An Introduction to Programming with Java Threads Andrew Whitaker University of Washington 9/13/2006

This document provides a brief introduction to programming with threads in Java. I presume familiarity with the concepts described by Andrew Birrell in: *An Introduction to Programming with Threads*. Readers are advised to first consult Birrell's excellent introduction to threads.

Thread Creation

There are two ways to create a thread in Java. The first technique is to sub-class the Thread class. The Thread.start method launches the new thread. The thread will execute the user-provided run method.

```
public class MyThread extends Thread {
   public void run () {
        // body of thread goes here
   }
   public static void main (String [] args) {
      Thread t = new MyThread();
      t.start(); // launch the new thread
   }
}
```

The second technique is to implement the Runnable interface. The Runnable interface is more flexible because it allows the body of a thread to reside in any class (not simply those that sub-class Thread).

An instance of Runnable can be used to instantiate a new Thread object, as shown below:

```
public class MyRunnable implements Runnable {
    public void run () {
        // body of thread goes here
    }
}
public class SomeOtherClass {
    public void someMethod() {
        Runnable r = new MyRunnable();
        Thread t = new Thread(r);
        t.start();
    }
}
```

A common mistake is to invoke Thread.run instead of Thread.start. This **does not** create a new thread; it simply invokes the run method.

```
Thread t = new MyThread();
// Don't do this!!! Always call start() instead
t.run();
```

Sometimes, you will see threads defined with *inner classes* (a class within a class). This is syntactic sugar, but it can make your code smaller and easier to read.

```
Thread t = new Thread (new Runnable () {
    public void run () {
        // do something in the thread body
    }
});
```

Regardless of how it is defined, a Java thread terminates when its run method exits or returns. There is no safe way for one thread to force another thread to terminate.

Mutual Exclusion

Java does not use a special Mutex type. Instead, every Java object can serve as a lock (I use the term "lock" and "mutex" interchangeably). The lock associated with an object is sometimes called an "intrinsic lock".

The synchronized keyword provides access to Java's mutual exclusion facility. synchronized can be used in two ways: synchronized methods and free-standing synchronized blocks.

```
public class MyClass {
   private Object foo = new Object();
   public synchronized void myMethod () {
      // The intrinsic lock for this object is held throughout this method
   }
   public void anotherMethod () {
      synchronized (this) {
         // The intrinsic lock for this object is held during
         // this block
      }
      synchronized (foo) {
         // The intrinsic lock for the "foo" object is held
         // throughout this block
      }
   }
}
```

In addition to a per-object lock, Java also provides a per-class lock. This provides mutual exclusion for static methods (*static* methods do not require an object instance of the class; therefore, the per-object lock isn't very useful).

Java locks are *re-entrant*. Thus, the following code is valid:

```
public class MyClass {
   public synchronized void foo () {
        // do something
   }
   public synchronized void bar () {
      bar(); // this call is OK -- it won't deadlock
   }
}
```

Condition Variables

Java does not use a special condition variable type. Instead, every object can act as a condition variable. Java.Object contains the methods wait, notify, and notifyAll, which are analogous to Modula-2's wait, signal, and broadcast methods (everybody's gotta be different...).

In Java, all condition variable operations require holding the object's intrinsic lock (by using the synchronized keyword). Invoking the wait operation has the effect of releasing the condition variable's associated lock.

Java's condition variables are subject to *spurious wakeups*. That is, the condition is not guaranteed to be true when wait returns. In practice, this is dealt with by always using a while loop instead of an if for the condition test (see example below).

Java's wait operation throws an InterruptedException. This allows one thread to interrupt the flow of execution of another thread. Annoyingly, all wait invocations must catch this exception, even for programs that do no make use of the interruption facility.

```
// A very simple condition variable example: one thread produces a string;
// the other thread consumes the string
import java.util.List;
import java.util.LinkedList;
class Consumer extends Thread {
   private List list;
  private Object conditionVariable;
   public Consumer (List list,Object condVar) {
      this.list = list;
      this.conditionVariable = condVar;
   }
   public void run () {
      // We must grab the lock to perform a condition variable operation
      synchronized (conditionVariable) {
         // This must be a while statement to catch spurious wakeups
         while (list.isEmpty()) {
            try {
               conditionVariable.wait();
            }
            catch (InterruptedException ie) {
               System.err.println("Unexpected exception: "+ ie);
            }
         }
         System.out.println("Consuming object: " + list.remove(0));
      }
   }
}
class Producer extends Thread {
   private List list;
  private Object conditionVariable;
  public Producer (List list, Object condVar) {
      this.list = list;
      this.conditionVariable = condVar;
   }
   public void run () {
      // we must hold the lock to perform condition variable operations
      synchronized (conditionVariable) {
         list.add("Hello world");
         // wake somebody up...
         conditionVariable.notify();
      }
   }
}
```

```
public class ProducerConsumer {
   public static void main (String [] args) {
      Object condVar = new Object();
      List list = new LinkedList();
      Thread consumer = new Consumer(list, condVar);
      consumer.start();
      Thread producer = new Producer(list, condVar);
      producer.start();
   }
}
```

Thread Interruption

Java contains a thread "interruption" facility, which is similar to the Modula-2 alert facility. See Sun's documentation (Google java.lang.Thread) for details.

Atomic Primitives

Java 1.5 contains a set of classes for performing atomic operations on primitive types (AtomicInteger, AtomicBoolean, AtomicLong, etc.). The operations on these classes are thread-safe -- you can use them instead of locks for operations on primitive types.

```
import java.util.concurrent.atomic.AtomicInteger;
public class Foo {
    // use this instead of int x = 0;
    private final AtomicInteger x = new AtomicInteger(0);
    // thread-safe version of ++x; no locks required
    public int incrementX () {
       return x.incrementAndGet();
    }
}
```