

Solutions to some sample 431 final exam questions

1. Consider the following list of properties that might apply to the stated language.

T-rec: The language is Turing-recognizable.

Dec: The language is decidable.

NP: The language is in NP.

NP-c: The language is NP-complete.

\mathcal{P} : The language is in \mathcal{P} .

Circle all the properties that you are certain are true.

× out all the properties that you are certain are false.

NOTE: You may not be able to do either for some properties.

- | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| (a) $\{\langle M, w \rangle \mid \text{Turing machine } M \text{ accepts } w\}$ | T-rec | Dec | NP-c | NP | \mathcal{P} |
| (b) $\{\langle M, w \rangle \mid \text{Turing machine } M \text{ accepts } w \text{ in at most } w \text{ steps}\}$ | T-rec | Dec | NP-c | NP | \mathcal{P} |
| (c) $\{\langle M, w \rangle \mid \text{Turing machine } M \text{ accepts } w \text{ in at most } 2^{ w } \text{ steps}\}$ | T-rec | Dec | NP-c | NP | \mathcal{P} |
| (d) $\{\langle M, w \rangle \mid \text{Turing machine } M \text{ does not accept } w\}$ | T-rec | Dec | NP-c | NP | \mathcal{P} |
| (e) $L(\alpha)$ for some regular expression α | T-rec | Dec | NP-c | NP | \mathcal{P} |
| (f) $\{\langle F \rangle \mid F \text{ is a 3-CNF formula which evaluates to true on some truth assignment}\}$ | T-rec | Dec | NP-c | NP | \mathcal{P} |
| (g) $\{\langle F, x \rangle \mid F \text{ is a 3-CNF formula which evaluates to true on truth assignment } x\}$ | T-rec | Dec | NP-c | NP | \mathcal{P} |
| (h) $\{\langle F \rangle \mid F \text{ is a propositional logic tautology}\}$ | T-rec | Dec | NP-c | NP | \mathcal{P} |
| (i) $\{\langle G, H \rangle \mid G \text{ and } H \text{ are isomorphic graphs}\}$ | T-rec | Dec | NP-c | NP | \mathcal{P} |

5. We show that *SET-PARTITION* is NP-complete.

1. *SET-PARTITION* \in NP:

- (a) Guess a binary string of length n representing a subset $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- (b) Verify that $\sum_{i \in S} x_i = \sum_{i \notin S} x_i$.
- (c) This polynomial time to check since we can compute the two sums in polynomial times.

2. We show that *SET-PARTITION* is NP-hard by showing that *SUBSET-SUM* \leq_m^p *SET-PARTITION*.

- (a) On input $\langle x_1, \dots, x_m, t \rangle$ for *SUBSET-SUM*, define $M = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. Assume that $t \leq M$ – if not we simply map the input to $\{1, 2\}$. Otherwise, using the hint, remove t , let $n = m + 2$ and add two extra numbers $x_{n-1} = M + t$ and $x_n = 2M - t$.
- (b) The computation is clearly polynomial time since it simply requires the computation of M and the two extra numbers.
- (c) Correctness (\Rightarrow): Suppose that $\langle x_1, \dots, x_m, t \rangle \in \text{SUBSET-SUM}$. Then there is a subset $S' \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in S'} x_i = t$ and $t \leq M$. Therefore the output has $n = m + 2$ values and we define $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ by $S = S' \cup \{n\}$. Then $\sum_{i \in S} x_i = t + 2M - t = 2M$ and $\sum_{i \notin S} x_i = M + t + \sum_{i \leq m, i \notin S'} x_i = M + t + M - t = 2M$ and hence $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \text{SET-PARTITION}$ as required.
- (d) Correctness (\Leftarrow): Suppose that $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in \text{SET-PARTITION}$. Then we know that we have a subset $S \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in S} x_i = \sum_{i \notin S} x_i$. By definition of the reduction we also

know that the sum of all the elements is $4M$ so the sum of each side is $2M$. Because x_{n-1} and x_n add up to $3M$, which is too large, we can't have both elements in S or both elements not in S . Therefore, one of S or \bar{S} contains n but not $n-1$. Assume, without loss of generality that S does. (If not, simply complement S .) Then define $S'' = S - \{n\}$ and observe that $S'' \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then $2M = \sum_{i \in S} x_i = 2M - t + \sum_{i \in S''} x_i$. It follows that $\sum_{i \in S''} x_i = t$ and hence $\langle x_1, \dots, x_m, t \rangle \in SUBSET-SUM$ as required.