

Section 07: Kernels

Let $\phi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be a feature map, define K as the kernel function, and define G to be the kernel matrix of ϕ .

- (a) The kernel matrix is symmetric, that is, show $G_{i,j} = G_{j,i}$.
- (b) The kernel matrix G is positive semi-definite, that is, for any column vector x , $x^\top G x \geq 0$.
- (c) Mercer's theorem: A function $K: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a valid kernel if and only if the corresponding kernel matrix G is symmetric and positive definite.

1. Kernelized Linear Regression

Recall that the definition of a kernel is the following:

Definition 1. A function $K: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *kernel* for a map ϕ if $K(x, x') = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(x') = \langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle$ for all x, x' .

Consider regularized linear regression (without a bias, for simplicity). Our objective to find the optimal parameters $\hat{w} = \arg \min_w L(w)$ for a dataset $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$ that minimize the following loss function:

$$L(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n (w^\top x_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda \|w\|_2^2$$

Note that from class, we know there is an optimal $\hat{w}$ that lies in the span of the datapoints. Concretely, there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\hat{w} = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i$. Also recall from lecture that the expression of our loss function $L(w)$ in terms of the kernel is:

$$L(w) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{K}\alpha\|_2^2 + \lambda \alpha^\top \mathbf{K}\alpha$$

This derivation can be seen here on slide 53.

- (a) Solve for the optimal $\hat{\alpha}$.

- (b) Let us assume that we were using a linear kernel where $\mathbf{K}_{ij} = x_i^T x_j$. Suppose we have $\mathbf{X}_{\text{test}}$ that we want to make prediction for after training on $\mathbf{X}_{\text{train}}$. Express the estimate $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}$ in terms of $\mathbf{K}_{\text{train}} = \mathbf{X}_{\text{train}} \mathbf{X}_{\text{train}}^T$, $\mathbf{y}_{\text{train}}$, $\mathbf{X}_{\text{train}}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{\text{test}}$. What would the general prediction formula look like if we are not using a linear kernel? Express the solution in terms of $\mathbf{K}_{\text{train, test}}$

2. Proving $\hat{w} \in \text{Span}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

We will prove this through contradiction. Assume $\hat{w} \notin \text{span}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ solves $\arg \min_w L(w)$. Then, there exists a component of $\hat{w}$ that is perpendicular to the span, which we will call $w^\perp$. Concretely,

$$\hat{w} = \bar{w} + w^\perp$$

Where $\bar{w} = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i$ is the component of $\hat{w}$ in the span of the datapoints.

To show that $w^\perp$ is part of our optimal parameters, we need to consider both the error term and the regularization term of $L(w)$. Since $\bar{w}$ and $w^\perp$ are perpendicular to each other, their contribution to $L(w)$ can be minimized independently. Let us split the error and regularization terms into their $\bar{w}$ and $w^\perp$ components.

- (a) First, we will find the optimal hyperparameter selection for the error term of our loss function in terms of $\bar{w}$ and $w^\perp$. Show that $\hat{w} \cdot x_i = \bar{w} \cdot x_i$, for every x_i . (Hint: what is the relationship of $w^\perp$ and x_i)

- (b) We have shown that for the optimal solution, the error term relies only on $\text{Span}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Let us find the regularization term in terms of $\bar{w}$ and $w^\perp$ and the range of values it can take. Now, show that $\|\hat{w}\|_2^2 \geq \|\bar{w}\|_2^2$.

- (c) We now know the minimum value of the regularization term and what it is equal to with respect to $\hat{w}$ and $w^\perp$. Finally, show that $\hat{w} \in \text{Span}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. (Hint: Think about the regularization term. What is $w^\perp$ when the regularization term is minimized?)