Lab 2 Intro
- Lab 2 design due tomorrow

- Lab 2 due Monday, 2/1

- Lab 2 has a design doc. The better you fill it out, the more helpful we can be in commenting on it, and the more prepared you will be for writing the code!
  - Put design doc in your repo like lab1design.
Design Document

Do it BEFORE you write code

This is mainly for you to think carefully before implementing them
Include whatever design choice that will help you succeed

Knowing what to include is difficult (you probably haven’t done this before!)
You’ll learn as the quarter goes
Use lab/designdoc.md & lab1design as a reference of what should be included!
Edge cases, unanswered questions

Office hours are a good time to talk about design
Locks

Question: Why do we need them?
Synchronization Functions

- **Main API for process control: wakeup/sleep**
  - Helper: wakeup1 (use it if you already hold the ptable lock)
  - sleep(void* chan, struct spinlock* lk)
    - Sets process state to SLEEPING, i.e. cannot be run by the scheduler
    - Sets the process's "channel" variable
    - Yields to the scheduler, switching to another process
  - wakeup(void* chan)
    - Acquire ptable lock
    - Looks for all SLEEPING processes on the given channel, wakes them up
      - I.e. sets processes state to RUNNABLE

- **Condition variables**
  - Go to sleep using the variable's address as channel. Signal variable change by wakeup(). When woken up from sleep, check if condition is now true
    - If not, go back to sleep
    - while (!condition) {sleep(&var, &mylock);}

- **Relevant files**, inc/proc.h, kernel/proc.c
Spinlocks

- Disable interrupts and spin until resource is acquired
  - Prevent concurrency issues by not yielding to the scheduler until we are done
- Relevant files
  - inc/spinlock.h
  - kernel/spinlock.c
- Pros/Cons of spin locks?
  - Fast to acquire resource once it’s freed up
  - Hangs entire core while waiting
  - Xk is single-core, so nothing will ever actually spin on one of these (can't be interrupted while holding)
- **WARNING:**
  
  CPU scheduling relies on timer interrupts, but spinlocks disable interrupts while active. Trying to schedule while holding a spinlock will cause a “sched locks” panic. Otherwise, control would never go back to the scheduler.

  Sleeplocks invoke the scheduler if a resource is unavailable

  **Question:** how to avoid the panic?
Sleeplocks

- Uses the sleep/wakeup interface from the previous slide, with &lock as channel
  - On "acquiresleep", if the resource is unavailable, it will sleep on &lock
    - Sets state of process to ASLEEP: it will not be scheduled until awoken
  - On "releasesleep", the code with the sleeplock will wakeup all process waiting on &lock.
    - Sets all processes sleeping on &lock to RUNNABLE: they can be scheduled
    - All waiting processes will wake up. One of them will get the lock. The rest will sleep again.

- Relevant files:
  - inc/sleeplock.h
  - kernel/sleeplock.c

- Pros/Cons?
  - Doesn't waste CPU time waiting for slow operations (e.g. IO)
  - Process gets descheduled; more overhead
Sleeplocks - Brief Example

The figure shows a ptable with five processes: p0, p1, p2, p3, and p4. The processes are color-coded based on their state:

- **Running**: Blue
- **Runnable**: Light green
- **Sleeping**: Red

Currently, all processes are in the Runnable state (light green).
Sleeplocks - Brief Example

p0 acquires lock1

Key
- Running
- Runnable
- Sleeping
Sleeplocks - Brief Example

p1 and p2 try to acquire lock1
- since p0 is holding, both go to sleep
- sleep(&lock1, lock1->lk);
p0 releases lock
- calls wakeup(&lock1), waking up p1 and p2
Sleeplocks - Brief Example

p1 scheduled to run
- acquires lock1 since no other process is holding
Sleeplocks - Brief Example

p2 scheduled to run
- sees that the lock is still being held, goes back to sleep
Sleeplocks - Brief Example

p2 scheduled to run
- sees that the lock is still being held, goes back to sleep
Sleeplocks - Brief Example

- Our condition variable in this case is whether the lock is being held
  - See the pattern: while (!condition) {sleep(&var, &mylock);}

- Condition Variables can be used for general purposes, which we’ll see later
  - Key functions, sleep and wakeup in proc.c

```c
// a sleeping lock relinquishes the process:
// note mesa semantics: process can wakeup
void acquiresleep(struct sleeplock *lk) {
  acquire(&lk->lk);
  while (!lk->locked) {
    sleep(&lk, &lk->lk);
  }
  lk->locked = 1;
  lk->pid = myproc() - pid;
  release(&lk->lk);
}
```

```c
// a sleeping lock wakes up a waiting proc
void releasesleep(struct sleeplock *lk) {
  acquire(&lk->lk);
  lk->locked = 0;
  lk->pid = 0;
  wakeup(lk);
  release(&lk->lk);
}
```

excerpts from proc/sleeplock.c
Spinlocks vs. Sleeplocks, Summary

- Which should I use?
  - Spinlocks
    - Fast to acquire if no contention
    - But, disables interrupts (this is specific to xk. Other systems might not need this)
    - Also wastes CPU cycles if the wait time is very long
  - Sleeplocks
    - More overhead, process getting descheduled means context switch
    - But, other processes can run while this process is waiting
- Rule of thumb...
  - Fast critical sections - spinlocks
  - Long critical sections - sleeplocks
- Question: Which should you use for file table?
Curious about locks, still?

- See chapter 5 in the textbook
- Wait ‘til later in the quarter (fancier locks)
Lab 2 - Processes

IF IN DOUBT: DO WHAT LINUX DOES
fork()

- Create a new process by duplicating the calling process.
- Returns twice!
  - 0 in the child (newly created) process
  - Child’s PID in the parent
  - Question: how to do this?
- What does this entail? What needs to be created, and how do we copy parent state?
  - Need to clone all open resources
    - Files (make sure to increase reference count)
    - All memory (look into vspaceinit and vspacecopy to dupe virtual memory space)
    - Data to return to the correct place (trap frame)
    - Anything else?
wait()/exit()

- **wait():** Sleep until a child process terminates, then return that child’s PID.
  - Need to keep track of some data
    - Need to know parent/child relationships between processes
  - Process shouldn't return from here until a child has exited...
    - Should put to sleep and invoke the scheduler

- **exit():** Halts program and sets state to have its resources reclaimed

**What are some edge cases to consider?**

- Wait should return child’s exit code EVEN IF the child exited before wait() was called
  - Can’t clean up all data in exit()...
- Parent should go to sleep until a child exits
- What if the parent exits before children terminates? Or never calls wait()? 
  - Need to clean up, somehow.

- For xk, looping through the process table is reasonable
Lab 2 - Pip
pipe(pipefds)

- Creates a pipe (internal buffer) for reading from/writing to
- From the user perspective: two new files
  - One (“read end”) is not writable
  - Other (“write end”) is not readable
- In practice, allows parent/child or child/child to communicate with each other.
- You’ll want to somehow make this compatible with the read/write(fd) interface
Pipes

- A mechanism for inter-process communication ("IPC")
- By calling `sys_pipe`, a process sets up a writing and reading end to a "holding area" where data can be passed between processes
- What should happen if the write end or read end are closed (by potentially multiple readers/writers)? When can you free the buffer?
  - What happens if the buffer is full and we try to write? Empty and try to read?
    - Wait for data to be removed/added
      - Spin or sleep?
    - What if all of the other endpoint type are closed already?

- Pipes should be allocated at runtime, as requested
  - What mechanisms does xk have for dynamic memory allocation to the kernel?
- Each pipe should behave like a file so we can reuse the same `read()` and `write()`
  - Need a way to determine if a struct file is an inode or a pipe
Process 1’s File Descriptor Array

0 1 2 3 4... PROC_MAX_FILE

File Struct (Read only)

Pipe

File Struct (Write only)

Process 2’s File Descriptor Array

0 1 2 3 4... PROC_MAX_FILE

struct proc

File Struct

(Read only)
exec(progname, args)

Replaces the process’ state by executing the given program with the given arguments. This will require you to (carefully!) set up the process’ stack memory and register state.

- This will be tricky! You’ll be using a number of vspace___ functions
  - vspaceinit for initialization
  - vspaceloadcode to load code
  - vspaceinitstack to init stack
    - vspacewritetova to write initial arguments into the stack
  - vspaceinstall to swap in the new vspace
  - vspacefree to release the old vspace

- The swapover to the new vspace can be tricky to get right!
  - Look at what vspacefree does
  - Remember the difference between copying a struct and copying a pointer
More on exec

- This fully replaces the current process; it does not create a new one
  - Often used with fork. Fork off a child as a new process; that child immediately exec()s a new program.
    - It’s a bit wasteful to copy the entire memory space in fork() if it’ll be immediately discarded...
    - For now: don’t worry about that. Naive fork is ok; lab3 will improve upon it

- Many uses
  - The shell uses fork/exec to run commands
  - Linux uses fork/exec to load new programs
    - Windows has a “launch a new process running that” function
    - Linux does not.
    - Whenever you run a new process, forks off of the root process and execs.

- Question: how do we pass arguments into the new program?
X86_64 Calling Conventions

- %rdi: Holds the first argument
- %rsi: Holds the second argument
  - (%rdx, %rcx, %r8, %r9 come next)
  - Overflow onto stack
- %rsp: Points to the top of the stack (lowest address)

- Local variables are stored on the stack
- If an array is an argument, the array contents are stored on the stack and the register contains a pointer to the array’s beginning
Main

int main(int argc, char** argv)

Argc: The number of elements in argv

Argv: An array of strings representing program arguments
  - First is always the name of the program
  - Argv[argc] = 0
Main’s Stack

Since argv is an array of pointers, %RSI points to an array on the stack.

Since each element of argv is a char*, each element points to a string elsewhere on the stack.

Alignment

// Stack grows
// down

// High addresses
Arg #(argc-1)string
[ ... ]
Arg #1 string
Arg #0 string
0 (why?)
argv[argc - 1]
[ ... ]
argv[1]
argv[0]
Return PC

// Stack grows
// down

argv
argc

%RDI
%RSI
%RSP

SZ_2G
Practice Exercise 1

// High addresses
// Stack grows
// down

TODO:
Draw stack layout and determine register values for exec called with “cat cat.txt”
Practice Exercise 1: soln

// High addresses
“cat.txt”
“cat”
Argv[2] = NULL
argv[1]
argv[0]
Return PC

// Stack grows // down

- RDI holds argc, which is 2
- RSI holds argv: the beginning of the argv array
- RSP is properly set to the bottom of the stack.
- The specific value of the return PC doesn’t matter (program exits from main without returning)
Practice Exercise 2

%RDI  
???

%RSI  
???

%RSP  
???

// High addresses

// Stack grows
// down

TODO:
Draw stack layout and determine register values for exec called with “kill -9 500”
Practice Exercise 2: soln

- RDI holds argc, which is 3
- RSI holds argv: the beginning of the argv array
- RSP is properly set to the bottom of the stack.
- The specific value of the return PC doesn’t matter (program exits from main without returning)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High addresses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“500”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“-9”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“kill”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Argument Array</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>argv[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>argv[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>argv[0]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Return PC

// Stack grows // down