


Goals of replication Three types of replication Synchronous (aka eager) replication Asynchronous (aka lazy) replication Two-tier replication


References
Ullman Book Chapter 20.6
Database management systems.
Ramakrishnan and Gehrke.
Third Ed. Chapter 22.11


CSE 444 - Winter 2020

3

March 10, 2021







Synchronous Replication

- Also called eager replication
- All updates are applied to all replicas (or to a majority) as part of a single transaction (need two phase commit)
- Main goal: as if there was only one copy
 - Maintain consistency
 - · Maintain one-copy serializability
 - · I.e., execution of transactions has same effect as an execution on a non-replicated db
- Transactions must acquire global locks


March 10, 2021

CSE 444 - Winter 2020

7

Synchronous Master Replication

- One master for each object holds primary copy
 - The "Master" is also called "Primary"
 - To update object, transaction must acquire a lock at the master
 - · Lock at the master is global lock
- Master propagates updates to replicas synchronously
 - Updates propagate as part of the same distributed transaction · Need to run 2PC at the end
 - · For example, using triggers



Crash Failures

- What happens when a secondary crashes?
 - Nothing happens
 - · When secondary recovers, it catches up
- What happens when the master/primary fails?
 - · Blocking would hurt availability
 - · Must chose a new primary: run election

March 10, 2021

CSE 444 - Winter 2020

10

Majority Consensus

- To avoid problem, only majority partition can continue processing at any time
- In general,
 - Whenever a replica fails or recovers...
 - \bullet a set of communicating replicas must determine...
 - whether they have a majority before they can continue


March 10, 2021 CSE 444, Winter 2020


12

Network Failures

- Network failures can cause trouble...
 - · Secondaries think that primary failed
 - Secondaries elect a new primary
 - But primary can still be running
 - Now have two primaries!

March 10, 2021 CSE 444 - Winter 2020 11





Synchronous Group Replication ■ Majority locking • s = x = [(n+1)/2] eg: 11 nodes: need 6 locked • No need to run any reconfiguration algorithms ■ Read-locks-one, write-locks-all • s=1 and x = n, high read performance • Need to make sure algo runs on quorum of computers

With n copies
 Exclusive lock on x copies is global exclusive lock
 Shared lock on s copies is global shared lock
 Must have: 2x > n and s + x > n
 Version numbers serve to identify current copy

Write requires locking x copies
Read requires locking s copies
Merch 10, 2021

15


Synchronous Replication Properties


- Favours consistency over availability
 - · Only majority partition can process requests
 - There appears to be a single copy of the db
- High runtime overhead
 - · Must lock and update at least majority of replicas
 - Two-phase commit
 - Runs at pace of slowest replica in quorum
 - So overall system is now slower
 - Higher deadlock rate (transactions take longer)

March 10, 202

SE 444 - Winter 2020

16





Asynchronous Replication

- Also called lazy replication
- Also called optimistic replication
- Main goals: availability and performance
- Approach
 - One replica updated by original transaction
 - Updates propagate asynchronously to other replicas

March 10, 2021


CSE 444 - Winter 2020

19

Asynchronous Master Replication

- One master holds primary copy
 - Transactions update primary copy
 - Master asynchronously propagates updates to replicas, which process them in same order (e.g. through log shipping)
 - · Ensures single-copy serializability
- What happens when master/primary fails?
 - · Can lose most recent transactions when primary fails!
 - · After electing a new primary, secondaries must agree who is most up-to-date

20 21





Asynchronous Group Replication Cannot guarantee one-copy serializability! Instead guarantee convergence Db state does not reflect any serial execution But all replicas have the same state Detect conflicts and reconcile replica states Different reconciliation techniques are possible Manual Most recent timestamp wins Site A wins over site B User-defined rules, etc.

Asynchronous Group Replication

Also called multi-master
Best scheme for availability
Cannot guarantee one-copy serializability!

R1
Init: x=1
Update x=2
Init: x=1
Update x=3





Asynchronous Group Replication Properties

- Favours availability over consistency
 - · Can read and update any replica
 - High runtime performance
- Weak consistency
 - Conflicts and reconciliation

March 10, 2021 CSE 444 - Winter 2020 38

Vector Clocks

- An extension of Multiversion Concurrency Control (MVCC) to multiple servers
- Standard MVCC: each data item X has a timestamp t: X₄, X₉, X₁₀, X₁₄, ..., X_t
- Vector Clocks: X has set of [server, timestamp] pairs X([s1,t1], [s2,t2],...)

March 10, 2021

CSE 444 - Winter 2020

27

Outline

- Goals of replication
- Three types of replication
 - Synchronous (aka eager) replication
 - Asynchronous (aka lazy) replication
 - Two-tier replication

March 10, 20

E 444 - Winter 2020

Two-Tier Replication

- Benefits of lazy master and lazy group
- Each object has a master with primary copy
- When disconnected from master
 - Secondary can only run tentative transactions
- When reconnects to master
 - · Master reprocesses all tentative transactions
 - · Checks an acceptance criterion
 - · If passes, we now have final commit order
 - Secondary undoes tentative and redoes committed

March 10, 2021

CSE 444 - Winter 2020

40



Conclusion

- Replication is a very important problem
 - · Fault-tolerance (various forms of replication)
 - Caching (lazy master)
 - Warehousing (lazy master)
 - Mobility (two-tier techniques)
- Replication is complex, but basic techniques and trade-offs are very well known
 - Synchronous or asynchronous replication
 - Master or quorum

March 10, 2021

CSE 444 - Winter 2020


41


Some Popular NewSQL Systems

- H-Store
 - · Research system from Brown U., MIT, CMU, and Yale
 - Commercialized as VoltDB
- Hekaton
 - Microsoft
 - Fully integrated into SQL Server
- Hype
 - Hybrid OLTP/OLAP
 - Research system from TU Munich. Bought by Tableau
- Spanner
 - Google

March 10, 202

SE 444 - Winter 2020






H-Store Key Ideas

- Main-memory storage
 - · Avoids disk IO costs / buffer pool costs
 - · Durability through snapshots + cmd log
 - Replication
- Serial execution
 - One database partition per thread on one core
 - · Avoid overheads related to locking
- All transactions are stored procedures
 - · Command logging avoids heavy recovery overheads
- Avoid distributed transactions
 - But when needed, run 2PC

March 10, 2021

CSE 444 - Winter 2020

45



46

Hekaton

- Focus: DBMS with large main memories and many core CPUs
- Integrated with SQL Server
- Key user-visible features
 - Simply declare a table "memory resident"
 - Hekaton tables are fully durable and transactional, though non-durable tables are also supported
 - Query can touch both Hekaton and regular tables

March 10, 2021

CSE 444 - Winter 2020

48

Hekaton More Details

- Optimized stored procedures
 - Compile statements and stored procedures into customized, highly efficient machine code

Hekaton Key Details

- Idea: To increase transaction throughput must decrease number of instructions / transaction
- Main-memory DBMS
 - · Optimize indexes for memory-resident data
 - Durability by logging and checkpointing records to external storage
- No partitioning
 - · Any thread can touch any row of any table
- No locking
 - Uses a new MVCC method for isolation

March 10, 2021

49

CSE 444 - Winter 2020

Hyper

- Hybrid OLTP and OLAP
- In-memory data management
 - Including optimized indexes for memory-resident data
 - Data compression for cold data
- Data-centric code generation
 - SQL translated to LLVM
- OLAP separated from OLTP using MVCC
- Exploits hardware transactional memory
- Data shuffling and distribution optimizations

March 10, 202

E 444 - Winter 2020

50

Conclusion

- Many innovations recently in
 - Big data analytics
 - Transaction processing at very large scale
- Many more problems remain open
- This course teaches foundations
- Innovate with an open mind!

March 10, 2021

CSE 444 - Winter 2020