

(An example of) The Expectation-Maximization (EM) Algorithm

*Instructor: Sham Kakade***1 An example: the problem of document clustering/topic modeling**

Suppose we have N documents x_1, \dots, x_n . Each document is of length T , and we only keep track of the word count in each document. Let us say $\text{Count}^{(n)}(w)$ is the number of times word w appeared in the n -th document.

We are interested in a “soft” grouping of the documents along with estimating a model for document generation. Let us start with a simple model.

2 A generative model for documents

For a moment, put aside the document clustering problem. Let us instead posit a (probabilistic) procedure which underlies how our documents were generated.

2.1 “Bag of words” model: a (single) topic model

Random variables: a “hidden” (or *latent*) topic $i \in \{1 \dots k\}$ and T -word outcomes w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T which take on some discrete values (these T outcomes constitute a document).

Parameters: the *mixing weights* $\pi_i = \Pr(\text{topic} = i)$, the *topics* $b_{wi} = \Pr(\text{word} = w | \text{topic} = i)$

The generative model for a T -word document, where every document is only about one topic, is specified as follows:

1. sample a topic i , which has probability π_i
2. generate T words w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T , independently. in particular, we choose word w_t as the t -th word with probability $b_{w_t i}$.

Note this generative model ignores the word order, so it is not a particularly faithful generative model.

Due to the ‘graph’ (i.e. the conditional independencies implied by the generative model procedure), we can write the *joint* probability of the outcome topic i occurring with a document containing the words w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\text{topic} = i \text{ and } w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T) &= \Pr(\text{topic} = i) \Pr(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T | \text{topic} = i) \\ &= \Pr(\text{topic} = i) \Pr(w_1 | \text{topic} = i) \Pr(w_2 | \text{topic} = i) \Pr(w_T | \text{topic} = i) \\ &= \pi_i b_{w_1 i} b_{w_2 i} \dots b_{w_T i} \end{aligned}$$

where the second to last step follows due to the fact that the words are generated independently given the topic i .

Inference

Suppose we were given a document with w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T . One *inference* question would be: what is the probability the underlying topic is i ? By Bayes rule, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\text{topic} = i | w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T) &= \frac{1}{\Pr(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T)} \Pr(\text{topic} = i \text{ and } w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \pi_i b_{w_1 i} b_{w_2 i} \dots b_{w_T i}\end{aligned}$$

where Z is a number chosen so that the probabilities sum to 1. Critically, note that Z is not a function of i .

2.2 Maximum Likelihood estimation

Given the N documents, we could estimate the parameters as follows:

$$\hat{b}, \hat{\pi} = \arg \min_{b, \pi} -\log \Pr(x_1, \dots, x_n | b, \pi)$$

How can we do this efficiently?

3 The Expectation Maximization algorithm (EM): By example

The EM algorithm is a general procedure to estimate the parameters in a model with latent (unobserved) factors. We present an example of the algorithm. *EM improves the log likelihood function at every step and will converge.* However, it may not converge to the global optima. Think of it as a more general (and probabilistic) adaptation of the K -means algorithm.

3.1 The algorithm: An example for the topic modeling case

The EM algorithm is an *alternating minimization* algorithm. We start at some initialization and then alternate between the E and M steps as follows:

Initialization:

Start with some guess \hat{b} and $\hat{\pi}$ (where the guess is not “symmetric”).

The E step:

Estimate the *posterior* probabilities, i.e. the soft assignments, of each document:

$$\widehat{Pr}(\text{topic } i | x_n) = \frac{1}{Z} \hat{\pi}_i \hat{b}_{w_1 i} \hat{b}_{w_2 i} \dots \hat{b}_{w_T i}$$

The M step:

Note that $\text{Count}^{(n)}(w)/T$ is the empirical frequency of word w in the n -th document.

Given the power probabilities (which we can view as “soft” assignments), we go back and re-estimate the topic probabilities and the mixing weights as follows

$$\hat{b}_{wi} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \widehat{Pr}(\text{topic } i | x_n) \text{Count}^{(n)}(w)/T}{\sum_{n=1}^N \widehat{Pr}(\text{topic } i | x_n)}$$

and

$$\hat{\pi}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \widehat{Pr}(\text{topic } i | x_n)$$

Now got back to the E -step.

3.2 (local) Convergence

For a general class of latent variable models — models which have unobserved random variables — we can say the following about EM:

- If the algorithm has not converged, then, after every M step, the negative log likelihood function decreases in value.
- The algorithm will converge in the limit (to some point, under mild assumptions). Unfortunately, this point may *not* be the global minima. This is related to the that the log likelihood objective function (for these latent variable models) is typically not convex.