

Natural Language Processing

Syntactic parsing

Yulia Tsvetkov

yuliats@cs.washington.edu

1



Yulia Tsvetkov







Dependency representation



- A dependency structure can be defined as a directed graph G, consisting of
 - a set V of nodes vertices, words, punctuation, morphemes
 - a set A of arcs directed edges,
 - a linear precedence order < on V (word order).

Labeled graphs

- nodes in V are labeled with word forms (and annotation).
- arcs in A are labeled with dependency types
- $L = \{l_1, \ldots, l_{|L|}\}$ is the set of permissible arc labels;
- Every arc in A is a triple (i,j,k), representing a dependency from w_i to w_j with label l_k .



- Dependency structures explicitly represent
 - head-dependent relations (directed arcs),
 - functional categories (arc labels)
 - possibly some structural categories (parts of speech)
- Phrase (aka constituent) structures explicitly represent
 - phrases (nonterminal nodes),
 - structural categories (nonterminal labels)

Cou

Dependency vs Constituency trees







I prefer the morning flight through Denver

Я предпочитаю утренний перелет через Денвер



I prefer the morning flight through Denver

Я предпочитаю утренний перелет через Денвер Я предпочитаю через Денвер утренний перелет Утренний перелет я предпочитаю через Денвер Перелет утренний я предпочитаю через Денвер Через Денвер я предпочитаю утренний перелет Я через Денвер предпочитаю утренний перелет







Types of relationships



- The clausal relations NSUBJ and DOBJ identify the arguments: the subject and direct object of the predicate *cancel*
- The NMOD, DET, and CASE relations denote modifiers of the nouns *flights* and *Houston*.



Grammatical functions

Clausal Argument Relations	Description
NSUBJ	Nominal subject
DOBJ	Direct object
IOBJ	Indirect object
ССОМР	Clausal complement
ХСОМР	Open clausal complement
Nominal Modifier Relations	Description
NMOD	Nominal modifier
AMOD	Adjectival modifier
NUMMOD	Numeric modifier
APPOS	Appositional modifier
DET	Determiner
CASE	Prepositions, postpositions and other case markers
Other Notable Relations	Description
CONJ	Conjunct
СС	Coordinating conjunction

Figure 13.2 Selected dependency relations from the Universal Dependency set. (de Marneffe et al., 2014)



Dependency Constraints



- Syntactic structure is complete (connectedness)
 - connectedness can be enforced by adding a special root node
- Syntactic structure is hierarchical (acyclicity)
 - there is a unique pass from the root to each vertex
- Every word has at most one syntactic head (single-head constraint)
 - except root that does not have incoming arcs

This makes the dependencies a tree



Projectivity

- Projective parse
 - arcs don't cross each other
 - mostly true for English
- Non-projective structures are needed to account for
 - long-distance dependencies
 - flexible word order





- Dependency grammars do not normally assume that all dependency-trees are projective, because some linguistic phenomena can only be achieved using non-projective trees.
- But a lot of parsers assume that the output trees are projective
- Reasons
 - conversion from constituency to dependency
 - the most widely used families of parsing algorithms impose projectivity



Non-Projective Statistics

Arabic: 11.2 % Bulgarian: 5.4 % Chinese: 0.0 % Czech: 23.2 % Danish: 15.6 % Dutch: 36.4 % German: 27.8 % Japanese: 5.3 % Polish: 18.9 % Slovene: 22.2 % Spanish 1.7 % Swedish: 9.8 % Turkish: 11.6 % English: 0.0% (SD: 0.1%)



The parsing problem for a dependency parser is to find the optimal dependency tree **y** given an input sentence **x**

This amounts to assigning a syntactic head *i* and a label *I* to every node *j* corresponding to a word \mathbf{x}_j in such a way that the resulting graph is a tree rooted at the node 0



 This is equivalent to finding a spanning tree in the complete graph containing all possible arcs





- Transition based
 - greedy choice of local transitions guided by a good classifier
 - deterministic
 - MaltParser (Nivre et al. 2008)
- Graph based
 - Minimum Spanning Tree for a sentence
 - McDonald et al.'s (2005) MSTParser
 - Martins et al.'s (2009) Turbo Parser



- greedy discriminative dependency parser
- motivated by a stack-based approach called shift-reduce parsing originally developed for analyzing programming languages (Aho & Ullman, 1972).
- Nivre 2003



Configuration



Figure 13.5 Basic transition-based parser. The parser examines the top two elements of the stack and selects an action based on consulting an oracle that examines the current configuration.









Reduce left





Configuration:

• Stack, Buffer, Oracle, Set of dependency relations

Operations by a classifier at each step:

- Shift
 - remove w1 from the buffer, add it to the top of the stack as s1
- LeftArc or Reduce left
 - assert a head-dependent relation between s1 and s2 (s1 \rightarrow s2)
 - remove s2 from the stack
- RightArc or Reduce right
 - assert a head-dependent relation between s2 and s1 (s2 \rightarrow s1)
 - remove s1 from the stack



 $C_{\text{initial}} = ([\text{ROOT}], \boldsymbol{w}, \emptyset)$

Book me the morning flight

Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added





Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]		
	[root]	[root] [book, me, the, morning, flight]	[root] [book, me, the, morning, flight]





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]		
1.1				





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]		





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]		





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]		





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
4	[root, book, the]	[morning, flight]		





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
4	[root, book, the]	[morning, flight]	SHIFT	





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
4	[root, book, the]	[morning, flight]	SHIFT	
5	[root, book, the, morning]	[flight]		





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
4	[root, book, the]	[morning, flight]	SHIFT	
5	[root, book, the, morning]	[flight]	SHIFT	





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
4	[root, book, the]	[morning, flight]	SHIFT	
5	[root, book, the, morning]	[flight]	SHIFT	
6	[root, book, the, morning, flight]	0		





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
4	[root, book, the]	[morning, flight]	SHIFT	
5	[root, book, the, norning]	[flight]	SHIFT	
6	[root, book, the, morning, flight]		LEFTARC	(morning \leftarrow flight)





Book me the morning flight

Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
4	[root, book, the]	[morning, flight]	SHIFT	
5	[root, book, the, morning]	[flight]	SHIFT	
6	[root, book, the, morning_flight]		LEFTARC	(morning \leftarrow flight)
7	[root, book, the, flight]	[]	LEFTARC	(the \leftarrow flight)





Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
4	[root, book, the]	[morning, flight]	SHIFT	
5	[root, book, the, morning]	[flight]	SHIFT	
6	[root, book, the, morning, flight]	0	LEFTARC	$(morning \leftarrow flight)$
7	[root, book, the flight]	0	LEFTARC	$(\text{the} \leftarrow \text{flight})$
8	[root, book, flight]		RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow flight)$





Step	Stack	Stack Word List		Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
4	[root, book, the]	[morning, flight]	SHIFT	
5	[root, book, the, morning]	[flight]	SHIFT	
6	[root, book, the, morning, flight]		LEFTARC	$(morning \leftarrow flight)$
7	[root, book, the, flight]	0	LEFTARC	$(\text{the} \leftarrow \text{flight})$
8	[root, book sight]	[]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow flight)$
9	[root, book]	[]	RIGHTARC	$(root \rightarrow book)$



	(B	C	accept = ($[ROOT], \varnothing, A)$
Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
1	[root, book]	[me, the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, me]	[the, morning, flight]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow me)$
3	[root, book]	[the, morning, flight]	SHIFT	
4	[root, book, the]	[morning, flight]	SHIFT	
5	[root, book, the, morning]	[flight]	SHIFT	
6	[root, book, the, morning, flight]	0	LEFTARC	(morning \leftarrow flight)
7	[root, book, the, flight]	0	LEFTARC	(the \leftarrow flight)
8	[root, book, flight]	0	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow flight)$
9	[root, book]	0	RIGHTARC	(root \rightarrow book)
10	[root]	0	Done	



Configuration:

Stack, Buffer, Oracle, Set of dependency relations

Operations by a classifier at each step:

Complexity?

- Shift
 - remove w1 from the buffer, add it to the top of the stack as s1
- LeftArc or Reduce left
 - assert a head-dependent relation between Oracle decisions can
 - remove s2 from the stack
- RightArc or Reduce right
 - assert a head-dependent relation between s2 and s1
 - remove s1 from the stack

correspond to unlabeled or labeled arcs



- Oracle is a supervised classifier that learns a function from the configuration to the next operation
- How to extract the training set?



Training an Oracle

- How to extract the training set?
 - if LeftArc \rightarrow LeftArc
 - if RightArc



Book the flight through Houston

- if s1 dependents have been processed \rightarrow RightArc
- else \rightarrow Shift



Training an Oracle

- How to extract the training set?
 - if LeftArc \rightarrow LeftArc
 - if RightArc



Book the flight through Houston

- if s1 dependents have been processed \rightarrow RightArc
- else \rightarrow Shift

Step	Stack	Word List	Predicted Action
0	[root]	[book, the, flight, through, houston]	SHIFT
1	[root, book]	[the, flight, through, houston]	SHIFT
2	[root, book, the]	[flight, through, houston]	SHIFT
3	[root, book, the, flight]	[through, houston]	LEFTARC
4	[root, book, flight]	[through, houston]	SHIFT
5	[root, book, flight, through]	[houston]	SHIFT
6	[root, book, flight, through, houston]		LEFTARC
7	[root, book, flight, houston]	0	RIGHTARC
8	[root, book, flight]	[]	RIGHTARC
9	[root, book]	Π	RIGHTARC



- Oracle is a supervised classifier that learns a function from the configuration to the next operation
- How to extract the training set?
 - if LeftArc \rightarrow LeftArc
 - if RightArc
 - if s1 dependents have been processed \rightarrow RightArc
 - else \rightarrow Shift
- What features to use?



Features

- POS, word-forms, lemmas on the stack/buffer
- morphological features for some languages
- previous relations
- conjunction features (e.g. Zhang&Clark'08; Huang&Sagae'10; Zhang&Nivre'11)

Source	Feature templates		
One word	<i>s</i> ₁ . <i>w</i>	<i>s</i> ₁ . <i>t</i>	s ₁ . <i>wt</i>
	<i>s</i> ₂ . <i>w</i>	<i>s</i> ₂ . <i>t</i>	<i>s</i> ₂ . <i>wt</i>
	$b_1.w$	$b_1.w$	$b_0.wt$
Two word	$s_1.w \circ s_2.w$	$s_1.t \circ s_2.t$	$s_1.t \circ b_1.w$
	$s_1.t \circ s_2.wt$	$s_1.w \circ s_2.w \circ s_2.t$	$s_1.w \circ s_1.t \circ s_2.t$
	$s_1.w \circ s_1.t \circ s_2.t$	$s_1.w \circ s_1.t$	

 $\langle s_1.w = flights, op = shift \rangle$ $\langle s_2.w = canceled, op = shift \rangle$ $\langle s_1.t = NNS, op = shift \rangle$ $\langle s_2.t = VBD, op = shift \rangle$ $\langle b_1.w = to, op = shift \rangle$ $\langle b_1.t = TO, op = shift \rangle$ $\langle s_1.wt = flightsNNS, op = shift \rangle$ $\langle s_1.t \circ s_2.t = NNSVBD, op = shift \rangle$



Learning

- Before 2014: SVMs,
- After 2014: Neural Nets



Chen & Manning 2014



Slides by Danqi Chen & Chris Manning









Chen & Manning 2014

Features

- s1, s2, s3, b1, b2, b3
- leftmost/rightmost children of s1 and s2
- leftmost/rightmost grandchildren of s1 and s2
- POS tags for the above
- arc labels for children/grandchildren





#correct dependencies

 $\# of \ dependencies$

- LAS labeled attachment score
- UAS unlabeled attachment score



Parser	UAS	LAS	sent. / s
MaltParser	89.8	87.2	469
MSTParser	91.4	88.1	10
TurboParser	92.3*	89.6*	8
C & M 2014	92.0	89.7	654



Follow-up

Method	UAS	LAS (PTB WSJ SD 3.3
Chen & Manning 2014	92.0	89.7
Weiss et al. 2015	93.99	92.05
Andor et al. 2016	94.61	92.79



Transition-Based Dependency Parsing with Stack Long Short-Term Memory





Arc-Eager version



- LEFTARC: Assert a head-dependent relation between s1 and b1; pop the stack.
- RIGHTARC: Assert a head-dependent relation between s1 and b1; shift b1 to be s1.
- SHIFT: Remove b1 and push it to be s1.
- REDUCE: Pop the stack.



Arc-Eager

Step	Stack	Word List	Action	Relation Added
0	[root]	[book, the, flight, through, houston]	RIGHTARC	$(root \rightarrow book)$
1	[root, book	[the, flight, through, houston]	SHIFT	
2	[root, book, the]	[flight, through, houston]	LEFTARC	(the \leftarrow flight)
3	[root, book]	[flight, through, houston]	RIGHTARC	$(book \rightarrow flight)$
4	[root, book, flight]	[through, houston]	SHIFT	
5	[root, book, flight, through]	[houston]	LEFTARC	$(through \leftarrow houston)$
6	[root, book, flight]	[houston]	RIGHTARC	(flight \rightarrow houston)
7	[root, book, flight, houston]		REDUCE	
8	[root, book, flight]		REDUCE	
9	[root, book]		REDUCE	
10	[root]	Π	Done	



- Transition based
 - greedy choice of local transitions guided by a good classifier
 - deterministic
 - MaltParser (Nivre et al. 2008), Stack LSTM (Dyer et al. 2015)
- Graph based
 - Minimum Spanning Tree for a sentence
 - non-projective
 - globally optimized
 - McDonald et al.'s (2005) MSTParser
 - Martins et al.'s (2009) Turbo Parser



Summary

- Transition-based
 - + Fast
 - + Rich features of context
 - Greedy decoding
- Graph-based
 - + Exact or close to exact decoding
 - Weaker features

Well-engineered versions of the approaches achieve comparable accuracy (on English), but make different errors

 \rightarrow combining the strategies results in a substantial boost in performance