## CSE 452/CSE M552 Problem Set #1 Due: 5pm, May 7, 2013

- 1. In class, we described the Domain Name System (DNS) as using timeout-based cache validation, and replicated servers. Updates get applied to a primary server, which are then propagated in the background to the other replicas.
  - a) Give an example to illustrate why DNS is not serializable.
  - b) Briefly justify why the Domain Name System (DNS) is eventually consistent.
- 2. Facebook uses a three tier system for implementing its website. An array of frontend servers interacts with web clients (each client is hashed into exactly one frontend server); these front-end servers gather the information needed to render the client web page from an array of cache servers and a separate array of storage servers. Hashing is used to locate which cache and storage server might have a particular object (e.g., a friend list, or set of postings). The number of front-end servers, cache servers, and storage servers is not identical (the numbers are chosen to balance the workload), so in general, all front-ends talk to all cache servers and all storage servers.

The cache servers (called memcache servers) are managed as a "lookaside" cache. When rendering an object on a page, the front-end first sends a message to the relevant memcache server; if the data is not available, the front-end (not the cache) then retrieves the data from the relevant storage server. The front-end then stores the fetched data into the memcache server. On update, the front-end invalidates the cached copy (if any) and updates the storage server.

- a) What semantics (serializable, eventual, inconsistent) would occur if the front-end first invalidates the cache, and then updates the storage server? Briefly explain.
- b) What semantics would occur if the front-end updates the storage server and then invalidates the cache? Briefly explain.
- c) What semantics would occur if the front-end invalidates the cache, updates the storage server and then re-invalidates the cache? Briefly explain.
- d) An employee at Facebook suggests adding a write-token to the memcache server. When a front-end wants to change a value, it sends a message to memcache to atomically invalidate the entry and set the write-token; subsequent accesses to the server stall. The front-end releases the write-token when the data is updated at the server, allowing stalled accesses to proceed. What semantics would occur in this algorithm? Briefly explain.