

CSE 451: Operating Systems
Winter 2007

Module 13
Secondary Storage

Ed Lazowska
lazowska@cs.washington.edu
Allen Center 570

Secondary storage


- Secondary storage typically:
 - is anything that is outside of "primary memory"
 - does not permit direct execution of instructions or data retrieval via machine load/store instructions
- Characteristics:
 - it's large: 50-1000GB
 - it's cheap: \$0.25/GB
 - it's persistent: data survives power loss
 - it's slow: milliseconds to access
 - why is this slow??
 - it *does* fail, if rarely

2/12/2007

© 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan

2

Another trip down memory lane ...



IBM 2314
About the size of
6 refrigerators
8 x 29MB (M!)

2/12/2007

© 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan

3

Disk trends

- Disk capacity, 1975-1989
 - doubled every 3+ years
 - 25% improvement each year
 - factor of 10 every decade
 - Still exponential, but far less rapid than processor performance
- Disk capacity since 1990
 - doubling every 12 months
 - 100% improvement each year
 - factor of 1000 every decade
 - 10x as fast as processor performance!

2/12/2007

© 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan

4


- Only a few years ago, we purchased disks by the megabyte (and it hurt!)
- Today, 1 GB (a billion bytes) costs ~~\$1~~ ~~\$0.50~~ ~~\$0.25~~ from Dell (except you have to buy in increments of 40 ~~8Q~~ ~~250~~ GB)
 - => 1 TB costs ~~\$1K~~ ~~\$500~~ ~~\$250~~, 1 PB costs ~~\$1M~~ ~~\$500K~~ ~~\$250K~~

2/12/2007

© 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan

5

Memory hierarchy





- Each level acts as a cache of lower levels


2/12/2007

© 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan

6



- ### Disks and the OS
- Disks are messy, messy devices
 - errors, bad blocks, missed seeks, etc.
 - Job of OS is to hide this mess from higher-level software
 - low-level device drivers (initiate a disk read, etc.)
 - higher-level abstractions (files, databases, etc.)
 - OS may provide different levels of disk access to different clients
 - physical disk block (surface, cylinder, sector)
 - disk logical block (disk block #)
 - file logical (filename, block or record or byte #)
- 2/12/2007 © 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan 9



- ### Disk performance
- Performance depends on a number of steps
 - **seek**: moving the disk arm to the correct cylinder
 - depends on how fast disk arm can move
 - seek times aren't diminishing very quickly (why?)
 - **rotation (latency)**: waiting for the sector to rotate under head
 - depends on rotation rate of disk
 - rates are increasing, but slowly (why?)
 - **transfer**: transferring data from surface into disk controller, and from there sending it back to host
 - depends on density of bytes on disk
 - increasing, relatively quickly
 - When the OS uses the disk, it tries to minimize the cost of all of these steps
 - particularly seeks and rotation
- 2/12/2007 © 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan 11

- ### Performance via disk layout
- OS may increase file block size in order to reduce seeking
 - OS may seek to co-locate "related" items in order to reduce seeking
 - blocks of the same file
 - data and metadata for a file
- 2/12/2007 © 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan 12

Performance via caching, pre-fetching

- Keep data or metadata in memory to reduce physical disk access
 - problem?
- If file access is sequential, fetch blocks into memory before requested

2/12/2007

© 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan

13

Performance via disk scheduling

- Seeks are very expensive, so the OS attempts to schedule disk requests that are queued waiting for the disk
 - FCFS (do nothing)
 - reasonable when load is low
 - long waiting time for long request queues
 - SSTF (shortest seek time first)
 - minimize arm movement (seek time), maximize request rate
 - unfairly favors middle blocks
 - SCAN (elevator algorithm)
 - service requests in one direction until done, then reverse
 - skews wait times non-uniformly (why?)
 - C-SCAN
 - like scan, but only go in one direction (typewriter)
 - uniform wait times

2/12/2007

© 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan

14

Interacting with disks

- In the old days...
 - OS would have to specify cylinder #, sector #, surface #, transfer size
 - i.e., OS needs to know all of the disk parameters
- Modern disks are even more complicated
 - not all sectors are the same size, sectors are remapped, ...
 - disk provides a higher-level interface, e.g., SCSI
 - exports data as a logical array of blocks [0 ... N]
 - maps **logical blocks** to cylinder/surface/sector
 - OS only needs to name logical block #, disk maps this to cylinder/surface/sector
 - on-board cache
 - as a result, physical parameters are hidden from OS
 - both good and bad

2/12/2007

© 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan

15

Example disk characteristics

- IBM Ultrastar 36XP drive
 - form factor: 3.5"
 - capacity: 36.4 GB (150x those 6 fridges!)
 - rotation rate: 7,200 RPM (120 RPS)
 - platters: 10
 - surfaces: 20
 - sector size: 512-732 bytes (why?)
 - cylinders: 11,494
 - cache: 4MB
 - transfer rate: 17.9 MB/s (inner) – 28.9 MB/s (outer) (why?)
 - full seek: 14.5 ms
 - head switch: 0.3 ms



2/12/2007

© 2007 Gribble, Lazowska, Levy, Zahorjan

16