

10/17

Agenda

- Threads Interleaving
- Locks!

min:
1

t₁ t₂
read x (0)

read x (0)
add 1 (1)
write 1 to x

add 1 (1)
write 2 to x

```
1  #include <stdio.h>
2  #include <pthread.h>
3
4  int global_x = 0;
5
6  void* increment() {
7  → global_x += 1;
8     return NULL;
9  }
10
11 int main(int argc, char** argv) {
12     pthread_t tid1, tid2;
13
14     pthread_create(&tid1, NULL, increment, NULL);
15     pthread_create(&tid1, NULL, increment, NULL);
16
17     pthread_join(tid1, NULL);
18     pthread_join(tid2, NULL);
19
20     printf("%d\n", global_x); // minimum? maximum?
21
22     return 0;
23 }
```

3 instr.

read x to a reg
add 1 to reg
write reg to x

```
int global_x = 0;
pthread_t tids[2];
```

```
void* increment100() {
    for (int i=0; i<100; i++) {
        global_x += 1;
    }
    return NULL;
}
```

```
int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    for (int i=0; i<2; i++) {
        pthread_create(&tids[i], NULL, increment100, NULL);
    }

    for (int i=0; i<2; i++) {
        pthread_join(tids[i], NULL);
    }

    printf("%d\n", global_x); // minimum? maximum?
    return 0;
}
```

Nondeterministic
(based on the scheduling order)

Race conditions
→ changing behaviors based on timing or orderings

3 instr.

100
200

t1 read x=0 t2 How to get 100?
reg

add 1 to reg
writes x=1
execute 100 iterations
x=100.

execute 100 iterations
write x=100

How to get 2?

t1
reads x=0

t2

add 1 to reg
write 1 to x

execute 99 iter.
write x=99

read x=1

execute to completion
write x=100

add 1 to reg
write x=2

Problem: read & update variable might be interrupted.

Common
pattern

```
if (flag) { <do something> modify flag }
```

Too Much Milk

- Goals = ① If there's no milk, someone gets milk
② No more than 1 milk in the fridge.

Roommate A

```
if (no milk) {
```

```
  buy milk;
```

```
}
```

Roommate B

```
if (no milk) {
```

```
  buy milk;
```

```
}
```

goal 1 ✓

goal 2 ✗

Attempt 2

Roommate A

```
if (no milk) {
```

```
    leave note;
```

```
    buy milk;
```

```
}
```

Roommate B

```
if (no milk) {
```

```
    if (no note) {
```

```
        buy milk;
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

goal 1 ✓

goal 2 ✗

Attempt 3

Roommate A

```
if (no milk) {  
    lock the fridge.  
    go buy milk  
}
```

Roommate B

```
check fridge if not locked  
if (no milk) {  
    buy milk;  
}
```

Does this
actually
work?

✱ We need to have exclusive access to the fridge when performing operations related to the fridge (see next page)

Too Much Milk w/ Locks

Roommate A

lock the fridge
if (no milk)
buy milk;
unlock the fridge

lock is a
synchronization
primitive

} critical
section

Roommate B

lock the fridge
if (no milk)
buy milk;
unlock the fridge

} only one person
has access to
the fridge
at any time

* Don't put everything
in the critical section!

* Accessing shared variables need
protection! (all shared var?)

Locks

- API = acquire(L), release(L)
- Mechanism to enable critical section
- Lock should provide:

- ① Mutual Exclusion: only one thread can access critical section ^{at a time}
- ② Progress: if no one is in the critical section, someone can ^{get in}
- ③ Bounded Waiting: there's an upperbound to your waiting

↳ often not guaranteed by most locks, cause it's hard to provide.

2 Types of locks

① Spinlocks

Spin in a loop trying to grab the lock. → busy waits until you can grab the lock

→ relies on an atomic read modify write instr (test&set)

(consumes CPU)

[test&set: a single instr that takes a memory address, checks if the value at addr is 0, if so, sets it to 1 and returns the value read]

Special instr. that guarantees all these steps are done in 1 instruction

* in this case the value indicates whether the lock is free or not! if multiple threads call test&set, only one of them will be able to set the value to 1, the rest will fail.

→ release sets the value to 0.

② Sleeplock / Mutex

- sleeps/blocks until you can grab the lock
- needs to keep track of threads waiting for the lock
- wake up a waiter on release

★ won't be scheduled while waiting for the lock!

Trade-offs btwn spinlock vs. sleeplock

spinlock

- wastes CPU while waiting

sleeplock

- blocks while waiting (context switch involved)

- what if there are lots of threads waiting?
- what if lock is released very quickly?

★ Places where you can't sleep: scheduler, interrupt handler.