CSE 451: Operating Systems Spring 2002

Lecture 1 **Course Introduction** and History

Brian Bershad bershad@cs.washington. 319 Sieg Hall Office Hourse: W,Th: 9:30-10:30

CSE 451

- In this class we will learn:
 - what are the major components to most OSs?
 - how are the components structured?
 - what are the most important (common?) interfaces?
 - what policies are typically used in an OS?
 - what algorithms are used to implement policies?

4/26/2002 © 2001 Bershad

Today's agenda

- Administrivia
- The Really Big Picture
 - An OS at 50,000 feet
 - 13 Rules for Understanding Nearly Everything
- Some History
 - batch systems, multiprogramming, time shared OS's
 - PCs, networked computers

4/26/2002 © 2001 Bershad

Course overview

- All of what you need to know will come from lectures, section and the homeworks.
- Some of what you need to know will be on the course web page: http://www.cs.washington.edu/451
- Supplemental material is in the course text book
 - Operating System Concepts, 6th Edition. Silberschatz, Galvin and
 - Gagne.

 Available at Amazon. Or try to buy/borrow from someone last quarter.
- Short, weekly, quizzes will be given in section Do well on these and you'll do well on the exams.
- Zero tolerance for cheating
- "Special" admits need to see Crystal Eney in the undergrad advising office

4/26/2002 © 2001 Bershad

What is an Operating System?

- · An operating system (OS) is:
 - a software layer to abstract away and manage details of
 - a set of utilities to simplify application development

Applications os Hardware

- "all the code you didn't write" in order to implement your application

4/26/2002

© 2001 Bershad

The OS and Hardware

- An OS mediates programs' access to hardware resources
 - Computation (CPU)
 - Volatile storage (memory) and persistent storage (disk, etc.)
 - Network communications (TCP/IP stacks, ethernet cards, etc.)
 - Input/output devices (keyboard, display, sound card, etc.)
- The OS abstracts hardware into logical resources and well-defined interfaces to those resources
 - processes (CPU, memory)
 - files (disk)
 - programs (sequences of instructions)
 - sockets (network)

4/26/2002 © 2001 Bershad

Why bother with an OS?

- · Application benefits
 - programming simplicity
 - see high-level abstractions (files) instead of low-level hardware details (device registers)
 - abstractions are reusable across many programs
 - portability (across machine configurations or architectures)
 - · device independence: 3Com card or Intel card?
- · User benefits
 - safety
 - program "sees" own virtual machine, thinks it owns computer
 - OS protects programs from each other
 - OS fairly multiplexes resources across programs
 - efficiency (cost and speed)
 - · share one computer across many users
 - concurrent execution of multiple programs

© 2001 Bershad 4/26/2002

The Major OS Issues

- structure: how is the OS organized?

- sharing: how are resources shared across users?
 naming: how are resources named (by users or programs)?
 security: how is the integrity of the OS and its resources ensured?
- protection: how is one user/program protected from another?
- performance: how do we make it all go fast?
 reliability: what happens if something goes wrong (either with hardware or with
- a program)?
 extensibility: can we add new features?
- communication: how do programs exchange information, including across a network?
- concurrency: how are parallel activities (computation and I/O) created and controlled?
- scale: what happens as demands or resources increase?

- persistence: how do you make data last longer than program executions? distribution: how do multiple computers interact with each other? accounting: how do we keep track of resource usage, and perhaps charge for it?

© 2001 Bershad 4/26/2002

A Few Rules for Understanding **Nearly Everything**

- 1. Always think about the "system" part of an operating system
- Good analogies found in real life systems
- 2. Technology changes everything
 - Look for the inflection points!
 - Stemming from, or leading to, the "killer app"
 - Generally, someone's trying to MAKE money or SAVE money by doing something differently
- 3. Most designers are not stupid, but priorities do
- Choose 2 of [Soon, Good, Cheap.]
- 4. Three things people tend to forget:
 - Testability, Usability, Manageability.

4/26/2002 @ 2001 Rerehad

Rules - Continued

- 5. Code and Data are a matter of perspective.
- MECHANISM and POLICY are generally separable
- Simple solutions are generally better than complex ones.

 "Simple and good enough" almost always more attractive than optimal.
 - Always consider the "Do nothing" option.
 - Static solutions are generally simpler than dynamic ones
- 8. In general, the future is unknowable, except in retrospect
 - It's a good bet though that it looks like the past
- Laziness in the presence of uncertainty is generally rewarded

 But punished in its absence
- 10. Often, all it takes is the the right level of indirection.
- 11. Space and time are interchangeable
- 12. 2x rarely matters, 10x almost always does
- 13. SCALABILITY separates the good from the great

4/26/2002 © 2001 Rerehad

A Brief History of Computing

4/26/2002 © 2001 Bershad 11

Inflection Point #0: 19th Century · Machines can do things faster than people **Business Number Crunching** Technology: 1880-1920 Adders + (and sometimes -) mechanical devices slow, clunky Calculators mechanical devices slow, clunky, \$\$ Tabulators electronic and mechanical popular in the insurance indus w/employers CTR 4/26/2002 © 2001 Bershad

Automatic Calculators: "Bigger Must be Better"

The Bush Differential Analyzer

- Built in 1931
- Analog and Decimal

 poor accuracy -- 1%
- Big & Slow
- Only one of them



In the very beginning...

- There was no OS and there was no program.
- Just levers, computation, and output (think Big Calculator)
- Problem: Really Hard to Use

4/26/2002 © 2001 Bershad

Iowa in 1937



John Vincent Atanasoff (A math & physics guy)

In the treatment of many mathematical problems one requires the solution of systems of linear simultaneous algebraic equations.

-Curve fitting.

-Method of least squares.

-Vibration problems including the vibrational Raman effect.

- ·Electrical circuit analysis.
- Analysis of elastic structures.

 Approximate solution of many problems of elasticity.

 Approximate solution of problems of quantum
- mechanics.

 •Perturbation theories of mechanics, astronomy and the

quantum theory.

4/26/2002


13

Key Technical Ideas

- · Binary rather than decimal
- · Vacuum tubes for logic rather than storage
- Inexpensive rotating capacitors for (cheap) temporary storage
- · Punch cards for input/output

4/26/2002

© 2001 Bershad



John Berry (Grad Student) With the **ABC Computer**



© 2001 Bershad

4/26/2002

What Happened to Atanasoff?

- In 1940, asks for 5K from Iowa Research Council to build a commercial-grade machine
 - he's turned down
- · He meets John Mauchly
 - physicist from U Penn
- · But forgets to have him sign the NDA
- WWII starts
 - By 1942, Atanasoff resigns from Iowa State to join the war

4/26/2002

© 2001 Bershad

3

The First "Killer" App



- Projectile
- trajectories 5 days per trajectory using a mechanical calculator
- 30 minutes using BDA

 - not accurate enough
 and still takes one month/table
- John Mauchly opportunity

© 2001 Bershad 4/26/2002

19

21

The ENIAC



- Started in 1942 Completed in 1945
- Key stats
 - \$500K 30 tons
 - 1800 square feet
 - 19000 vacuum tubes
 - 175 Kw/power 5000 ops/second
- Followed 2 years later by EDVAC.
 - Stored Program

Electronic Numerical Integrator and Computer

4/26/2002

20

IPs Leading to EDVAC

- IP #1: Application of Dynamic RAM at any cost (Vacuum tubes)

 - Computers can now execute code against data
 Could now have a "PROGRAM" that could be loaded quickly and
 - · programs were loaded in their entirety into memory, and executed OS was just a library of code that you linked into your program
 - By using the Hollerith Card (Old Technology Reapplied) (~1952) computers can run a *BATCH* of programs
 - Led to "Batch Processing Languages" which told OS about the
 - programs

 OS loaded the next job into memory from the card reader

 job gets executed

 output is printed, including a dump of memory (why?)

 repeat...
- Problem:card readers and line printers were very slow
 - so CPU (most \$\$ part of system) was idle much of the time (wastes \$\$)

4/26/2002 © 2001 Bershad

1947-1952: The UNIVAC



- E&M's first commercial computer
 - used mag tape, courtesy of the Nazis WWII was a Big Inflection Point
- \$1M dev costs
- Sold to Census Bureau for \$150K
- The company was undercapitalized and needed cash to build
 - No problem finding customers.
 - They couldn't deliver.

4/26/2002 © 2001 Rerehad 22

The UNIVAC Invented Spooling

- IP#3: Tapes, which are faster than mechanical readers and printers
 - Spool (Simultaneous Peripheral Operation On-Line)
 - · while one job is executing, spool next job from card reader onto
 - slow card reader I/O is overlapped with CPU
- Problem: Tapes are sequential → can only run the "next" program.

4/26/2002 © 2001 Bershad 23

IBM Invents The Disk in 1956

- •IP#4: Disks, which allow Random Access to Storage
 - -Run multiple programs at "once" by swapping image to disk
 - •OS must choose which to run next when current ends, or performs I/O (eg, fetch data from disk)
 - •job scheduling. EARLY POLICY/MECHANISM SEPARATION EXAMPLE -Problem: CPU still idle during I/O



First computer disk storage system. The 305 RAMAC (Random Access Method of Accounting and Control) could store five million characters (five megabytes) of data on 50 disks, each 24 inches in diameter. RAMAC's revolutionary disks, each 24 inches in diameter. RAMMC's revolutionary recording head could go directly to any location on a disk surface without reading the information in between. This IBM innovation made it possible to use computers for airline reservations, automated banking, medical diagnosis, and space flights.

© 2001 Bershad

Multiprogramming by 1960

- IP#5: Big, Cheap Memory, to keep multiple programs in core at the same time
 - Again, to increase system utilization
 - keeps multiple runnable jobs loaded in memory at once

 - overlaps I/O of a job with computing of another

 while one job waits for I/O completion, OS runs instructions from another job

 - to benefit, need asynchronous I/O devices

 need some way to know when devices are done

 interrupts

 polling
 - goal: optimize system throughput
 perhaps at the cost of response time.
- Yielded the invention of the "PROCESS" a program which is executing in memory
- Problem: Computer is NOT interactive

© 2001 Bershad 4/26/2002

Timesharing by the mid-60s

- IP#6: Even cheaper memory, modems, and inexpensive CRTs, allowing multiple users to "interact" with the computer at the same time
 - To support interactive use, create a timesharing OS:
 - · multiple terminals into one machine
 - each user has illusion of entire machine to him/herself
 - optimize response time, perhaps at the cost of throughput

 - Timeslicing
 divide CPU equally among the users
 - if job is truly interactive (e.g. editor), then can jump between programs and users faster than users can generate load
 - permits users to interactively view, edit, debug running programs (why does this matter?)
 - MIT Multics system (mid-1960's) was the first large timeshared system (based on the CTSS for the IBM 7094 from 1961)

 - nearly all OS concepts can be traced back to Multics
 UNIX is just a simpler MULTICS
 Core of Mac OS X, Linux, etc.
- Problem: The computer ran faster at night

26

The Multics System





25

@ 2001 Bershad

Last one decommisioned in 2000

4/26/2002

1968: Honeywell 645

1975: Honeywell 6180

4/26/2002

Personal and Distributed Computing by the 1970s

- IP#7: Plummeting cost of silicon and networking allows everyone to have their own computer
 - Memory was under a penny/bit
 - "Share everything but the time"
 - distributed systems using geographically distributed resources
 - workstations on a LAN
 - · servers across the Internet
 - OS supports communications between jobs
 - interprocess communication
 - · networking stacks
 - OS supports sharing of distributed resources (hardware, software)
 - load balancing, authentication and access control, ...
 - speedup isn't the issue
 - access to diversity of resources is goal
- · Problem: there's never a time that the machine runs faster

© 2001 Rerehad 28

XEROX ALTO -- 1972





27

First personal workstation First wide deployment of:
•Bit-map graphics

Mouse
 WYSIWG editing
 ded the invention of:
 Local-area networking

Laser printing
 All of modern client / server distributed computing

4/26/2002

© 2001 Bershad

< \$50K

Parallel Systems by the 80's

- IP#8: High Speed Interconnects allow multiple processors to cooperate on a single program
 - Some applications can be written as multiple parallel threads or processes
 - can speed up the execution by running multiple threads/processes simultaneously on multiple CPUs
 - need OS and language primitives for dividing program into multiple parallel activities
 - need OS primitives for fast communication between activities
 - degree of speedup dictated by communication/computation ratio
 - many flavors of parallel computers
 - SMPs (symmetric multi-processors) · MPPs (massively parallel processors)
 - NOWs (networks of workstations)
 - · computational grid (SETI @home)

4/26/2002

© 2001 Bershad

30

Internet by the 90s

- IP#9: WEB, HTTP, TCP at Scale
 - TCP+HTTP+Web Browser has changed the way that the world looks at computing
 - BUT, there have been relatively few Operating System Advances to support this
 - The internet was functional by the 70s
 - Mostly, it's been a time of leveraging the last 50 years to deploy MASSIVELY SCALABLE SYSTEMS

© 2001 Bershad 4/26/2002 31

Ubiquitous Computing in the 21st Century

- IP#10: Massive miniaturization and integration of computers + Wireless

 - Ubiquitous computing
 cheap processors embedded everywhere and anywhere
 - how many are on your body now? in your car?
 - cell phones, PDAs, network computers, ..
 - Typically very constrained hardware resources
 - (relatively) slow processors
 - very small amount of memory (e.g. 8 MB)
 - OS researchers are working in this area today to understand what we'll be using tomorrow.

4/26/2002 © 2001 Bershad 32