Implementing Synchronization

Synchronization Summary

- Use consistent structure
- Always use locks and condition variables when accessing shared data
- Always acquire lock at beginning of procedure, release at end
- Always hold lock when using a condition variable
- Always wait in while loop
- Never spin in sleep()

Main Points

- Implementing locks and CV's using atomic read-modify-write instructions
- Hansen vs. Hoare semantics
 - How to implement one with the other
- Semaphores
 - How to implement condition variables using semaphores

Big Picture: Linux

Concurrent Applications

Semaphores

Locks

Condition Variables

Interrupt Disable

Atomic Read/Modify/Write Instructions

Multiple Processors

Hardware Interrupts

Big Picture: Pintos

Concurrent Kernel Data Structures

Locks and Condition Variables

Semaphores

Interrupt Disable

Hardware Interrupts, Uniprocessor

Implementing Synchronization

Take 1: using memory load/store

See too much milk solution/Peterson's algorithm

Take 2:

lock.acquire() { disable interrupts }
lock.release() { enable interrupts }

Pintos: how we protect the ready list!

Lock Implementation, Uniprocessor

```
LockAcquire(){
                                LockRelease() {
 disableInterrupts ();
                                 disableInterrupts ();
 if(value == BUSY){
                                 if (!waiting.Empty()){
  waiting.add(current TCB);
                                  thread = waiting.Remove();
                                   readyList.Append(thread);
  suspend();
                                 } else {
 } else {
                                   value = FREE;
  value = BUSY;
                                 enableInterrupts ();
 enableInterrupts ();
```

Multiprocessor

- Read-modify-write instructions
 - Atomically read a value from memory, operate on it, and then write it back to memory
 - Intervening instructions prevented in hardware
- Examples
 - Test and set
 - Intel: xchgb, lock prefix
 - Compare and swap
- Does it matter?
 - Not for implementing locks and condition variables!

Spinlocks

Lock where the processor waits in a loop for the lock to become free

- Assumes lock will be held for a short time
- Used to protect ready list to implement locks

```
SpinlockAcquire() {
    while (testAndSet(&lockValue) == BUSY)
    ;
}
SpinlockRelease() {
    lockValue = FREE;
}
```

Lock Implementation, Multiprocessor

```
LockRelease() {
LockAcquire(){
spinLock.Acquire();
                                    spinLock.Acquire();
disableInterrupts ();
                                    disableInterrupts ();
if(value == BUSY){
                                    if (!waiting.Empty()){
  waiting.add(current TCB);
                                     thread = waiting.Remove();
                                      readyList.Append(thread);
  suspend();
                                    } else {
} else {
                                     value = FREE;
  value = BUSY;
                                    enableInterrupts ();
enableInterrupts ();
                                    spinLock.Release();
spinLock.Release();
```

Lock Implementation, Linux

- Fast path
 - If lock is FREE, and no one is waiting, test&set
- Slow path
 - If lock is BUSY or someone is waiting, see previous slide
- User-level locks
 - Fast path: acquire lock using test&set
 - Slow path: system call to kernel, to use kernel lock

Synchronization Equivalence

- Can we implement Hansen condition variables using Hoare semantics?
- Hoare using Hansen?
- Can we implement semaphores using condition variables?
- Can we implement condition variables using semaphores?

Hansen vs. Hoare semantics

Hansen

- Signal puts waiter on ready list
- Signaller keeps lock and processor

Hoare

- Signal gives processor and lock to waiter
- When waiter finishes, processor/lock given back to signaller
- Nested signals possible!

Bounded Buffer (Hansen)

```
put(item) {
 get() {
  lock.acquire();
                                    lock.acquire();
  while (front == last)
                                    while ((last – front) == size)
    empty.wait(lock);
                                     full.wait(lock);
  item = buf[front % size]
                                    buf[last % size] = item;
  front++;
                                    last++;
  full.signal(lock);
                                    empty.signal(lock);
  lock.release();
                                    lock.release();
  return item;
Initially: front = last = 0; size is buffer capacity
empty/full are condition variables
```

Pre/Post Conditions

- What is state of the bounded buffer at lock acquire?
 - front <= last</pre>
 - front + buffer size >= last
 - (also true on return from wait)
- Also true at lock release!
- Allows for proof of correctness

FIFO Bounded Buffer (Hoare semantics)

```
put(item) {
 get() {
  lock.acquire();
                                    lock.acquire();
                                    if ((last – front) == size)
  if (front == last)
    empty.wait(lock);
                                     full.wait(lock);
  item = buf[front % size];
                                    buf[last % size] = item;
  front++;
                                    last++;
  full.signal(lock);
                                    empty.signal(lock);
  lock.release();
                                   // CAREFUL: someone else ran
                                    lock.release();
  return item;
Initially: front = last = 0; size is buffer capacity
empty/full are condition variables
```

FIFO Bounded Buffer (Hansen semantics)

- Create a condition variable for every waiter
- Queue condition variables (in FIFO order)
- Signal picks the front of the queue to wake up
- CAREFUL if spurious wakeups!

- Easily extends to case where queue is LIFO, priority, priority donation, ...
 - With Hoare semantics, not as easy

FIFO Bounded Buffer (Hansen, put() is similar)

```
item = buf[front % size]
get() {
lock.acquire();
                                  front++;
if (front == last) or
                                  if (!nextPut.empty())
  nextGet.notEmpty() {
                                    nextPut.first()->signal(lock);
  self = new Condition;
                                  lock.release();
  nextGet.Append(self);
                                  return item;
  while (front == last)
    self.wait(lock);
  nextGet.Remove(self);
  delete self;
```

Initially: front = last = 0; size is buffer capacity nextGet, nextPut are queues of Condition Variables

Semaphores

- Semaphore has a non-negative integer value
 - P() atomically waits for value to become > 0, then decrements
 - V() atomically increments value (waking up waiter if needed)
- Semaphores are like integers except:
 - Only operations are P and V
 - Operations are atomic
 - If value is 1, two P's will result in value 0 and one waiter
- Semaphores are useful for
 - Unlocked wait: interrupt handler, fork/join

Semaphore Bounded Buffer

```
put(item) {
 get() {
                                  full.P();
  empty.P();
  mutex.P();
                                  mutex.P();
  item = buf[front % size]
                                  buf[last % size] = item;
  front++;
                                  last++;
  mutex.V();
                                  mutex.V();
  full.V();
                                  empty.V();
  return item;
Initially: front = last = 0; size is buffer capacity
empty/full are semaphores
```

Implementing Condition Variables using Semaphores (Take 1)

```
wait(lock) {
 lock.release();
 sem.P();
 lock.acquire();
signal() {
 sem.V();
```

Implementing Condition Variables using Semaphores (Take 2)

```
wait(lock) {
 lock.release();
 sem.P();
 lock.acquire();
signal() {
 if semaphore is not empty
   sem.V();
```

Implementing Condition Variables using Semaphores (Take 3)

```
wait(lock) {
 sem = new Semaphore;
 queue.Append(sem); // queue of waiting threads
 lock.release();
 sem.P();
 lock.acquire();
signal() {
 if !queue.Empty()
  sem = queue.Remove();
  sem.V(); // wake up waiter
```