


Recurrent Neural Networks



Sequence Data



检测语言 英语 中文 德语

中文 (简体) 英语 日语

Deep learning is a popular area in AI.

深度学习是AI的热门领域。

Shēndù xuéxí shì AI de rènmén lǐngyù.

38 / 5000

🔊 🗣️ 📄 ✎️ 🔗

State-Space Model


Hidden Markov Model

t : index for time

- h_t : hidden state
- X_t : input
- Y_t : output
- $Y_t, h_t = f(h_{t-1}, X_t; \theta)$
- h_{-1} : initial state


f : model

$$h_{-1} = 0$$




Recurrent Neural Network

- h_t : hidden state
- X_t : input
- Y_t : output
- $Y_t, h_t = f(h_{t-1}, X_t; \theta)$
- h_{-1} : initial state




Fully-connect NN vs. RNN

- h_t : a vector summarizes all past inputs (a.k.a. "memory")
- h_{-1} affects the entire dynamics (typically set to zero)
- X_t affects all the outputs and states after t
- Y_t depends on X_0, \dots, X_t




Recurrent Neural Network

- h_t : hidden state
- X_t : input
- Y_t : output
- $Y_t, h_t = f(h_{t-1}, X_t; \theta)$
- h_{-1} : initial state




Fully-connect NN vs. RNN

- RNN can be viewed as repeated applying fully-connected NNs
- $h_t = \sigma_1(W^{(1)}X_t + W^{(11)}h_{t-1} + b^{(1)})$
- $Y_t = \sigma_2(W^{(2)}h_t + b^{(2)})$
- σ_1, σ_2 are activation functions (sigmoid, ReLU, tanh, etc)




Recurrent Neural Network



Stack K layers of fully-connected NN

- $h_t^{(k)}$: hidden state
- X_t : input
- Y_t : output
- $h_t^{(1)} = f_1^{(1)}(h_{t-1}^{(1)}, X_t; \theta)$
- $h_t^{(k)} = f_1^{(k)}(h_{t-1}^{(k)}, h_t^{(k-1)}; \theta)$
- $Y_t = f_2(h_t^{(K)}; \theta)$
- $h_{-1}^{(k)}$: initial states



Extensions


What if Y_t depends on the entire inputs?

T : length of sequence

- Bidirectional RNN:

- AN RNN for forward dependencies: $t=0, \dots, T$
- An RNN for backward dependencies: $t=T, \dots, 0$


- $Y_t = f_2(h_t^f, h_t^b; \theta)$



Extensions


RNN for sequence classification (sentiment analysis)

- $Y = \max_t Y_t$
- Cross-entropy loss



Preserve Long-Term Memory

- Difficult for RNN to preserve long-term memory
 - The hidden state h_t is constantly being written (short-term memory)
 - Use a separate cell to maintain long-term memory





Long Short-Term Memory Network

LSTM (Hochreiter & Schmidhuber, '97)

- RNN architecture for learning long-term dependencies
- σ : layer with sigmoid activation

$$a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$$


$$a \otimes b = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 b_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n b_n \end{pmatrix}$$



Long Short-Term Memory Network

LSTM (Hochreiter & Schmidhuber, '97)

- Core idea: maintain separate state h_t and cell c_t (memory)
- h_t : full update every step
- c_t : only *partially* update through gates
 - σ layer outputs importance ($[0,1]$) for each entry and only modify those entries of c_t



Long Short-Term Memory Network

Forget gate f_t



• f_t outputs whether we want to “forget” things in c_t

• Compute $c_{t-1} \otimes f_t$ (element-wise)

• $f_t(i) \rightarrow 0$: want to forget $c_t(i)$

• $f_t(i) \rightarrow 1$: we want to keep the information in $c_t(i)$

$$(c_{t-1}(i), f_t(i) \rightarrow \begin{matrix} (-0, 1) \\ (-0, 1) \end{matrix})$$





$$f_t = \sigma(W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f)$$

$[0, 1]$

Long Short-Term Memory Network

Input gate i_t

- i_t extracts useful information from X_t to update memory
 - \tilde{C}_t : information from X_t to update memory
 - i_t : which dimension in the memory should be updated by X_t
 - $i_t(j) \rightarrow 1$: we want to use the information in $\tilde{C}_t(j)$ to update memory
 - $i_t(t) \rightarrow 0$: $\tilde{C}_t(j)$ should not contribute to memory




$$\begin{cases}
 i_t = \sigma(W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i) \\
 \tilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C)
 \end{cases}$$

Long Short-Term Memory Network

Memory update


- $c_t = f_t \otimes c_{t-1} + i_t \otimes \tilde{c}_t$
- f_t forget gate; i_t input gate
- $f_t \otimes c_{t-1}$: drop useless information in old memory
- $i_t \otimes \tilde{c}_t$: add selected new information from current input



Long Short-Term Memory Network

Output gate o_t

- Next hidden state $h_t = o_t \odot \tanh(c_t)$
 - $\tanh(c_t)$: non-linear transformation over all past information
 - o_t : choose important dimensions for the next state
 - $o_t(j) \rightarrow 1$: $\tanh(c_t(j))$ is important for the next state
 - $o_t(j) \rightarrow 0$: $\tanh(c_t(j))$ is not important




$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

$$o_t = \sigma(W_o [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o)$$

$$h_t = o_t * \tanh(c_t)$$

Long Short-Term Memory Network

- $h_t = o_t \odot \tanh(c_t)$
- $c_t = f_t \odot c_{t-1} + i_t \odot \tilde{c}_t$
- $Y_t = g(h_t)$



Remarks:


1. No more matrix multiplications for c_t
2. LSTM does not have guarantees for gradient explosion/vanishing
3. LSTM is the dominant architecture for sequence modeling from '13 - '16.
4. Why tanh

$$\tanh(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}$$

LSTM Variant

Peephole Connections (Gers & Schmidhuber '00)

- Allow gates to take in c_t information



$$f_t = \sigma(W_f \cdot [C_{t-1}, h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f)$$


$$i_t = \sigma(W_i \cdot [C_{t-1}, h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i)$$

$$o_t = \sigma(W_o \cdot [C_t, h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o)$$

LSTM Variant

Simplified LSTM

- Assume $i_t = 1 - f_t$
- Only two gates are needed: fewer parameters




$$C_t = f_t * C_{t-1} + (1 - f_t) * \tilde{C}_t$$

LSTM Variant

Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU, Cho et al. '14)

- Merge h_t and c_t : much fewer parameters



$$z_t = \sigma(W_z \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t])$$

$$r_t = \sigma(W_r \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t])$$


$$\tilde{h}_t = \tanh(W \cdot [r_t * h_{t-1}, x_t])$$

$$h_t = (1 - z_t) * h_{t-1} + z_t * \tilde{h}_t$$

LSTM application: language model

word at t -th position

- Autoregressive language model: $P(X; \theta) = \prod_{t=1}^L P(X_t | X_{i < t}; \theta)$
 - X : a sentence
 - Sequential generation
- LSTM language model
 - X_t : word at position t .
 - Y_t : softmax over all words
- Data: a collection of texts:
 - Wiki



Attention Mechanism

W

Machine Translation

- Before 2014: Statistical Machine Translation (SMT)
 - Extremely complex systems that require massive human efforts
 - Separately designed components
 - A lot of feature engineering
 - Lots of linguistic domain knowledge and expertise

- Before 2016:
 - Google Translate is based on statistical machine learning


- What happened in 2014?
 - Neural machine translation (NMT)

Sequence to Sequence Model

- Neural Machine Translation (NMT)
 - Learning to translate via a **single end-to-end** neural network.
 - Source language sentence X , target language sentence $Y = f(X; \theta)$
- Sequence to Sequence Model (Seq2Seq, Sutskever et al. , '14)
 - Two RNNs: f_{enc} and f_{dec}
 - Encoder f_{enc} :
 - Takes X as input, and output the initial hidden state for decoder
 - Can use bidirectional RNN
 - Decoder f_{dec} :
 - It takes in the hidden state from f_{enc} to generate Y
 - Can use autoregressive language model

Sequence to Sequence Model

The sequence-to-sequence model



Encoding of the source sentence.
Provides initial hidden state
for Decoder RNN.

Encoder RNN

il a m' entarté

Source sentence (input)

Target sentence (output)

he hit me with a pie <END>
argmax
<START> he hit me with a pie

Decoder RNN


Decoder RNN is a Language Model that generates target sentence, *conditioned on encoding*.

Encoder RNN produces an **encoding** of the source sentence.

Note: This diagram shows **test time** behavior: decoder output is fed in **.as.** next step's input

Training Sequence to Sequence Model


- Collect a huge paired dataset and train it end-to-end via BPTT
- Loss induced by MLE $P(Y|X) = P(Y|f_{enc}(X))$



Seq2seq is optimized as a **single system**. Backpropagation operates "end-to-end".


Deep Sequence to Sequence Model

- Stacked seq2seq model





Machine Translation

- 2016: Google switched Google Translate from SMT to NMT




Alignment

- Alignment: the word-level correspondence between X and Y
- Can have complex long-term dependencies




many-to-many alignment



phrase alignment

Issue in Seq2Seq

- Alignment: the word-level correspondence between X and Y
 - The information bottleneck due to the hidden state h
 - We want each Y_t to also focus on some X_i that it is aligned with



Seq2Seq with Attention

X_t : word at t -th position
 h_t : hidden state for target language

- NMT by jointly learning to align and translate (Bahdanau, Cho, Bengio, '15) *sentence's*
- Core idea:

- When decoding Y_t , consider both hidden states and alignment:

- Hidden state: $h_t = f_{dec}(Y_{i < t})$
- Alignment: connect to a portion of X

- When portion of X to focus on?


- Learn a softmax weight over X : attention distribution P_{att}
- $P_{att}(X_i | h_t)$: how much attention to put on word X_i

- Attention output $h_{att} = \sum_i f_{enc}(X_i | X_{j < i}) \cdot P_{att}(X_i | h_{t-1})$


- Use h_{t-1} and h_{att} to compute Y_t

t -th word


Seq2Seq with Attention




Seq2Seq with Attention




Seq2Seq with Attention




Seq2Seq with Attention




Seq2Seq with Attention




Seq2Seq with Attention




Seq2Seq with Attention




Seq2Seq with Attention




Seq2Seq with Attention



Seq2Seq with Attention



Seq2Seq with Attention



Seq2Seq with Attention

Summary

- Input sequence X , encoder f_{enc} , and decoder f_{dec}
- $f_{enc}(X)$ produces hidden states $h_1^{enc}, h_2^{enc}, \dots, h_N^{enc}$
- On time step t , we have decoder hidden state h_t
- Compute attention score $e_i = h_t^\top h_i^{enc}$
- Compute attention distribution $\alpha_i = P_{att}(X_i) = \text{softmax}(e_i)$
- Attention output: $h_{att}^{enc} = \sum_i \alpha_i h_i^{enc}$
- $Y_t \sim g(h_t, h_{att}^{enc}; \theta)$
 - Sample an output using both h_t and h_{att}^{enc}

Attention

- It significantly improves NMT.
- It solves the bottleneck problem and the long-term dependency issue.
- Also helps gradient vanishing problem.
- Provides some interpretability
 - Understanding which word the RNN encoder focuses on
- Attention is a general technique
 - Given a set of vector values V_i and vector query q
 - Attention computes a weighted sum of values depending on q

	he	hit	me	with	a	pie
il	black	light	light	light	light	light
a	light	dark	light	light	light	light
m'	light	light	dark	light	light	light
entarté	light	dark	light	black	black	black

Other use cases:


- Attention can be viewed as a module.
- In encoder and decoder (more on this later)
- A representation of a set of points
 - Pointer network (Vinyals, Forunato, Jaitly '15)
 - Deep Sets (Zaheer et al., '17)
- Convolutional neural networks
 - To include non-local information in CNN (Non-local network, '18)

Attention

- Representation learning:
 - A method to obtain a fixed representation corresponding to a query q from an arbitrary set of representations $\{V_i\}$
 - Attention distribution: $\alpha_i = \text{softmax}(f(v_i, q))$
 - Attention output: $v_{att} = \sum_i \alpha_i v_i$
- Attention variant: $f(v_i, q)$
 - Multiplicative attention: $f(v_i, q) = q^\top W h_i$, W is a weight matrix
 - Additive attention: $f(v_i, q) = u^\top \tanh(W_1 v_i + W_2 q)$

Key-query-value attention

- Obtain q_t, v_t, k_t from X_t
- $q_t = W^q X_t; v_t = W^v X_t; k_t = W^k X_t$ (position encoding omitted)
 - W^q, W^v, W^k are learnable weight matrices
- $\alpha_{i,j} = \text{softmax}(q_i^\top k_j); \text{out}_i = \sum_k \alpha_{i,j} v_j$
- Intuition: key, query, and value can focus on different parts of input



Attention is all you need (Vaswani '17)

- A pure attention-based architecture for sequence modeling

- No RNN at all!

- Basic component: self-attention, $Y = f_{SA}(X; \theta)$

- X_t uses attention on entire X sequence

- Y_t computed from X_t and the attention output

- Computing Y_t

- Key k_t , value v_t , query q_t from X_t



- $(k_t, v_t, q_t) = g_1(X_t; \theta)$

- Attention distribution $\alpha_{t,j} = \text{softmax}(q_t^\top k_j)$

- Attention output $out_t = \sum_j \alpha_{t,j} v_j$

- $Y_t = \underbrace{g_2(out_t; \theta)}_{FC}$

FC



Issues of Vanilla Self-Attention

- Attention is order-invariant
- Lack of non-linearities
 - All the weights are simple weighted average
- Capability of autoregressive modeling
 - In generation tasks, the model cannot “look at the future”
 - e.g. Text generation:
 - Y_t can only depend on $X_{i < t}$
 - But vanilla self-attention requires the entire sequence

Position Encoding

- Vanilla self-attention

- $(k_t, v_t, q_t) = g_1(X_t; \theta)$

- $\alpha_{t,j} = \text{softmax}(q_t^\top k_j)$

- Attention output $out_t = \sum_j \alpha_{t,j} v_j$

- Idea: position encoding:

- p_i : an embedding vector (feature) of position i

- $(k_t, v_t, q_t) = g_1([X_t, p_t]; \theta)$

$i \rightarrow P_t$
MV

- In practice: Additive is sufficient: $k_t \leftarrow \tilde{k}_t + p_t, q_t \leftarrow \tilde{q}_t + p_t, v_t \leftarrow \tilde{v}_t + p_t$;

- $(\tilde{k}_t, \tilde{v}_t, \tilde{q}_t) = g_1(X_t; \theta)$



- p_t is only included in the first layer

Position Encoding

p_t design 1: Sinusoidal position representation

- Pros:
 - simple
 - naturally models “relative position”
 - Easily applied to long sequences
- Cons:
 - Not learnable
 - Generalization poorly to sequences longer than training data

$$p_i = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(i/10000^{2*1/d}) \\ \cos(i/10000^{2*1/d}) \\ \vdots \\ \sin(i/10000^{2*\frac{d}{2}/d}) \\ \cos(i/10000^{2*\frac{d}{2}/d}) \end{pmatrix}$$



Heatmap of $p_i^T p_j$


Position Encoding

p_t design 2: Learned representation

- Assume maximum length L , learn a matrix $p \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times T}$, p_t is a column of p
- Pros:
 - Flexible
 - Learnable and more powerful
- Cons:
 - Need to assume a fixed maximum length L
 - Does not work at all for length above L

Combine Self-Attention with Nonlinearity



- Vanilla self-attention
 - No element-wise activation (e.g., ReLU, tanh)
 - Only weighted average and softmax operator
- Fix:
 - Add an MLP to process out_i
 - $m_i = MLP(out_i) = W_2 \text{ReLU}(W_1 out_i + b_1) + b_2$
 - Usually do not put activation layer before softmax



Masked Attention


pointwise product

- In language model decoder: $P(Y_t | X_{i < t})$
 - out_t cannot look at future $X_{i > t}$
- Masked attention
 - Compute $e_{i,j} = q_i^\top k_j$ as usual
 - Mask out $e_{i>j}$ by setting $e_{i>j} = -\infty$
 - $e \odot (1 - M) \leftarrow -\infty$
 - M is a fixed 0/1 mask matrix
 - Then compute $\alpha_j = \text{softmax}(e_j)$
 - Remarks:
 - $M = 1$ for full self-attention
 - Set M for arbitrary dependency ordering




Transformer

Transformer-based sequence-to-sequence modeling



Key-query-value attention

- Obtain q_t, v_t, k_t from X_t
- $q_t = W^q X_t; v_t = W^v X_t; k_t = W^k X_t$ (position encoding omitted)
 - W^q, W^v, W^k are learnable weight matrices
- $\alpha_{i,j} = \text{softmax}(q_i^\top k_j); \text{out}_i = \sum_k \alpha_{i,j} v_j$
- Intuition: key, query, and value can focus on different parts of input



Multi-headed attention

- Standard attention: single-headed attention

- $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^d, Q, K, V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$

- We only look at a single position j with high $\alpha_{i,j}$

- What if we want to look at different j for different reasons?

- Idea: define h separate attention heads

- h different attention distributions, keys, values, and queries


- $Q^\ell, K^\ell, V^\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times \frac{d}{h}}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq h$

- $\alpha_{i,j}^\ell = \text{softmax}((q_i^\ell)^\top k_j^\ell); \text{out}_i^\ell = \sum_j \alpha_{i,j}^\ell v_j^\ell$


Q_1, Q_2
 XQ_1, XQ_2

#Params Unchanged!

Single-head attention
(just the query matrix)




Multi-head attention
(just two heads here)



Multi-headed attention


- Standard attention: single-headed attention
 - $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^d, Q, K, V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$
 - We only look at a single position j with high $\alpha_{i,j}$
 - What if we want to look at different j for different reasons?
- Idea: define h separate attention heads
 - h different attention distributions, keys, values, and queries
 - $Q^\ell, K^\ell, V^\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times \frac{d}{h}}$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq h$
 - $\alpha_{i,j}^\ell = \text{softmax}((q_i^\ell)^\top k_j^\ell); out_i^\ell = \sum_j \alpha_{i,j}^\ell v_j^\ell$



Transformer

Transformer-based sequence-to-sequence model

- Basic building blocks: self-attention
 - Position encoding
 - Post-processing MLP
 - Attention mask
- Enhancements:
 - Key-query-value attention
 - Multi-headed attention
 - Architecture modifications:
 - Residual connection
 - Layer normalization



Transformer

Machine translation with transformer


Model	BLEU		Training Cost (FLOPs)	
	EN-DE	EN-FR	EN-DE	EN-FR
ByteNet [18]	23.75			
Deep-Att + PosUnk [39]		39.2		$1.0 \cdot 10^{20}$
GNMT + RL [38]	24.6	39.92	$2.3 \cdot 10^{19}$	$1.4 \cdot 10^{20}$
ConvS2S [9]	25.16	40.46	$9.6 \cdot 10^{18}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{20}$
MoE [32]	26.03	40.56	$2.0 \cdot 10^{19}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{20}$
Deep-Att + PosUnk Ensemble [39]		40.4		$8.0 \cdot 10^{20}$
GNMT + RL Ensemble [38]	26.30	41.16	$1.8 \cdot 10^{20}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{21}$
ConvS2S Ensemble [9]	26.36	41.29	$7.7 \cdot 10^{19}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{21}$
Transformer (base model)	27.3	38.1	$3.3 \cdot 10^{18}$	
Transformer (big)	28.4	41.8	$2.3 \cdot 10^{19}$	

Transformer

- Limitations of transformer: Quadratic computation cost
 - Linear for RNNs
 - Large cost for large sequence length, e.g., $L > 10^4$
- Follow-ups:
 - Large-scale training: transformer-XL; XL-net ('20)
 - Projection tricks to $O(L)$: Linformer ('20)
 - Math tricks to $O(L)$: Performer ('20)
 - Sparse interactions: Big Bird ('20)
 - Deeper transformers: DeepNet ('22)

Transformer for Images

- Vision Transformer ('21)
 - Decompose an image to 16x16 patches and then apply transformer encoder



Transformer for Images

- Swin Transformer ('21)
 - Build hierarchical feature maps at different resolution
 - Self-attention only within each block
 - Shifted block partitions to encode information between blocks








Figure 2. An illustration of the *shifted window* approach for com-


CNN vs. RNN vs. Attention









Summary

- Language model & sequence to sequence model:
 - Fundamental ideas and methods for sequence modeling
- Attention mechanism
 - So far the most successful idea for sequence data in deep learning
 - A scale/order-invariant representation
 - Transformer: a fully attention-based architecture for sequence data
 - Transformer + Pretraining: the core idea in today's NLP tasks
- LSTM is still useful in lightweight scenarios

Other architectures



Graph Neural Networks




arbitrary ordering of nodes


Graph Neural Networks

permutation-equivariant


$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}^T) = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A})$$




Geometric Deep Learning



Perceptrons
Function regularity




CNNs
Translation



Group-CNNs
Translation+Rotation



DeepSets / Transformers
Permutation



GNNs
Permutation



Intrinsic CNNs
Local frame choice