

## Database System Internals

## Query Optimization (part 3)

Paul G. Allen School of Computer Science and Engineering University of Washington, Seattle

## Selinger Optimizer History

- 1960's: first database systems
- Use tree and graph data models
- 1970: Ted Codd proposes relational model
- E.F. Codd. A relational model of data for large shared data banks. Communications of the ACM, 1970
- 1974: System R from IBM Research
- One of first systems to implement relational model
- 1979: Seminal query optimizer paper by P. Selinger et. al.
- Invented cost-based query optimization
- Dynamic programming algorithm for join order computation


## References

- P. Selinger, M. Astrahan, D. Chamberlin, R. Lorie, and T. Price. Access Path Selection in a Relational Database Management System. Proceedings of ACM SIGMOD, 1979. Pages 22-34.


## Selinger Algorithm

## Selinger enumeration algorithm considers

- Different logical and physical plans at the same time
- Cost of a plan is IO + CPU
- Concept of interesting order during plan enumeration
- A sorted order as that requested by ORDER BY or GROUP GY
- Or order on attributes that appear in equi-join predicates
- Because they may enable cheaper sort-merge joins later


## More about the Selinger Algorithm

- Step 1: Enumerate all access paths for a single relation
- File scan or index scan
- Keep the cheapest for each interesting order
- Step 2: Consider all ways to join two relations
- Use result from step 1 as the outer relation
- Consider every other possible relation as inner relation
- Estimate cost when using sort-merge or nested-loop join
- Keep the cheapest for each interesting order
- Steps 3 and later: Repeat for three relations, etc.


## Example From Selinger Paper

EMP | NAME | DNO | JOB | SAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SMITH | 50 | 12 |
| 5000 |  |  |  |
| JONES | 50 | 5 | 15000 |
| DOE | 51 | 5 | 9500 |

DEPT | DNO | DNAME | LOC |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 50 | MFG | DENVER |
| 51 | BILLING | BOULDER |
| 52 | SHIPPING | DENVER |

JOB | JOB | TITLE |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | CLERK |
| 6 | TYPIST |
| 8 | SALES |
| 12 | MECHANIC |

| SELECT | NAME, TITLE, SAL, DNAME |
| :--- | :--- |
| FROM | EMP, DEPT, JOB |
| WHERE | TITLE='CLERK' |
| AND | LOC='DENVER' |
| AND | EMP.DNO=DEPT.DNO |
| AND | EMP.JOB=JOB.JOB |

"Retrieve the name, salary, job title, and department name of employees who are clerks and work for departments in Denver."

> Figure 1. JOIN example

## Step1: Access Path Selection for Single Relations

- Eligible Predicates: Local Predicates Only
- "Interesting" Orderings: DNO, JOB


SELECT NAME, TITLE, SAL, DNAME
FROM EMP, DEPT, JOB
WHERE TITLE=‘CLERK’ AND LOC=‘DENVER’ AND EMP.DNO=DEPT.DNO AND EMP.JOB=JOB.JOB

## Step1: Resulting Plan Search Tree for Single Relations



SELECT NAME, TITLE, SAL, DNAME
FROM EMP, DEPT, JOB
WHERE TITLE=‘CLERK' AND LOC=‘DENVER’ AND EMP.DNO=DEPT.DNO AND EMP.JOB=JOB.JOB

## Step2: Pairs of Relations (nested loop joins)



SELECT NAME, TITLE, SAL, DNAME
FROM EMP, DEPT, JOB
WHERE TITLE=‘CLERK’ AND LOC=‘DENVER’ AND EMP.DNO=DEPT.DNO AND EMP.JOB=JOB.JOB

## Step2: Pairs of Relations (sort-merge ioins)



## Step3:Add Third Relation (sort-merge join)

Cheapest plan with that order


## Selinger Optimizer

Problem:

- How to order a series of joins over $N$ tables $A, B, C, \ldots$
E.g. A.a = B.b AND A.c=D.d AND B.e = C.f
- N! ways to order joins; e.g. ABCD, ACBD, ....
- $C_{N-1}=\frac{1}{N}\binom{2(N-1)}{N-1}$
plans/ordering; e.g. (((AB)C)D),((AB)(CD)))
- Multiple implementations (hash, nested loops)
- Naïve approach does not scale
- E.g. $\mathrm{N}=20$, \#join orders $20!=2.4 \times 10^{18}$; many more plans


## Selinger Optimizer

- Only left-deep plans: $(((\mathrm{AB}) \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{D})$ - eliminate $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}-1}$.
- In SimpleDB, we consider all linear plans, not only left-deep.
- Push down selections
- Don't consider cartesian products
- Dynamic programming algorithm


## Why Left-Deep

## - Advantages of left-deep trees?

1. Fits well with standard join algorithms (nested loop, one-pass), more efficient
2. One pass join: Uses smaller memory
3. $((R, S), T)$, can reuse the space for $R$ while joining $(R, S)$ with $T$
4. ( $R,(S, T$ )): Need to hold $R$, compute ( $S, T$ ), then join with $R$, worse if more relations
5. Nested loop join, consider top-down iterator next()
6. ((R, S), T), Reads the chunks of (R, S) once, reads stored base relation $T$ multiple times
7. ( $R,(S, T)$ ): Reads the chunks of $R$ once, reads computed relation $(S, T)$ multiple times, either more time or more space

## Next Example Acks

## Implement variant of Selinger optimizer in SimpleDB

Designed to help you understand how this would work in SimpleDB (not the homework)

Many following slides from Sam Madden at MIT

## SimpleDBs Optimizer

Exists within JoinOptimizer.java

In all the beginning labs, there is no optimization!
The relevant parts of JoinOptimizer are empty

One major difference in SimpleDB compared to Selinger optimizer:
We consider linear trees, not left-deep only


## Dynamic Programming

OrderJoins(...):
$\mathrm{R}=$ set of relations to join

## SimpleDB Lab5:

you implement orderJoins

For $\mathrm{d}=1$ to N : $\mathrm{l}^{*}$ where $\mathrm{N}=|\mathrm{R}|$ */
For $S$ in \{all size-d subsets of $R$ \}:
optjoin(S) $=(S-a)$ join $a$,
where $a$ is the single relation that minimizes: cost(optjoin(S - a)) + min.cost to join ( $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{a}$ ) with a + min.access cost for a

Note: optjoin(S-a) is cached from previous iterations

## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- Assume all joins are Nested

| Subplan S | optJoin(S) | Cost(OptJoin(S)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A |  |  | Loop

## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- Assume all joins are NL
- d = 1
- A = best way to access A (sequential scan, predicate-

| Subplan S | optJoin(S) | Cost(OptJoin(S)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Index scan | 100 |
| B | Seq. scan | 50 |
| C | Seq scan | 120 |
| D | B+tree <br> scan | 400 | pushdown on index, etc)

- B = best way to access B
- C = best way to access C
- D = best way to access D


## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- d = 2
- $\{A, B\}=A B$ or $B A$ use previously computed best way to access A and B

| Subplan S | optJoin(S) | Cost(OptJoin(S)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Index scan | 100 |
| B | Seq. scan | 50 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- d = 2
- $\{A, B\}=A B$ or $B A$ use previously computed best way to access $A$ and $B$

| Subplan S | optJoin(S) | Cost(OptJoin(S)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | Index scan | 100 |
| B | Seq. scan | 50 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |
| $\{A, B\}$ | BA | 156 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- d = 2
- $\{A, B\}=A B$ or $B A$ use previously computed best way to access $A$ and $B$
- $\{B, C\}=B C$ or $C B$

| Subplan S | optJoin(S) | Cost(OptJoin(S)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | Index scan | 100 |
| $B$ | Seq. scan | 50 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |
| $\{A, B\}$ | BA | 156 |
| $\{B, C\}$ | $B C$ | 98 |
|  |  |  |

## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- d = 2
- $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}=\mathrm{AB}$ or BA use previously computed bestway to access $A$ and $B$
- $\{B, C\}=B C$ or $C B$

| Subplan S | optJoin(S) | Cost(OptJoin(S)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Index scan | 100 |
| B | Seq. scan | 50 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |
| $\{A, B\}$ | BA | 156 |
| BB, C $\}$ | BC | 98 |
|  |  |  |

## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- d = 2
- $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}=\mathrm{AB}$ or BA use previousty compuled best way to access $A$ and $B$
- $\{B, C\}=B C$ or $C B$
- $\{C, D\}=C D$ or $D C$

| Subplan S | optJoin(S) | Cost(OptJoin(S)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Index scan | 100 |
| B | Seq. scan | 50 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |
| $\{A, B\}$ | BA | 156 |
| $\{B, C\}$ | BC | 98 |
| $\ldots \ldots .$. |  |  |

- $\{A, C\}=A C$ or $C A$
- $\{B, D\}=B D$ or $D B$
- $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}\}=\mathrm{AD}$ or DA


## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- d = 2
- $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}=\mathrm{AB}$ or BA use previously-ompunted bestway to access $A$ and $B$
- $\{B, C\}=B C$ or $C B$
- $\{C, D\}=C D$ or $D C$

| Subplan S | optJoin(S) | Cost(OptJoin(S)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Index scan | 100 |
| B | Seq. scan | 50 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |
| $\{$ A, B $\}$ | BA | 156 |
| $\{B, C\}$ | BC | 98 |
| $\ldots \ldots .$. |  |  |

- $\{A, C\}=A C$ or $C A$
- $\{B, D\}=B D$ or $D B$
- $\{A, D\}=A D$ or DA
- Total number of steps: choose $(\mathrm{N}, 2) \times 2$


## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- d = 3

| Subplan S | optJoin(S) | Cost(OptJoin(S)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A$ | Index scan | 100 |
| $B$ | Seq. scan | 50 |
| $\ldots$. |  |  |
| $\{A, B\}$ | $B A$ | 156 |
| $\{B, C\}$ | $B C$ | 98 |
| $\ldots$. |  |  |
| $\{A, B, C\}$ | $B A C$ | 500 |
| $\ldots \ldots .$. |  |  |

- $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}\}=$ Remove A: compare A(\{B,C\}) to (\{B,C\})A


## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- d = 3
- $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}\}=$ Remove A: compare $A(\overline{[B, C\}}]$ to $(\{B, C\}) A$

| Subplan S | optJoin(S) | Cost(OptJoin(S)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Index scan | 100 |
| B | Seq. scan | 50 |
| $\ldots .$. |  |  |
| $\{A, B\}$ | BA | 156 |
| $\{B, C\}$ | BC | 98 |
| $\ldots$. |  |  |
| $\{A, B, C\}$ | BAC | 500 |
| $\ldots \ldots .$. |  |  |

optJoin(B,C) and its cost are already cached in table

## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- d = 3
- $\{A, B, C\}=$

Remove A: compare A( $\overline{[B, C\}}\}$ to $(\{B, C\}) A$ Remove B: compare $B(\{A, C\})$ to $(\{A, C\}) B$ Remove C: compare C(\{A,B\}) to (\{A,B\})C

| Subplan S | optJoin(S) | Cost(OptJoin(S)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | Index scan | 100 |
| B | Seq. scan | 50 |
| $\ldots$. |  |  |
| $\{A, B\}$ | BA | 156 |
| $\{B, C\}$ | BC | 98 |
| $\ldots$. |  |  |
| $\{A, B, C\}$ | $B A C$ | 500 |
| $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ |  |  |

optJoin(B,C) and its cost are already cached in table


## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- d = 3
- $\{A, B, C\}=$

Remove A: compare $A(\widehat{B, C\}})$ to $(\{B, C\}) A$ Remove B: compare $B(\{A, C\})$ to $(\{A, C\}) B$ Remove C: compare C $(\{A, B\})$ to $(\{A, B\}) C$

- $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}\}=$

Remove A: compare A(\{B,D\}) to (\{B,D\})A

- $\{A, C, D\}=\ldots$
- $\{B, C, D\}=\ldots$


## Example

- orderJoins(A, B, C, D)
- d = 4
- $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\}=$

Remove A: compare A \{B.C.D\}) to (\{B,C,D\})A Remove B: compare $B(\{A, C, D\})$ to ( $\{A, C, D\}) B$ Remove C: compare C(\{A,B,D\}) to (\{A,B,D\})C
optJoin(B, C, D) and its cost are already cached in table Remove D: compare $D(\{A, B, C\})$ to $(\{A, B, C\}) D$

## Interesting Orders

- Some query plans produce data in sorted order
- E.g scan over a primary index, merge-join
- Called interesting order
- Next operator may use this order
- E.g. can be another merge-join
- For each subset of relations, compute multiple optimal plans, one for each interesting order
- Increases complexity by factor $\mathrm{k}+1$, where $\mathrm{k}=$ number of interesting orders


## Why Left-Deep

Asymmetric, cost depends on the order

- Left: Outer relation Right: Inner relation
- For nested-loop-join, we try to load the outer (typically smaller) relation in memory, then read the inner relation one page at a time

$$
B(R)+B(R)^{*} B(S) \text { or } B(R)+B(R) / M * B(S)
$$

- For index-join,
we assume right (inner) relation has index


## Implementation in SimpleDB (lab5)

1. JoinOptimizer.java (and the classes used there)
2. Returns vector of "LogicalJoinNode"

Two base tables, two join attributes, predicate e.g. $R(a, b), S(c, d), T(a, f), U(p, q)$
(R, S, R.a, S.c, =)
Recall that SimpleDB keeps all attributes of R, S after their join R.a, R.b, S.c, S.d
3. Output vector looks like: <(R, S, R.a, S.c), (R, T, R.b, T.f), (S, U, S.d, U.q)>

## Implementation in SimpleDB (lab5)

## Any advantage of returning pairs?

- Flexibility to consider all linear plans <(R, S, R.a,S.c), (R, T, R.b, T.f), (U, S, U.q, S.d)>

More Details:

1. You mainly need to implement "orderJoins(..)"
2. "CostCard" data structure stores a plan, its cost and cardinality: you would need to estimate them
3. "PlanCache" stores the table in dyn. Prog:

Maps a set of LJN to
a vector of LJN (best plan for the vector),
its cost, and its cardinality


LJN = LogicalJoinNode

