drag and drop,
- canvas (drawing),
- offline storage,
- geo-location,

HTML 5: Form handling • required attribute: - browser checks for you that the data has been entered • email input type: - a valid email must be entered • url input type: - requires a valid web address

Slide from David Penny, EMCDDA

Roadmap CSS-3: round corners • First W3C Working Draft in October 2007. Round corners · Last Call Working Draft in October 2009. ates the use of ro ugh CSS 3 · Candidate Recommendation in 2012. It's childishly simple to make rounded comers now – don't ov different types of browser). First and second draft of test suite in 2012, 2015. ----Reissued Last Call Working Draft in 2020. *border-radius* (or variant depending on browser) is used to make rounded corners Proposed Recommendation in 2022 (!) Current browsers have already started implementing HTML 5. Example: border-radius: 3px The bigger the value or the radius, the more curvy and larger are the rounded corners Note: today's candidate recommendation status = yesterday's Much simpler than using CSS 2 (no background images etc. needed) recommendation status

Slide from David Penny, EMCDDA 11

Slide from David Penny, EMCDDA 11/0





CSS 3 timeline

- Unlike CSS 2, CSS 3 consists of modules
- Each module is recommended separately
- Several modules are already considered stable and will probably not change in the future
- Many are already implemented in current browsers
- www.w3.org/Style/CSS/current-work gives the state of each module

Server Architecture

9















- Connected to:
- chilled water supply,
- fiber-optic connection,
- electrical plugs
- Self-provisioning +self-managed.



High Availability

- Essential Objective
- Phone network, railways, water system
- Challenges
 - Component failures
 - Constantly evolving features
 - Unpredictable growth

From: Brewer Lessons from Giant-Scale Services

Architecture

- What do faults impact? Yield? Harvest?Replicated systems
- Faults \rightarrow reduced capacity (hence, yield @ high util)
- Partitioned systems
 Faults → reduced harvest
 Capacity (queries / sec) unchanged
- DQ Principle ∃ physical bottleneck Data/Query × Queries/Sec = Constant

From: Brewer Lessons from Giant-Scale Services

Graceful Degradation

- Too expensive to avoid saturation
- Peak/average ratio
 - 1.6x 6x or more
 - Moviefone: 10x capacity for Phantom Menace
 Not enough...
- Dependent faults (temperature, power)

 Overall DQ drops way down
- Cutting harvest by 2 doubles capacity...

Admission Control (AC) Techniques

- Cost-Based AC
 - Denying an expensive query allows 2 cheap ones
 Inktomi
- Priority-Based (Value-Based) AC
 - Stock trades vs. quotes
 - Datek
- Reduced Data Freshness