

Database System Internals Query Execution and Algorithms

Paul G. Allen School of Computer Science and Engineering University of Washington, Seattle

January 22, 2024

CSE 444 – Query Execution


Lab 2 released, part 1 due Friday, 1/26

- Implementing Operator Algorithms
 - Select, Project, Join, Group By, Aggregate, Insert, Delete, oh my!
- We'll talk about joins in class since there are many options (but you can choose the simplest for your implementation
- Make sure to start early!

What We Have Learned So Far

- Overview of the architecture of a DBMS
- Access methods
 - Heap files, sequential files, Indexes (hash or B+ trees)
- Role of buffer manager
- Practiced the concepts in hw1 and lab1

DBMS Architecture



- Query optimization: find a good plan
- Query execution: execute the plan


We start with execution and analyze its cost. That will inform how to optimize.

SQL query transformed into physical plan

- Access path selection for each relation
- Implementation choice for each operator
- Scheduling decisions for operators:
 - Single-threaded or parallel
 - Pipelined or materialized

Operators given a limited amount of memory

Pipelined Query Execution



Memory Management


Each operator:

Pre-allocates heap space for input/output tuples


- Option 1, BP-tuples: pointers to data in buffer pool
- Option 2, M-tuples: new tuples on the heap
- Allocates memory for its internal state
 - On heap

DMBS **limits** how much memory each operator, or each query can use


BP-tuples (option 1)



BP-tuples (option 1)



M-Tuples (option 2)



Discussion

Buffer-Pool tuples (BP-tuples)

- Pros: don't copy the data (great performance)
- Cons:
 - Need to pin pages in the BP
 - Cannot compute new values: SELECT pid, price*quantity FROM ...

Heap-tuples, or memory-tuples (M-tuples)

- Pros
 - No need to pin pages (except short period why?)
 - Can represent new values: price*quanity
- Cons: data copying can degrade performance

Operator Algorithms (Quick review from 344 today & new algorithms next time)

Operator Algorithms

Design criteria

- Cost: IO, CPU, Network
- Memory utilization
- Load balance (for parallel operators)

Cost = total number of I/Os

• This is a simplification that ignores CPU, network

• Parameters:

- B(R) = # of blocks (i.e., pages) for relation R
- T(R) = # of tuples in relation R
- V(R, a) = # of distinct values of attribute a
 - When **a** is a key, $V(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) = T(\mathbf{R})$
 - When **a** is not a key, $V(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ can be anything $\leq T(\mathbf{R})$

- Cost = the cost of reading operands from disk
- Cost of writing the final result to disk is not included; need to count it separately when applicable

Outline

Join operator algorithms

- One-pass algorithms (Sec. 15.2 and 15.3)
- Index-based algorithms (Sec 15.6)
- Two-pass algorithms (Sec 15.4 and 15.5)
- Note about readings:
 - In class, we discuss only algorithms for joins
 - Other operators are easier: book has extra details

Join Algorithms

- Hash join
- Nested loop join
- Sort-merge join

Hash Join

Hash join: R ⋈ S

- Scan R, build buckets in main memory
- Then scan S and join
- Cost: B(R) + B(S)
- One-pass algorithm when $B(R) \leq M$


Note: the *inner* relation is the relation on which we build the hash table

- Usually this is the <u>right</u> relation, i.e. S.
- But the following slides choose the <u>left</u> relation, i.e. R


Patient(pid, name, address) Insurance(pid, provider, policy_nb)

Patient \bowtie Insurance


Two tuples per page




Hash Join Example




Step 1: Scan Patient and build hash table in memoryCan be done in
method open()Memory M = 21 pagesHash h: pid % 5




Step 2: Scan Insurance and probe into hash table Done during Memory M = 21 pages




Step 2: Scan Insurance and probe into hash table Done during Memory M = 21 pages



Step 2: Scan Insurance and probe into hash table Done during Memory M = 21 pages



Step 2: Scan Insurance and probe into hash table



Discussion

- Hash-join is the workhorse of database systems
- The hash table is built on the heap, not in BP; hence it is not organized in pages, but pages are still convenient to think about it
- Hash-join works great when:
 - The inner table fits in main memory
 - The hash function is good (never write your own!)
 - The data has no skew (discuss in class...)

Nested Loop Joins

- Tuple-based nested loop R ⋈ S
- R is the outer relation, S is the inner relation

 $\begin{array}{l} \hline \mbox{for each tuple } t_1 \mbox{ in } R \ \mbox{do} \\ \hline \mbox{for each tuple } t_2 \ \mbox{in } S \ \mbox{do} \\ \hline \mbox{if } t_1 \ \mbox{and } t_2 \ \mbox{join } \ \mbox{then} \ \mbox{output } (t_1,t_2) \end{array}$

Nested Loop Joins

- Tuple-based nested loop R ⋈ S
- R is the outer relation, S is the inner relation

for each tuple t₁ in R <u>do</u> for each tuple t₂ in S <u>do</u> if t₁ and t₂ join <u>then</u> output (t₁,t₂)


- Cost: B(R) + T(R) B(S)
- Multiple-pass since S is read many times

for each page of tuples r in R do for each page of tuples s in S do for all pairs of tuples t_1 in r, t_2 in s if t_1 and t_2 join then output (t_1 , t_2)


for each page of tuples r in R do for each page of tuples s in S do for all pairs of tuples t_1 in r, t_2 in s if t_1 and t_2 join then output (t_1 , t_2)

Cost: B(R) + B(R)B(S)


Page-at-a-time Refinement



Page-at-a-time Refinement



Page-at-a-time Refinement





January 22, 2024


Block-Memory Refinement


for each group of M-1 pages r in R do for each page of tuples s in S do for all pairs of tuples t_1 in r, t_2 in s if t_1 and t_2 join then output (t_1, t_2)


Block Memory Refinement




















for each group of M-1 pages r in R do for each page of tuples s in S do for all pairs of tuples t_1 in r, t_2 in s if t_1 and t_2 join then output (t_1, t_2)

What is the Cost

for each group of M-1 pages r in R do for each page of tuples s in S do for all pairs of tuples t_1 in r, t_2 in s if t_1 and t_2 join then output (t_1, t_2)

Cost: B(R) + B(R)B(S)/(M-1)

What is the Cost

- $R \bowtie S$: R=outer table, S=inner table
- Tuple-based nested loop join is never used
- Page-at-a-time nested loop join:
 - Usually combined with index access to inner table
 - Efficient when the outer table is small
- Block memory refinement nested loop
 - Usually builds a hash table on the outer table
 - Efficient when the outer table is small


Sort-Merge Join

Sort-merge join: $R \bowtie S$


- Scan R and sort in main memory
- Scan S and sort in main memory
- Merge R and S
- Cost: B(R) + B(S)
- One pass algorithm when B(S) + B(R) <= M</p>
- Typically, this is NOT a one pass algorithm,
 Wo'll see the multipass version past lecture
 - We'll see the multi-pass version next lecture


Step 1: Scan Patient and sort in memory

Memory M = 21 pages




Step 2: Scan Insurance and sort in memory







January 22, 2024

Step 3: Merge Patient and Insurance






Step 3: Merge Patient and Insurance



Keep going until end of first relation

Memory M = 21 pages



Outline

Join operator algorithms

- One-pass algorithms (Sec. 15.2 and 15.3)
- Index-based algorithms (Sec 15.6)
- Two-pass algorithms (Sec 15.4 and 15.5)

Selection on equality: $\sigma_{a=v}(R)$

- B(R) = size of R in blocks
- T(R) = number of tuples in R
- V(R, a) = # of distinct values of attribute a

Selection on equality: $\sigma_{a=v}(R)$

- B(R) = size of R in blocks
- T(R) = number of tuples in R
- V(R, a) = # of distinct values of attribute a

What is the cost in each case?

- Clustered index on a:
- Unclustered index on a:

Selection on equality: $\sigma_{q=v}(R)$

- B(R) = size of R in blocks
- T(R) = number of tuples in R
- V(R, a) = # of distinct values of attribute a

What is the cost in each case?

- Clustered index on a: B(R)/V(R,a)
- Unclustered index on a: T(R)/V(R,a)

Selection on equality: $\sigma_{a=v}(R)$

- B(R) = size of R in blocks
- T(R) = number of tuples in R
- V(R, a) = # of distinct values of attribute a

What is the cost in each case?

- Clustered index on a: B(R)/V(R,a)
- Unclustered index on a: T(R)/V(R,a)

Note: we ignore I/O cost for index pages

Example:

cost of
$$\sigma_{a=v}(R) = ?$$

- Table scan:
- Index based selection:

cost of
$$\sigma_{a=v}(R) = ?$$

- Table scan: B(R) = 2,000 I/Os
- Index based selection:

Example:

cost of $\sigma_{a=v}(R) = ?$

- Table scan: B(R) = 2,000 I/Os
- Index based selection:
 - If index is clustered:
 - If index is unclustered:

Example:

cost of
$$\sigma_{a=v}(R) = ?$$

- Table scan: B(R) = 2,000 I/Os
- Index based selection:
 - If index is clustered: B(R)/V(R,a) = 100 I/Os
 - If index is unclustered:

Example:

cost of
$$\sigma_{a=v}(R) = ?$$

- Table scan: B(R) = 2,000 I/Os
- Index based selection:
 - If index is clustered: B(R)/V(R,a) = 100 I/Os
 - If index is unclustered: T(R)/V(R,a) = 5,000 I/Os

Example:

cost of
$$\sigma_{a=v}(R) = ?$$

- Table scan: B(R) = 2,000 I/Os
- Index based selection:
 - If index is clustered: B(R)/V(R,a) = 100 I/Os
 - If index is unclustered: T(R)/V(R,a) = 5,000 I/Os

Lesson: Don't build unclustered indexes when V(R,a) is small !

Index Nested Loop Join

R ⋈ S

- Assume S has an index on the join attribute
- Iterate over R, for each tuple fetch corresponding tuple(s) from S

• Cost:

- If index on S is clustered: B(R) + T(R)B(S)/V(S,a)
- If index on S is unclustered: B(R) + T(R)T(S)/V(S,a)