

# CSE 431 Spring 2017

## Assignment #5

Due: Friday, May 12, 2017

**Reading assignment:** Finish reading Chapter 7 of Sipser's text up to the start of the proof of the Cook-Levin Theorem. Then read section 9.3 which gives the proof of the Cook-Levin Theorem that we will do.

### Problems:

1. Prove that  $EQ_{DFA}$  is in  $P$ .
2. Prove that  $P$  is closed under union, concatenation, and star. (Use ideas similar to those for the CKY algorithm when needed.)
3. Define  $GRAPH-HOMOMORPHISM = \{ \langle G, H \rangle \mid G \text{ and } H \text{ are directed graphs and there is a mapping } \varphi \text{ from the vertices of } G \text{ to the vertices of } H \text{ such that}$ 
  - $(u, v)$  is an edge in  $G$  if and only if  $(\varphi(u), \varphi(v))$  is an edge in  $H$  and
  - for every vertex  $w$  in  $H$  there is a vertex  $v$  in  $G$  such that  $\varphi(v) = w$ .

Prove that  $GRAPH-HOMOMORPHISM$  is in  $NP$ .

4. Prove that  $NP$  is closed under union, concatenation, and star.
5. Prove that  $A_{TM}$  is  $NP$ -hard.
6. (Bonus) In this question you will show that if an ordinary 1-tape TM  $M$  has running time  $o(n \log n)$  then  $L(M)$  must be regular.

A *crossing-sequence* is the sequence of states on which, and directions from which, a boundary between two cells is crossed during the course of a computation.

- (a) Show that if the lengths of all the crossing sequences for a TM are bounded by some constant  $k$  (independent of the input length) then  $L(M)$  is regular. Do this by building an NFA  $N$  to recognize  $L(M)$ .
- (b) Use a pigeonhole argument to argue that for any TM running in  $o(n \log n)$  time on any sufficiently long input, there must exist two different cell boundaries for cells that originally contained the input that have precisely the same crossing sequence in the computation on that input.
- (c) Show that if a 1-tape TM  $M$  has crossing sequences of arbitrarily large size then it cannot run in  $o(n \log n)$  time. To do this, consider a minimal-length string that produces a long crossing sequence when  $M$  is run on it and use part (b) to derive a contradiction by splicing out a piece of the input string using the repeated crossing sequence.
- (d) Finally, put the pieces together to produce the claimed result.