Machine Learning (CSE 446): Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

Sham M Kakade

© 2019

University of Washington cse446-staff@cs.washington.edu

Announcements

- ► Weds is the final.
- ► One page of notes.
- ► List of topics posted tomorrow.

Class results with random Fourier features, HW3, Q7



▲ロト ▲掃 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト 一 ヨ … の Q ()

Image Generation

These are computer generated images from the "bigGAN".



Figure 1: Class-conditional samples generated by our model.

< □ > < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > 差 の Q (~ 2/14

Classification

- SPAM detection.
- ► x is an email.
- Suppose Q(x) is the distribution over true emails
- Suppose G(x) is spammer's generative distribution over emails.
- usual supervised learning: make a dataset of (x, y) pairs, say with 50% labeled true and 50% spam.
- ▶ suppose $\Pr_{\theta}(Y = 1|X) = D_{\theta}(X)$ is our model's probably of that Y = 1, true email. ↓ between \bigcirc and 2.

Building Our Classifier

Maximum likelihood is:

$$\min_{\theta} \mathbb{E} \log \Pr_{\theta}(Y = 1|X)$$

.....

• every θ corresponds to some model D.

$$\max_{\theta} \mathbb{E} \log \Pr_{\theta}(Y = 1|X) = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$$



▶ If the spam detector *D* was fixed, then our spammer wants to:



• The spammer wants to make the discriminator D's likelihood $\frac{1}{2\pi c}$

We have a game:

- Let's think more abstractly: D is procedure to spot fake images, G is a procedure to generate images.
- The game can be viewed as:

$$\min_{G} \max_{D} L(G, D) =$$
$$\min_{G} \max_{D} \left(\mathbb{E}_{x \sim Q}[\frac{1}{2} \log D(x)] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim G}[\log(1 - D(x))] \right)$$

Is this a powerful idea? Remember AD: E if we can get gradiouts Casily, the let's try to play game.



Casy



- we know how to do supervised learning
- for a given G and samples from the truth Q, we can learn D.

La crocete a labeled deteset and do binny classification.

the Generator G: R -> X Cimye Space

 $G(\vec{z})$

ZE source of randomyess

- what is a model for generating images? and how do we update G the model?
- ▶ let say $z \sim N(0, \mathbb{I})$ where I is a $d \times d$ matrix. ▶ a network: $\sim n \sim 10^{-1} \text{ (d)}$



Learning for (5_ Fix G, update D. \frown easy: just supervised learning. ▶ Now fix *D*. Recall: $L(G,D) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{x\sim Q}[\frac{1}{2}\log D(x)] + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{x\sim G}[\log(1-D(x))] \end{pmatrix}$ $\overset{(\mathcal{H})}{\otimes} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}_{x\sim Q}[\frac{1}{2}\log D(x)] + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{z\sim \text{Normal}(0,\mathbb{I})}[\log(1-D(G(z)))] \end{pmatrix}$ • We can estimate this loss easily. Why? $Sam_{le} = 2^{ls}$. ► Update &: how? with SGD, use AD to gradients easily. < □ > < 同 > < 回 > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > < = > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <] > <]

10/14

Convergence/Comments

Does it converge?

Subtle: we are not "hill climbing" on an on an objective.

- at best, we can get to an equilibrium.
- Comparison to EM and likelihood based approaches:
 - Computing the the probability of x under G is difficult. difficulty for EM.
 - "mode collapse" with GANs sampling distribution not reflective of the truth.
- NLP generative methods do not use GANs! (better results with direct training approaches)

Whichfaceisreal.com



We can get creative

Style transfer. From the "cycleGAN"

Zebras 📿 Horses



 $zebra \rightarrow horse$



horse \rightarrow zebra

Thank you!!

Thank you for the hard work!

- ▶ Good luck on the final and have a great spring break.
- ► You have a good toolkit.
 - Please participate in the larger ML community!