Introduction to Database Systems CSE 444

Lecture 13 Security

October 24 2007

Outline

SQL Security – 8.7

Two famous attacks

Two new trends

Optional material; May not have time to cover in class

2

Discretionary Access Control in SQL

GRANT privileges
ON object
TO users
[WITH GRANT OPTIONS]

Queries denied to Yuppy:

Examples

GRANT INSERT, DELETE ON Customers TO **Yuppy** WITH GRANT OPTIONS

Queries allowed to Yuppy:

INSERT INTO Customers(cid, name, address) VALUES(32940, 'Joe Blow', 'Seattle')

DELETE Customers

WHERE LastPurchaseDate < 1995

SELECT Customer.address FROM Customer WHERE name = 'Joe Blow'

Examples

GRANT SELECT ON Customers TO Michael

Now Michael can SELECT, but not INSERT or DELETE

5

Examples

GRANT SELECT ON Customers
TO Michael WITH GRANT OPTIONS

Michael can say this: GRANT SELECT ON Customers TO Yuppy

Now Yuppy can SELECT on Customers

Examples

GRANT UPDATE (price) ON Product TO Leah

Leah can update, but only Product.price, but not Product.name


Examples


Customer(cid, name, address, balance) Orders(oid, cid, amount) cid= foreign key


Bill has INSERT/UPDATE rights to Orders. BUT HE CAN'T INSERT! (why?)

GRANT REFERENCES (cid) ON Customer TO Bill

Now Bill can INSERT tuples into Orders







GRANT SELECT

ON CustomerSue TO Sue

David says

Doesn't scale.

Name

Mary

Joan

Ann

Need row-level access control!


REVOKE [GRANT OPTION FOR] privileges ON object FROM users { RESTRICT | CASCADE }


Revocation

Administrator says:

REVOKE SELECT ON Customers FROM David CASCADE

John loses SELECT privileges on BadCreditCustomers





Summary of SQL Security

Limitations:

- · No row level access control
- Table creator owns the data: that's unfair!

Access control = great success story of the DB community...

- ... or spectacular failure:
- Only 30% assign privileges to users/roles
 - And then to protect entire tables, not columns

15

Summary (cont)


- · Most policies in middleware: slow, error prone:
 - SAP has 10**4 tables
 - GTE over 10**5 attributes
 - A brokerage house has 80,000 applications
 - A US government entity thinks that it has 350K
- Today the database is <u>not</u> at the center of the policy administration universe


[Rosenthal&Winslett'2004]


16

Two Famous Attacks

- SQL injection
- Sweeney's example







SQL Injection

- The DBMS works perfectly. So why is SQL injection possible so often?
- · Quick answer:
 - Poor programming: use stored procedures!
- Deeper answer:
 - Move policy implementation from apps to DB

21

Latanya Sweeney's Finding

- In Massachusetts, the Group Insurance Commission (GIC) is responsible for purchasing health insurance for state employees
- GIC has to publish the data:

GIC(**zip**, **dob**, **sex**, diagnosis, procedure, ...)

22

Latanya Sweeney's Finding

 Sweeney paid \$20 and bought the voter registration list for Cambridge Massachusetts:

GIC(**zip**, **dob**, **sex**, diagnosis, procedure, ...) VOTER(name, party, ..., **zip**, **dob**, **sex**)

23

Latanya Sweeney's Finding

zip, dob, sex

- William Weld (former governor) lives in Cambridge, hence is in VOTER
- 6 people in VOTER share his **dob**
- only 3 of them were man (same **sex**)
- Weld was the only one in that zip
- Sweeney learned Weld's medical records!

Latanya Sweeney's Finding

- All systems worked as specified, yet an important data has leaked
- How do we protect against that?

Some of today's research in data security address breaches that happen even if all systems work correctly

25

Summary on Attacks

SQL injection:

- · A correctness problem:
 - Security policy implemented poorly in the application

Sweeney's finding:

- Beyond correctness:
 - Leakage occurred when all systems work as specified

26

Two Novel Techniques

- K-anonymity, information leakage
- · Row-level access control

27

[Samarati&Sweeney'98, Meyerson&Williams'04]

Information Leakage: k-Anonymity

<u>Definition</u>: each tuple is equal to at least k-1 others

Anonymizing: through suppression and generalization

First	Last	Age	Race	Disease
*	Stone	30-50	Afr-Am	Flue
John	R*	20-40	*	Measels
*	Stone	30-50	Afr-am	Pain
John	R*	20-40	*	Fever

Hard: NP-complete for suppression only Approximations exists; but work poorly in practice

20

[Miklau&S'04, Miklau&Dalvi&S'05, Yang&Li'04]

Information Leakage: Query-view Security


Have data: TABLE Employee(name, dept, phone)


Secret Query	View(s)	Disclosure ?	
S(name)	V(name,phone)	total	
S(name,phone)	V1(name,dept) V2(dept,phone)	big	
S(name)	V(dept)	tiny	
S(name) where dept='HR'	V(name) where dept='RD'	none	

Fine-grained Access Control

Control access at the tuple level.

- Policy specification languages
- Implementation





Two Semantics

- The Truman Model = filter semantics
 - transform reality
 - ACCEPT all queries
 - REWRITE queries
 - Sometimes misleading results

SELECT count(*) FROM Patients WHERE disease='flu'

- $\bullet \quad The \ non-Truman \ model = deny \ semantics$
 - reject queries
 - ACCEPT or REJECT queries
 - Execute query UNCHANGED
 - May define multiple security views for a user

[Rizvi'04]

Summary on Information Disclosure

- The theoretical research:
 - Exciting new connections between databases and information theory, probability theory, cryptography

 [Abadi&Warinschi'05]
- The applications:
 - many years away

34

Summary of Fine Grained Access Control

- Trend in industry: label-based security
- Killer app: application hosting
 - Independent franchises share a single table at headquarters (e.g., Holiday Inn)
 - Application runs under requester's label, cannot see other labels
 - Headquarters runs Read queries over them
- Oracle's Virtual Private Database

[Rosenthal&Winslett'2004]