


# CSE 451: Operating Systems

## Section 7

Address Translations, thread pools,  
project 2b

# Midterm Grade Distribution




# Midterm Grade Distribution

<b>Mean</b>	42.76
<b>Median</b>	43.0
<b>Mode</b>	41.0
<b>Min</b>	21.0
<b>Max</b>	54.0
<b>Std. Dev.</b>	6.85


# Address Translation

- \* Address translation in itself is not Virtual Memory, it is taking an address and mapping to another
  - \* Virtual Memory is the by-product
- \* What does having Address Translations allow us to do?
- \* What are the downsides to Address Translations?


# Address Translation




# Address Translation



# Address Translation



# Address Translation





# TLB Consistency

- \* When Caching translations, we must worry about the consistency of our data
- \* How do we deal with:
  - \* Process Context Switching?
  - \* Permission Change on a physical page?
  - \* Multiprocessor machines, multiple kernel threads for a process? (Two TLBs talking about the same page tables)

# Debugging threaded programs

- \* `printf` is useful, but it takes time to execute—why is this potentially a problem when writing multithreaded programs?
- \* GDB is pthreads-aware and supports inspecting the state of running threads
  - \* See [this site](#) for a tutorial on interacting with threads from GDB
- \* If your program is crashing and you don't know why, use `ulimit -c unlimited` to have all crashing programs produce core dumps
  - \* Then load the core in GDB with `gdb binary core-file`

# Data races


- \* A data race is when two threads read/write the same data concurrently
  - \* The C standard does not make guarantees about the state of data if there are concurrent reads/writes of it
- \* Solution: protect concurrent accesses to data using a mutex

# Detecting data races

- \* Valgrind has a tool called helgrind for detecting data races
  - \* Usage: `valgrind --tool=helgrind ./binary`
  - \* See the [helgrind manual](#) for more information
- \* Beyond data races, helgrind and other tools will check for problems such as:
  - \* Exiting a thread that holds a mutex
  - \* Acquiring locks in inconsistent orderings
  - \* Waiting on a condition variable without having acquired the corresponding mutex
  - \* ...and many others


# Thread pools

- \* Thread pools provide the illusion of an unlimited amount of parallel processing power, despite using a small number of threads



# Thread pools

- \* Whenever there is a new task to run, a thread from the pool processes it and then fetches the next task from the queue



# Thread pool implications

- \* Thread pools only *simulate* an infinite number of processing threads
  - \* Deadlocks can occur if running threads are blocked waiting for a task that hasn't started
  - \* For example: launching both producers and consumers from a shared thread pool (why?)
- \* Thread pools save on the cost of spinning up new threads—workers are recycled

# sioux thread pool

```
typedef struct {
    queue request_queue;
    pthread_cond_t request_ready;
} thread_pool;

typedef struct {
    int next_conn;
} request;

// New request arrives:
//   enqueue request, signal request_ready
// Worker threads:
//   dequeue, run handle_request(request);
```



# sioux thread pool problems

- \* This sounds good, but what happens if the request queue grows faster than threads can process the requests?
  - \* Hint: it's okay to have incoming connections wait (and potentially time out) before you `accept()` them if your server is overloaded
  - \* The OS enforces a limit on the number of unhandled incoming connections for you—the `BACKLOG` macro in `sioux_run.c` determines how many

# Thread pool performance

- \* Threads can run on separate CPU cores, but thread pool state is centralized
- \* Taking a work item involves locking a shared mutex, creating a central point of contention
  - \* If work items are quick to process, the cost of acquiring the mutex can outweigh the cost of processing the work item!
- \* If we know approximately how long work items take, how can we improve performance?

# Thread pool performance

- \* Partitioning: divide work items among threads as they arrive
  - \* Can use a fixed scheme (simple but potentially unbalanced) or a dynamic scheme (more complex but better balanced) to distribute items
- \* Work stealing: threads that finish processing items in their queues steal work from other threads' queues
  - \* Work stealing comes up in all manner of distributed settings

# Project 2b: part 4

- \* Make the sioux web server multithreaded
- \* Create a thread pool (preferably in a separate `thread_pool.[c|h]`)
- \* Use the existing connection handling code in cooperation with your thread pool
- \* Test using `pthreads`—we won't test against your `sthreads` implementation
- \* Apache Bench (`ab`) is a useful tool for measuring webserver performance, more so than the provided `webclient` tool

# Project 2b: part 5

- \* Add preemption to the pthreads library
- \* One way to think about preemption safety:
  - \* Disable interrupts in “library” context
  - \* Use atomic locking in “application” context
- \* Does locking and unlocking a mutex occur in “library” context or “application” context?

# How *not* to implement mutexes

```
pthread_user_mutex_lock(mutex)
    splx(HIGH); // disable interrupts
    if (mutex->held) {
        enqueue(mutex->queue, current_thread);
        schedule_next_thread();
    } else {
        mutex->held = true;
    }
    splx(LOW); // reenables interrupts
}
```

\* What's the problem here?

# How *not* to implement mutexes

```
pthread_user_mutex_lock(mutex) {  
    while(  
        atomic_test_and_set(  
            &mutex->available)) { }  
}
```

\* What's the problem here?

# How *not* to implement mutexes

```
pthread_user_mutex_lock(mutex) {  
    while(  
        atomic_test_and_set(  
            &mutex->available)) {  
        enqueue(mutex->queue, current_thread);  
        schedule_next_thread();  
    }  
}
```

\* What's the problem here? Hint: think about  
preemption



# How to implement mutexes

- \* Need to lock around the critical sections in the mutex functions themselves!
  - \* Your `struct _sthread_mutex` will likely need another member for this
- \* For hints, re-read lecture slides:
  - \* Module 7: Synchronization (slide 21 forward)
  - \* Module 8: Semaphores
- \* Similar hints apply for condition variables

# Project 2b: part 6

- \* Writeup about webserver and thread library
- \* Be thorough! Make use of graphs for comparisons and provide commentary on why the results are the way they are
- \* As mentioned previously, the Apache Bench (`ab`) tool might be useful here as well