#### CSE 444: Database Internals

Section 9: Distributed processing and replication

### Review in this section

- 1. Review Replication
- 2. Review 2- Phase Commit (2PC)
- 3. Questions on Lab 4/Lab 6 by Jingjing

More practice problems for hw6 in section 8

HW6 due today, you can submit in hard-copy between 5 and 5:30 pm in CSE 344.

## Replication

# Eager (Synchronous) vs. Lazy (Asynchronous)

- **Eager:** Updates are applied to all replicas of an object as part of the original transaction (needs global locks, 2PC).
- Lazy: One replica is updated by the originating transaction. Updates to other replicas propagate asynchronously, typically as a <u>separate transaction</u> for each node.



### Master vs. Group

#### • Master:

- Each object has a master node. Only the master can update the primary copy of the object.
- All other replicas are read-only. If they want to update the object request the master do the update.

#### • Group:

Any node with a copy of a data item can update it (also called "update anywhere")

#### Propagation vs. Ownership

	Eager	Lazy
Master	1 transaction 1 object owner	N transactions 1 object owner
Group	1 transactions N object owners	N transactions N object owners

### Summary

- A. Synchronous/Eager
  - Option A1: Use a master

HW6, 2b

- Option A2: Use a quorum (cluster)
- B. Asynchronous/Lazy:
  - Option B1: Use a master. All updates have to go to the master first.
  - Option B2: Allow updates to go everywhere. This is multi-master.

Ref.

Jim Gray, Pat Helland, Patrick O'Neil, and Dennis Shasha. The Dangers of Replication and a Solution. ACM SIGMOD Record (25)2, 1996

## 2PC Crash/Recovery Scenarios

## **Recovery Process**

- At each active site a recovery process (RP) exists
  - It processes messages from RPs at other sites, and
  - handles all the transactions that were executing 2PC at the time of the last failure of the site.
- At recovery from a crash, the RP at the recovering site
  - reads the log on stable storage, and
  - accumulates in virtual storage information relating to transactions executing 2PC at the time of the crash.
- This information in virtual storage is used to
  - answer queries from other sites about transactions that had their coordinators at this site, and
  - to send unsolicited information to other 'subordinate sites' for transactions at this 'coordinator site'

- **1.** If the recovery process finds that
  - a transaction was executing at the time of the crash,
  - And that <u>no commit/prepare</u> protocol log record had been written
- Then the recovery process neither knows nor cares whether it is dealing with a subordinate or the coordinator of the transaction.
- It aborts that transaction by
  - "undoing" its actions, if any, using the UNDO log records,
  - writing an abort record,
  - and "forgetting" it.

- 2. If the recovery process at a <u>coordinator</u> finds a transaction in the <u>committing (resp. aborting)</u> state
- It periodically tries to send the COMMIT (ABORT) to all the subordinates that have not acknowledged and awaits their ACKs.
- Once all the ACKs are received, the recovery process writes the end record and "forgets" the transaction.

- 3. If the coordinator process notices the failure of a subordinate while waiting for the latter to send its vote
- then the former aborts the transaction (and follows the necessary steps).
- 4. If the failure occurs when the coordinator is waiting to get an ACK
- then the coordinator hands the transaction over to the recovery process.
- (commit/abort state must be maintained)

- 5. If a <u>subordinate notices</u> the <u>failure of the coordinator</u> <u>before</u> the former sent a YES VOTE and moved into the prepared state
- then it aborts the transaction (unilateral abort)
- 6. If the failure (of the coordinator) occurs <u>after</u> the <u>subordinate is in prepared state</u>
- then the subordinate hands the transaction over to the recovery process.

- 7. When a recovery process receives an inquiry message from a prepared subordinate site
- it looks at its information in virtual storage.
- If it <u>has information</u> that says the transaction is in the <u>aborting or committing state</u>, then it sends the appropriate response.
- What if no information is found?

7 contd.

- How can such a situation arise when no info is found
- Both COMMITS and ABORTS are being acknowledged
- Inquiry is being made means that the inquirer had not received and processed a COMMIT/ABORT before the inquiree "forgot" the transaction.
- Such a situation comes about when
  - (1) the inquiree sends out PREPARES,
  - (2) it crashes before receiving all the votes and deciding to commit/abort, and
  - (3) on restart, it aborts the transaction and does not inform any of the subordinates.

#### 7 contd.

- What to do?
- On restart, the recipient of an inquiry cannot tell whether it is a coordinator or subordinate, if no commit protocol log records exist for the transaction.
- Given this fact, the correct response to an inquiry in the no information case is an ABORT.

- 8. When the recovery process finds that it (the subordinate) is in the prepared state for a particular transaction
- It cannot take a unilateral decision
- The rest you have to figure out 🙂
- Write in HW6 what happens from receiving the PREPARE message at this subordinate
- Note that we assumed that a site will recover at some point after a crash.