2

#### Introduction to Database Systems CSE 444

Lectures 17-18: Concurrency Control

May 12-14, 2008

1

3

#### Outline

- Serial and Serializable Schedules (18.1)
- Conflict Serializability (18.2)
- Locks (18.3)
- Multiple lock modes (18.4)
- The tree protocol (18.7)
- Concurrency control by timestamps 18.8
- Concurrency control by validation 18.9

#### The Problem

- Multiple transactions are running concurrently  $T_1, T_2, ...$
- They read/write some common elements A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>, ...
- How can we prevent unwanted interference ?

The SCHEDULER is responsible for that

#### Three Famous Anomalies

What can go wrong if we didn't have concurrency control:

- Dirty reads
- Lost updates
- Inconsistent reads

Many other things may go wrong, but have no names









Exa	ample
T1	T2
READ(A, t)	READ(A, s)
t := t + 100	s := s*2
WRITE(A, t)	WRITE(A,s)
READ(B, t)	READ(B,s)
t := t + 100	s := s*2
WRITE(B,t)	WRITE(B,s)













Conflict Serializability				
Conflicts:				
Two actions by same transaction T <sub>i</sub> :	$r_i(X); w_i(Y)$			
Two writes by T <sub>i</sub> , T <sub>j</sub> to same element	$w_i(X); w_j(X)$			
Read/write by T <sub>i</sub> , T <sub>j</sub> to same element	$\label{eq:w_i(X); r_j(X)} \boxed{r_i(X); w_j(X)}$			



# The Precedence Graph Test

Is a schedule conflict-serializable ? Simple test:

- Build a graph of all transactions T<sub>i</sub>
- Edge from T<sub>i</sub> to T<sub>j</sub> if T<sub>i</sub> makes an action that conflicts with one of T<sub>j</sub> and comes first
- The test: if the graph has no cycles, then it is conflict serializable !







# Locking Scheduler Simple idea: Each element has a unique lock Each transaction must first acquire the lock before reading/writing that element If the lock is taken by another transaction, then wait The transaction must release the lock(s)

23

Notation  $L_i(A) = \text{transaction } T_i \text{ acquires lock for element } A$  $U_i(A) = \text{transaction } T_i \text{ releases lock for element } A$ 

#### 6

Example			
T1	T2		
$L_1(A)$ ; READ(A, t)			
t := t + 100			
WRITE(A, t); $U_1(A)$ ; $L_1(B)$			
	$L_2(A)$ ; READ(A,s)		
	s := s*2		
	WRITE(A,s); $U_2(A)$ ;		
	L <sub>2</sub> (B); <b>DENIED</b>		
READ(B, t)	-		
t := t + 100			
WRITE(B,t); $U_1(B)$ ;			
	GRANTED; READ(B,s)		
	s := s*2		
	WRITE(B,s): $U_2(B)$ :		
	···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25	



# Two Phase Locking (2PL)

The 2PL rule:

- In every transaction, all lock requests must preceed all unlock requests
- This ensures conflict serializability ! (why?)

Example: 2PL	transactcions
T1	T2
$L_1(A); L_1(B); READ(A, t)$	
t := t + 100	
WRITE(A, t); $U_1(A)$	
	$L_2(A)$ ; READ(A,s)
	$s := s^2$
	WRITE(A.s):
	$L_2(B)$ ; <b>DENIED</b>
READ(B, t)	2
t := t + 100	
WRITE(B,t); $U_1(B)$ ;	
	GRANTED; READ(B,s)
	s := s*2
	WRITE(B.s): $U_2(A)$ : $U_2(B)$ :
Now it is conflict-serializable	28

#### Deadlock

- Trasaction T<sub>1</sub> waits for a lock held by T<sub>2</sub>;
- But T<sub>2</sub> waits for a lock held by T<sub>3</sub>;
- While T<sub>3</sub> waits for . . . .
- . . .
- . . .and  $T_{73}$  waits for a lock held by  $T_1 \,\, !!$

Could be avoided, by ordering all elements (see book); or deadlock detection plus rollback

29

31

#### Lock Modes

- S Shared lock (for READ)
- X = exclusive lock (for WRITE)
- U = update lock
  - Initially like S
  - Later may be upgraded to X
- I = increment lock (for A := A + something) - Increment operations commute
- READ CHAPTER 18.4 !

The Locking Scheduler

Task 1:

add lock/unlock requests to transactions

- Examine all READ(A) or WRITE(A) actions
- Add appropriate lock requests
- Ensure 2PL !

#### The Locking Scheduler

#### Task 2:

execute the locks accordingly

- Lock table: a big, critical data structure in a DBMS !
- When a lock is requested, check the lock table
   Grant, or add the transaction to the element's wait list
- When a lock is released, re-activate a transaction from its wait list
- When a transaction aborts, release all its locks
- · Check for deadlocks occasionally

# The Tree Protocol

- An alternative to 2PL, for tree structures
- E.g. B-trees (the indexes of choice in databases)

# The Tree Protocol

#### Rules:

- The first lock may be any node of the tree
- Subsequently, a lock on a node A may only be acquired if the transaction holds a lock on its parent B
- Nodes can be unlocked in any order (no 2PL necessary)

The tree protocol is NOT 2PL, yet ensures conflictserializability !

Timestamps

Every transaction receives a unique timestamp TS(T)

Could be:

- The system's clock
- A unique counter, incremented by the scheduler

35



# Timestamps

Associate to each element X:

- RT(X) = the highest timestamp of any transaction that read X
- WT(X) = the highest timestamp of any transaction that wrote X
- C(X) = the commit bit: says if the transaction with highest timestamp that wrote X commited

These are associated to each page X in the buffer pool 37









Read dirty data:

- T wants to read X, and WT(X) < TS(T)
- Seems OK, but...

|START(U) ... START(T) ...  $w_{II}(X)$ ...  $(r_{T}(X))$ .. ABORT(U)

If C(X)=1, then T needs to wait for it to become 0 42





#### Timestamp-based Scheduling

#### RULES:

- There are 4 long rules in the textbook, on page 974
- You should be able to understand them, or even derive them yourself, based on the previous slides
- Make sure you understand them !

**READING ASSIGNMENT: 18.8.4** 



- When transaction T requests r(X) but WT(X) > TS(T), then T must rollback
- Idea: keep multiple versions of X:  $X_t, X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \ldots$



• Let T read an older version, with appropriate timestamp



45



- Locks:
  - Great when there are many conflicts
  - Poor when there are few conflicts
- Timestamps
  - Poor when there are many conflicts (rollbacks)
  - Great when there are few conflicts
- Compromise
  - READ ONLY transactions  $\rightarrow$  timestamps
  - READ/WRITE transactions  $\rightarrow$  locks



- Each transaction T defines a <u>read set</u> RS(T) and a <u>write set</u> WS(T)
- Each transaction proceeds in three phases:
  - Read all elements in RS(T). Time = START(T)
  - Validate (may need to rollback). Time = VAL(T)
  - Write all elements in WS(T). Time = FIN(T)

Main invariant: the serialization order is VAL(T)





