CSE 444: Database Internals

Section 5: Transactions

Today

- Serializability and Conflict Serializability
 Precedence graph
- Two-Phase Locking - Strict two phase locking
- Lab 3 Transactions

Problem 1: Serializability and Locking What is SerializabilityConflict Serializability?

Is this schedule conflict seriali

Τ _o	T ₁
R _o (A) W _o (A)	
W _o (A)	
	R ₁ (A)
	R ₁ (A) R ₁ (B)
	C ₁
R ₀ (B)	
R ₀ (B) W ₀ (B) C ₀	
C ₀	

Review: (Conflict) Serializable Schedule

- A schedule is <u>serializable</u> if it is equivalent to a serial schedule
- A schedule is <u>conflict serializable</u> if it can be transformed into a serial schedule by a series of swappings of adjacent non-conflicting actions

Review: (Conflict) Serializable Schedule

- A schedule is <u>serializable</u> if it is equivalent to a serial schedule
- A schedule is <u>conflict serializable</u> if it can be transformed into a serial schedule by a series of swappings of adjacent non-conflicting actions

Example:

 $r_1(A); w_1(A); r_2(A); w_2(A); r_1(B); w_1(B); r_2(B); w_2(B)$

 $r_1(A); w_1(A); r_1(B); w_1(B); r_2(A); w_2(A); r_2(B); w_2(B)$

Problem 1: Serializability and Locking

• Is this schedule conflict serializable?

Τ _ο	T ₁
R _o (A) W _o (A)	
W ₀ (A)	
	R ₁ (A)
	R ₁ (A) R ₁ (B)
	C ₁
R ₀ (B)	
R ₀ (B) W ₀ (B)	
C ₀	

- NO.
- The precedence graph contains a cycle

 $R_1(B) W_0(B)$

So, use 2PL ...
 Original schedule below

Τ _o	T ₁
R ₀ (A)	
W ₀ (A)	
	R ₁ (A)
	R ₁ (B)
	C ₁
R ₀ (B)	
W ₀ (B)	
C ₀	

So, use 2PL ... Original schedule below What is Two Phase Locking Strict Two Phase Locking?

Τ _ο	T ₁
R ₀ (A) W ₀ (A)	
W ₀ (A)	
	R ₁ (A)
	R ₁ (A) R ₁ (B)
	C ₁
R ₀ (B)	
R ₀ (B) W ₀ (B)	
C ₀	

Review: (Strict) Two Phase Locking (2PL) The 2PL rule:

In every transaction, all lock requests must precede all unlock requests

Strict 2PL:

All locks held by a transaction are released when the transaction is completed

- Ensures that schedules are recoverable
 - •Transactions commit only after all transactions whose changes they read also commit
- Avoids cascading rollbacks

How can 2PL can ensure a conflict-serializable schedule?
 Original schedule below

Τ _ο	T ₁
R _o (A) W _o (A)	
W ₀ (A)	
	R ₁ (A)
	R ₁ (A) R ₁ (B)
	C ₁
R ₀ (B)	
R ₀ (B) W ₀ (B)	
C ₀	

Τ _ο	T ₁
L ₀ (A) R ₀ (A) W ₀ (A)	
R _o (A)	
W _o (A)	

Τ _ο	T ₁
L ₀ (A) R ₀ (A) W ₀ (A)	
R _o (A)	
W _o (A)	
	L ₁ (A) : Block

Τ _ο	Τ ₁
L ₀ (A) R ₀ (A) W ₀ (A)	
R _o (A)	
W _o (A)	
	L ₁ (A) : Block
L _o (B)	
R ₀ (B)	
W ₀ (B)	
U ₀ (A) U ₀ (B) C ₀	
U ₀ (B)	
C ₀	

Τ _ο	T ₁
L _o (A)	
R _o (A)	
W _o (A)	
	L ₁ (A) : Block
L ₀ (В)	
R ₀ (B)	
W _o (B)	
U ₀ (A)	
U ₀ (B)	
C ₀	
	L ₁ (A) : Granted
	R ₁ (A)
	L ₁ (B)
	R ₁ (B)
	U ₁ (B)
	U ₁ (A) U ₁ (B) C ₁

Τ _o	T ₁
L _o (A)	
R _o (A)	
W _o (A)	
	L ₁ (A) : Block
L ₀ (B)	
R ₀ (B)	Is this strict 2PL?
W _o (B)	
U ₀ (A)	No, release locks after commit
U ₀ (В)	The, release locits arren commit
C ₀	
	L ₁ (A) : Granted
	R ₁ (A)
	L ₁ (B)
	R ₁ (B)
	U ₁ (A)
	U ₁ (B)
	C ₁

Lab 3 - Transactions

- NO STEAL / FORCE buffer management policy
 - you shouldn't evict dirty(updated) pages from the buffer pool if they are <u>locked by an uncommitted transaction</u>.
 (this is NO STEAL)
 - <u>on transaction commit</u>, you should <u>force dirty pages to</u> <u>disk.</u> (e.g., write the pages out) (this is FORCE)
- Recommend locking at page level
 - you can acquire and release locks in <u>BufferPool.getPageO</u>, instead of adding calls to each of your operators
 - Might have to change previous implementations to access pages using <u>BufferPool.getPageO</u>

Lab 3 - Transactions (contd.)

- You need to implement shared and exclusive locks
 - Before read, it must have a shared lock
 - <u>Before write</u>, it must have an <u>exclusive lock</u>
 - <u>Multiple transactions</u> can have a <u>shared lock</u>
 - Only <u>one transaction</u> may have an <u>exclusive lock</u> on an object
 - If transaction t is the <u>only transaction holding a shared</u> <u>lock</u> on an object o, t <u>may upgrade</u> its lock on o to an exclusive lock
- You need to implement strict two-phase locking
 - transactions should acquire the appropriate type of lock on any object before accessing that object
 - transaction shouldn't release any locks until after the transaction commits.

Lab 3 - Transactions (contd.)

- You will need to implement a LockManager class that will hold data structures to keep track of which locks each transaction holds and that check to see if a lock should be granted to a transaction when it is requested.
- Read about <u>Synchronization</u> in Java, and use the <u>synchronized</u> keyword in appropriate places in <u>LockManager</u>
- You will have to also throw appropriate exceptions like <u>TransactionAbortedException</u>

Lab 3 - Transactions (contd.)

• Handling deadlocks

- implement a simple timeout policy that aborts a transaction if it has not completed after a given period of time
- implement a cycle-detection in a dependency graph data structure, if cycle exists when granting a new lock abort something.

• Design Choices:

- Locking Granularity: page-level vs tuple-level (our tests assume page-level)
- Deadlock Detection: timeout vs dependency graphs
- Deadlock Resolution: aborting yourself vs aborting others
- Read the spec carefully for more details about various methods and edge cases.