Machine Learning (CSE 446): Kernel Methods

Sham M Kakade

© 2018

University of Washington cse446-staff@cs.washington.edu

Can We Have Nonlinearity and Convexity?

	expressiveness	convexity
Linear classifiers	(2)	©
Neural networks	☺	©

Can We Have Nonlinearity and Convexity?

	expressiveness	convexity
Linear classifiers	©	©
Neural networks	☺	②

Kernel methods: a family of approaches that give us nonlinear decision boundaries without giving up convexity.

Notation

Let $\mathbf{x} = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \rangle$.

Conjunctive/Product Features

See slides 23-32 in the 10/13 "practical issues" lecture.

Consider two binary features, ϕ_j and $\phi_{j'}$. A new *conjunction* feature can be defined by:

$$\phi_{j \wedge j'}(x) = \phi_j(x) \wedge \phi_{j'}(x)$$
 equivalently $x_{d+1} = x_j \wedge x_{j'}$

Conjunctive/Product Features

See slides 23-32 in the 10/13 "practical issues" lecture.

Consider two binary features, ϕ_j and $\phi_{j'}$. A new *conjunction* feature can be defined by:

$$\phi_{j \wedge j'}(x) = \phi_j(x) \wedge \phi_{j'}(x)$$
 equivalently $x_{d+1} = x_j \wedge x_{j'}$

Generalization: take the *product* of two features.

Conjunctive/Product Features

See slides 23-32 in the 10/13 "practical issues" lecture.

Consider two binary features, ϕ_j and $\phi_{j'}$. A new *conjunction* feature can be defined by:

$$\phi_{j \wedge j'}(x) = \phi_j(x) \wedge \phi_{j'}(x)$$
 equivalently $x_{d+1} = x_j \wedge x_{j'}$

Generalization: take the *product* of two features.

Bigger generalization: take all the products!

$$\begin{split} \phi(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathsf{vector}(\langle 1; \mathbf{x} \rangle \langle 1; \mathbf{x} \rangle^\top) \\ &= \langle & 1, & x_1, & x_2, & \dots, & x_d, \\ & x_1, & x_1^2, & x_1 \cdot x_2, & \dots, & x_1 \cdot x_d, \\ & x_2, & x_2 \cdot x_1, & x_2^2, & \dots, & x_2 \cdot x_d, \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & x_{d-1}, & x_{d-1} \cdot x_1, & x_{d-1} \cdot x_2, & \dots, & x_{d-1} \cdot x_d, \\ & x_d, & x_d \cdot x_1, & x_d \cdot x_2, & \dots, & x_d^2 & \rangle \end{split}$$

The Kernel Trick

Some learning algorithms, like the perceptron, can be rewritten so that the only thing you do with feature vectors is take *inner products between them*.

The Kernel Trick

Some learning algorithms, like the perceptron, can be rewritten so that the only thing you do with feature vectors is take *inner products between them*.

Note that: $\phi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{v})$

$$= 1 + x_1v_1 + x_2v_2 + \dots + x_dv_d$$

$$+ x_1v_1 + x_1^2v_1^2 + x_1x_2v_1v_2 + \dots + x_1x_dv_1v_d$$

$$+ x_2v_2 + x_2x_1v_2v_1 + x_2^2v_2^2 + \dots + x_2x_dv_2v_d$$

$$+ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$$

$$+ x_dv_d + x_dx_1v_dv_1 + x_dx_2v_dv_2 + \dots + x_d^2v_d^2$$

$$= 1 + 2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^d x_jv_j + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^d x_jx_kv_jv_k$$

$$= 1 + 2 \cdot \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2$$

$$= (1 + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v})^2$$

Kernels

A **kernel** function (implicitly) computes:

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{v})$$

for some ϕ . Typically it is *cheap* to compute $K(\cdot, \cdot)$, and we never explicitly represent $\phi(\mathbf{v})$ for any vector \mathbf{v} .

Kernels

A kernel function (implicitly) computes:

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{v})$$

for some ϕ . Typically it is *cheap* to compute $K(\cdot,\cdot)$, and we never explicitly represent $\phi(\mathbf{v})$ for any vector \mathbf{v} .

Some kernels:

quadratic
$$K^{\mathsf{quad}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v}) = (1+\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{v})^2$$
 cubic $K^{\mathsf{cubic}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v}) = (1+\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{v})^3$ polynomial $K^{\mathsf{poly}}_p(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v}) = (1+\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{v})^p$ radial basis function $K^{\mathsf{rbf}}_p(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v}) = \exp\left(-\gamma \|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{v}\|_2^2\right)$ hyperbolic tangent $\tilde{K}^{\mathsf{tanh}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v}) = \tanh(1+\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{v})$ (not a kernel) all conjunctions $K^{\mathsf{all}\;\mathsf{conj}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v}) = \prod_{j=1}^d (1+x_jv_j)$ (for binary features)

Perceptron Learning Algorithm

return \mathbf{w}, b

```
Data: D = \langle (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n) \rangle_{n=1}^N, number of epochs E
Result: weights \mathbf{w} and bias b
initialize: \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0} and \mathbf{b} = 0:
for e \in \{1, ..., E\} do
    for n \in \{1, \dots, N\}, in random order do
 end
end
```

Perceptron Representer Theorem

At every stage of learning, there exist $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N \rangle$ such that

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \cdot \mathbf{x}_n = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} \mathbf{X}$$

In other words, w is always in the span of the training data.

Perceptron Learning Algorithm (with ϕ) **Data**: $D = \langle (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n) \rangle_{n=1}^N$, number of epochs E**Result**: weights \mathbf{w} and bias \mathbf{b} initialize: $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{b} = 0$: for $e \in \{1, ..., E\}$ do for $n \in \{1, ..., N\}$, in random order do end end return \mathbf{w}, b

Prediction

$$\begin{split} \hat{y} &= \operatorname{sign} \left(\mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + b \right) \\ &= \operatorname{sign} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + b \right) \\ &= \operatorname{sign} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \cdot K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_n) + b \right) \end{split}$$

The Update

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^{(\mathsf{new})} &\leftarrow \mathbf{w}^{(\mathsf{old})} + y_n \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i^{(\mathsf{new})} \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) &\leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i^{(\mathsf{old})} \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + y_n \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \\ \sum_{i \neq n} \alpha_i^{(\mathsf{new})} \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + \alpha_n^{(\mathsf{new})} \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) &\leftarrow \sum_{i \neq n} \alpha_i^{(\mathsf{old})} \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) + (\alpha_n^{(\mathsf{old})} + y_n) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \\ \alpha_n^{(\mathsf{new})} \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) &\leftarrow (\alpha_n^{(\mathsf{old})} + y_n) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \\ \alpha_n^{(\mathsf{new})} &\leftarrow \alpha_n^{(\mathsf{new})} + y_n \end{aligned}$$

 $\phi(\mathbf{x}_n)$ is Never Explicitly Computed!

$$\begin{split} & \text{predict:} \quad \hat{y} = \text{sign}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \underline{\alpha_i} \cdot K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_n) + b\right) \\ & \text{update:} \quad \underline{\alpha_n^{(\text{new})}} \leftarrow \underline{\alpha_n^{(\text{old})}} + y_n \end{split}$$

We only calculate inner products of such vectors.

Kernelized Perceptron Learning Algorithm

```
Data: D = \langle (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n) \rangle_{n=1}^N, number of epochs E
Result: weights \alpha and bias b
initialize: \alpha = 0 and b = 0:
for e \in \{1, ..., E\} do
    for n \in \{1, ..., N\}, in random order do
  \hat{y} = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \cdot K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_n) + b\right);
end
end
return \alpha, b
```