Process management

• This module begins a series of topics on processes, threads, and synchronization
  – this is the most important part of the class
• In this module: processes and process management
  – What is a “process”?
  – What’s the OS’s process namespace?
  – How are processes represented inside the OS?
  – What are the executing states of a process?
  – How are processes created?
  – How can this be made faster?
  – Shells
  – Signals
What is a “process”?

- The process is the OS’s abstraction for execution
  - A process is a program in execution
- Simplest (classic) case: a **sequential process**
  - An address space (an abstraction of memory)
  - A single thread of execution (an abstraction of the CPU)
- A sequential process is:
  - The unit of execution
  - The unit of scheduling
  - The dynamic (active) execution context
    - vs. the program – static, just a bunch of bytes
What’s “in” a process?

- A process consists of (at least):
  - An address space, containing
    - the code (instructions) for the running program
    - the data for the running program (static data, heap data, stack)
  - (At least one) CPU state, consisting of
    - The instruction pointer (EIP), indicating the next instruction
    - The stack pointer (ESP)
    - Other general purpose register values
  - A set of OS resources
    - open files, network connections, sound channels, …
- In other words, it’s all the stuff you need to run the program
  - or to re-start it, if it’s interrupted at some point
A process’s address space (idealized)

- Address space
- Code (text segment)
- Static data (data segment)
- Stack (dynamic allocated mem)
- Heap (dynamic allocated mem)

Address space ranges from 0x00000000 to 0xFFFFFFFF.
The OS’s process namespace

• (Like most things, the particulars depend on the specific OS, but the principles are general)

• The name for a process is called a **process ID (PID)**
  – An integer

• The PID namespace is global to the system
  – Only one process at a time has a particular PID

• Operations that create processes return a PID
  – E.g., fork()

• Operations on processes take PIDs as an argument
  – E.g., kill(), wait(), nice()
Representation of processes by the OS

• The OS maintains a data structure to keep track of a process’s state
  – Called the process control block (PCB/KPROCESS/proc) or process descriptor
  – Identified by the PID

• OS keeps all of a process’s execution state in (or linked from) the proc when the process isn’t running
  – EIP, ESP, registers, etc.
  – when a process is unscheduled, the execution state is transferred out of the hardware registers into the proc
  – (when a process is running, its state is spread between the proc and the CPU)

• Note: It’s natural to think that there must be some esoteric techniques being used
  – fancy data structures that you’d never think of yourself

Wrong! It’s pretty much just what you’d think of!
The proc

• The proc is a data structure with many, many fields:
  – process ID (pid)
  – pointer to parent proc
  – execution state
  – Instruction pointer, stack pointer, registers
  – address space info
  – pointers for state queues

• In Linux:
  – defined in task_struct (include/linux/sched.h)
  – over 95 fields!!!
procs and CPU state

• When a process is running, its CPU state is inside the CPU
  – EIP, ESP, registers
  – CPU contains current values

• When the OS gets control because of a …
  – Trap: Program executes a syscall
  – Exception: Program does something unexpected (e.g., page fault)
  – Interrupt: A hardware device requests service

the OS saves the CPU state of the running process in that process’s proc
• When the OS returns the process to the running state, it loads the hardware registers with values from that process’s proc – general purpose registers, stack pointer, instruction pointer

• The act of switching the CPU from one process to another is called a context switch
  – systems may do 100s or 1000s of switches/sec.
  – takes a few microseconds on today’s hardware

• Choosing which process to run next is called scheduling
The OS kernel is not a process

• It’s just a block of code!
• (In a microkernel OS, many things that you normally think of as the operating system execute as user-mode processes. But the OS kernel is just a block of code.)
• Remember: the CPU is always executing code in the context of a process. That code may be in user mode (restricted access to hardware) or kernel mode (free-for-all).
Process execution states

- Each process has an **execution state**, which indicates what it’s currently doing
  - **ready**: waiting to be assigned to a CPU
    - could run, but another process has the CPU
  - **running**: executing on a CPU
    - it’s the process that currently controls the CPU
  - **waiting** (aka “blocked”): waiting for an event, e.g., I/O completion, or a message from (or the completion of) another process
    - cannot make progress until the event happens

- As a process executes, it moves from state to state
  - UNIX: run `ps`, STAT column shows current state
  - which state is a process in most of the time?
Process states and state transitions

- **running**
  - dispatch / schedule
  - interrupt (unschedule)
  - terminate

- **ready**
  - create
  - interrupt (I/O complete)
  - trap or exception (I/O, page fault, etc.)

- **blocked**

You can create and destroy processes!
State queues

• The OS maintains a collection of queues that represent the state of all processes in the system
  – typically one queue for each state
    • e.g., ready, waiting, …
  – each proc is queued onto a state queue according to the current state of the process it represents
  – as a process changes state, its proc is unlinked from one queue, and linked onto another

• Once again, this is just as straightforward as it sounds! The proc are moved between queues, which are represented as linked lists. There is no magic!
State queues

• There may be many wait queues, one for each type of wait (particular device, timer, message, …)
procs and state queues

• procs are data structures
  – dynamically allocated inside OS memory

• When a process is created:
  – OS allocates a proc for it
  – OS initializes proc
  – (OS does other things not related to the proc)
  – OS puts proc on the correct queue

• As a process computes:
  – OS moves its proc from queue to queue

• When a process is terminated:
  – proc may be retained for a while (to receive signals, etc.)
  – eventually, OS deallocates the proc
Process creation

- New processes are created by existing processes
  - creator is called the parent
  - created process is called the child
    - UNIX: do `ps`, look for PPID field
  - what creates the first process, and when?
Process creation semantics

• (Depending on the OS) child processes inherit certain attributes of the parent
  – Examples:
    • Open file table: implies stdin/stdout/stderr
    • On some systems, resource allocation to parent may be divided among children
• (In Unix) when a child is created, the parent may either wait for the child to finish, or continue in parallel
• (In Unix) These are policies implemented by the kernel. In Windows, inheritance is done explicitly in user mode by the CreateProcess library routine (it’s not a system call!)
UNIX process creation details

• UNIX process creation through \texttt{fork()} system call
  – creates and initializes a new proc
    • initializes kernel resources of new process with resources of parent (e.g., open files)
    • initializes EIP, ESP to be same as parent
  – creates a new address space
    • initializes new address space with a copy of the entire contents of the address space of the parent
  – places new proc on the ready queue

• the \texttt{fork()} system call “returns twice”
  – once into the parent, and once into the child
    • returns the child’s PID to the parent
    • returns 0 to the child

• \texttt{fork()} = “clone me”
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#include <sys/types.h>
#include <unistd.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    char *name = argv[0];
    int pid = fork();
    if (pid == 0) {
        printf("Child of %s is %d\n", name, pid);
        return 0;
    } else {
        printf("My child is %d\n", pid);
        return 0;
    }
}
testparent output

spinlock% gcc -o testparent testparent.c
spinlock% ./testparent
My child is 486
Child of testparent is 0
spinlock% ./testparent
Child of testparent is 0
My child is 571
exec() vs. fork()

• Q: So how do we start a new program, instead of just forking the old program?
• A: First fork, then exec
  – int exec(char * prog, char * argv[])

• exec()
  – stops the current process
  – loads program ‘prog’ into the address space
    • i.e., over-writes the existing process image
  – initializes hardware context, args for new program
  – places proc onto ready queue
  – note: does not create a new process!
• So, to run a new program:
  – fork()
  – Child process does an exec()
  – Parent either waits for the child to complete, or not
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Making process creation faster

• The semantics of fork() say the child’s address space is a copy of the parent’s

• Implementing fork() that way is slow
  – Have to allocate physical memory for the new address space and reserve swap space
  – Have to set up child’s page tables to map new address space
  – Have to copy parent’s address space contents into child’s address space
    • Which you are likely to immediately throw away with an exec()!
Method 1: vfork()

- vfork() is the older (now uncommon) of the two approaches we’ll discuss
- Instead of “child’s address space is a copy of the parent’s,” the semantics are “child’s address space is the parent’s”
  - With a “promise” that the child won’t modify the address space before doing an execve()
    - Unenforced! You use vfork() at your own peril
  - When execve() is called, a new address space is created and it’s loaded with the new executable
  - Parent is blocked until execve() is executed by child
  - Saves wasted effort of duplicating parent’s address space, just to throw it away
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Method 2: copy-on-write

- Retains the original semantics, but copies “only what is necessary” rather than the entire address space
- On fork():
  - Create a new address space
  - Initialize page tables with same mappings as the parent’s (i.e., they both point to the same physical memory)
    - No copying of address space contents have occurred at this point – with the sole exception of the top page of the stack
  - Set both parent and child page tables to make all pages read-only
  - If either parent or child writes to memory, an exception occurs
  - When exception occurs, OS copies the page, adjusts page tables, etc.
UNIX shells

```c
int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    while (1) {
        printf ("$ ");
        char *cmd = get_next_command();
        int pid = fork();
        if (pid == 0) {
            exec(cmd);
            panic("exec failed!");
        } else {
            wait(pid);
        }
    }
}
```
Truth in advertising …

• In Linux today, clone is replacing fork (and vfork)
  – clone has additional capabilities/options
• But you need to clearly understand fork as described here

• In Linux today, exec is not a system call; execve is the only “exec-like” system call
  – execve knows whether you have done a fork or a vfork by a flag in the PCB
• But you need to clearly understand exec as described here
Input/output redirection

- $ ./myprog < input.txt > output.txt  # UNIX
  - each process has an open file table
  - by (universal) convention:
    - 0: stdin
    - 1: stdout
    - 2: stderr

- A child process inherits the parent’s open file table

- Redirection: the shell …
  - copies its current stdin/stdout open file entries
  - opens input.txt as stdin and output.txt as stdout
  - fork …
  - restore original stdin/stdout
Old-school Inter-process communication via signals

- Processes can register event handlers
  - Feels a lot like event handlers in Java, which ..
  - Feel sort of like catch blocks in Java programs
- When the event occurs, process jumps to event handler routine
- Used to catch exceptions
- Also used for inter-process (process-to-process) communication
  - A process can trigger an event in another process using signal
  - Note that a signal is one bit; you can pass way more information using other signals… but why?
### Signals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIGHUP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Hangup detected on controlling terminal or death of controlling process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGINT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Interrupt from keyboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGQUIT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>Quit from keyboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGILL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>Illegal Instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGABRT</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>Abort signal from abort(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGFPE</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>Floating point exception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGKILL</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Kill signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGSEGV</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>Invalid memory reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGPIPE</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Broken pipe: write to pipe with no read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGALRM</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Timer signal from alarm(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGTERM</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Termination signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGUSR1</td>
<td>30, 10, 16</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>User-defined signal 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGUSR2</td>
<td>31, 12, 17</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>User-defined signal 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGCHLD</td>
<td>20, 17, 18</td>
<td>Ign</td>
<td>Child stopped or terminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGCONT</td>
<td>19, 18, 25</td>
<td></td>
<td>Continue if stopped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGSTOP</td>
<td>17, 19, 23</td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td>Stop process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGTSTP</td>
<td>18, 20, 24</td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td>Stop typed at tty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGTTIN</td>
<td>21, 21, 26</td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td>tty input for background process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGTTOU</td>
<td>22, 22, 27</td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td>tty output for background process</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example use

• You're implementing Apache, a web server

• Apache reads a configuration file when it is launched
  – Controls things like what the root directory of the web files is, what permissions there are on pieces of it, etc.

• Suppose you want to change the configuration while Apache is running
  – If you restart the currently running Apache, you drop some unknown number of user connections

• Solution: send the running Apache process a signal
  – It has registered a signal handler that gracefully re-reads the configuration file