513124

Signals & User level Threads

Signal: a type of IPC, also known as software exceptions

a pre-defined set of events that processes can use to communicate.

Signal	Standard	Action	Comment
SIGABRT	P1990	Core	Abort signal from abort(3)
SIGALRM	P1990	Term	Timer signal from alarm(2)
SIGBUS	P2001	Core	Bus error (bad memory access)
SIGCHLD	P1990	Ign	Child stopped or terminated
SIGCLD	-	Ign	A synonym for SIGCHLD
SIGCONT	P1990	Cont	Continue if stopped
SIGEMT	-	Term	Emulator trap
SIGFPE	P1990	Core	Floating-point exception
SIGHUP	P1990	Term	Hangup detected on controlling terminal or death of controlling process
SIGILL	P1990	Core	Illegal Instruction
SIGINFO	-		A synonym for SIGPWR
SIGINT	P1990	Term	Interrupt from keyboard
SIGIO	-	Term	I/O now possible (4.2BSD)
SIGIOT	-	Core	IOT trap. A synonym for SIGABRT
SIGKILL	P1990	Term	Kill signal
SIGLOST	-	Term	File lock lost (unused)
SIGPIPE	P1990	Term	Broken pipe: write to pipe with no readers: see pipe(7)
SIGPOLL	P2001	Term	Pollable event (Sys V); synonym for <b>SIGIO</b>
SIGPROF	P2001	Term	Profiling timer expired
SIGPWR	-	Term	Power failure (System V)
SIGQUIT	P1990	Core	Quit from keyboard
SIGSEGV	P1990	Core	Invalid memory reference
SIGSTKFLT	-	Term	Stack fault on coprocessor (unused)
SIGSTOP	P1990	Stop	Stop process
SIGTSTP	P1990	Stop	Stop typed at terminal
SIGSYS	P2001	Core	Bad system call (SVr4);
			see also seccomp(2)
SIGTERM	P1990	Term	Termination signal
SIGTRAP	P2001	Core	Trace/breakpoint trap
SIGTTIN	P1990	Stop	Terminal input for background process
SIGTTOU	P1990	Stop	Terminal output for background process
SIGUNUSED	-	Core	Synonymous with SIGSYS
SIGURG	P2001	Ign	Urgent condition on socket (4.2BSD)
SIGUSR1	P1990	Term	User-defined signal 1
SIGUSR2	P1990	Term	User-defined signal 2
SIGVTALRM	P2001	Term	Virtual alarm clock (4.2BSD)
SIGXCPU	P2001	Core	CPU time limit exceeded (4.2BSD);
SIGXFSZ	P2001	Core	File size limit exceeded (4.2BSD);
SIGWINCH	-	Ign	Window resize signal (4.3BSD, Sun)

Sending Signals
J
-> syscall = kill (pid, signal = +)
-> Kemel also forwards some exceptions
-> SZGSEGV, SZGFPE, SZGZUL
-> application might be able to handle some
& recover from these faults
-> how to implement this ?
-> track a pending set of signals per proces.
> enforce some sender / rejever respiction
> processes from the same user can
send signals to care other they
-> privileged process ( root)

Receiving Signals

-> signal delivery is implicit to the releiver ( no action required ) -> pending signals tracked as a set, multiple sends of the same signal result in a single delivery; once the signal is handled, (an be delivered again upona Signal -> Kernel defines default actions for all signals delivery, -s a provers can define custom handlers for most signals (except SIGISTOP) ifno custom via syscall signal ( sight, handler - func), lives in user memory. handler, execute default action, -> How to imprement signal delivery? othernise, becall -> a process must be in the kernel for kernel to deliver a signal the histon handler -> deliver a signal white a process is in the kernel for whastever reason: contact switch, syscall, intempts, exceptions Default action run custom returns & resume handler call estaution signetum T USER Custom Tesume user hardler delivery Kernel for signal signal kernel process A retaining back to user space process A Lesuning process A

More on Signal delivery -> a process can choose to block unstil a specific signal is delivered -> helpful for synchronizing states across processes (SIGUSK1, SIGUSK2) -> a process can maste certain signals, preventing them from being delivered. -> custom signal handlers are fully defined by the process, meaning they don't have to return I can jump out of the exercican)

User Level Threads

-> So far ne're only seen Kernel level threads

managed & scheduled by the benel, TLB, Kemel stack context suitch requires made suitch

-> threads are good for abstracting independent tasks -> but often the task granularity doesn't justify the cost of creating & scheduling a kernel benel thread "

-> User level threads

managed & scheduled by user libraries & rantime kernel is unawave of their existence

-> mid end læptop can run 50-60 million user level threads.

S S S hereads

constant Snitch elsere The user space, Just a function call.

& much cheaper to create se schedule.